

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Henry S. Branscome, Inc.  
Williamsburg, Virginia

Docket No. 030-29711  
License No. 45-23669-01

During an NRC inspection conducted August 27 and 28, 1996, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," "NUREG 1600, the violations are listed below:

10 CFR 20.1101 states, in part, that each licensee shall develop, document, and implement a radiation protection program commensurate with the scope and extent of licensed activities and that the licensee shall periodically (at least annually) review the radiation protection program content and implementation.

Contrary to the above, from January 1993 to August 28, 1996, the licensee had not reviewed its radiation protection program content and implementation.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Henry S. Branscome, Inc., is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Regional Administrator, Region II, with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia  
This 24th day of September, 1996

Enclosure