

# HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

SA-AP.ZZ-004(Q)

## STATION OPERATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Remarks: This procedure applies to the Public Service Startup Group (PSSUG) as well as to Hope Creek Operations. No revisions to this procedure may be made without written concurrence of the Public Service Startup Manager.

The Maintenance Manager shall not be a member of SORC until he meets the qualification requirements of ANSI/ANS 3.1-1981.

The complete Technical Review and Control System described in the HCGS FSAR will be implemented at a later date. Until definitive guidelines are approved to aid in determining when a significant safety issue exists, SORC will review all procedures and changes thereto classified as Q, R, or F. An interim technical review program using technical reviewers to assist SORC in this review effort, is described in this procedure. See Note 5.7 for effectivity.

Prepared By:	<u>R. H. Cook</u> <i>RH Cook</i>	<u>3/25/85</u>	
		Date	
Reviewed By:	<u><i>G. Busch</i></u>	<u>3/25/85</u>	
	Technical Engineer	Date	
Reviewed By:	<u><i>J. Nichols</i></u>	<u>4-1-85</u>	
	Technical Manager	Date	
ALARA Review:	<u><i>John Mahan</i></u>	<u>4/8/85</u>	
	Radiation Protection Dept.	Date	
Reviewed By:	<u><i>J. A. Hester</i></u>	<u>4/11/85</u>	
	SQAE	Date	
Concurrence:	<u><i>J. A. Hester</i></u>	<u>4/11/85</u>	
	Startup Manager	Date	
SORC Review:	<u><i>John Brown</i></u>	<u>4/12/85</u>	<u>85-24</u>
	Chairman	Date	Mtg. No.
Approved By:	<u><i>R. H. Cook</i></u>	<u>4/22/85</u>	
	General Manager	Date	

8507170332 850715  
PDR ADOCK 05000354  
A PDR

## STATION OPERATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1.0	PURPOSE .....	2
2.0	REFERENCES.....	2
3.0	DEFINITIONS .....	3
4.0	RESPONSIBILITIES.....	3
5.0	PROCEDURE .....	4
	5.1 General.....	4
	5.2 Membership.....	4
	5.3 Meetings.....	5
	5.4 Meeting Preparation, Procedures, Practices..	7
	5.5 Committee Actions and Authority.....	10
	5.6 Records.....	12
	5.7 Technical Review.....	12

ATTACHMENTS

- 1 Procedure Review Form

## STATION OPERATIONS REVIEW COMMITTEE

### 1.0 PURPOSE

The function of the Station Operations Review Committee (SORC) is to advise the General Manager - Hope Creek Operations on all matters related to nuclear safety. This procedure delineates the responsibilities, functions, and methods of the SORC.

### 2.0 REFERENCES

- 2.1 10CFR50.59, "Changes, Tests, and Experiments."
- 2.2 NUREG-0123, Rev. 3, "Standard Technical Specifications for General Electric Boiling Water Reactors."
- 2.3 NUREG-0473, Rev. 2, "Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications for BWRs."
- 2.4 HCGS Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 13.4.1, "Station Operations Review Committee."
- 2.5 SA-AP.ZZ-001(Q), "Preparation and Approval of Station Procedures."
- 2.6 SA-AP.ZZ-002(Q), "Station Organization and Operating Practices."
- 2.7 SA-AP.ZZ-006(Q), "Incident Report and Reportable Occurrence Program."
- 2.8 SA-AP.ZZ-008(Q), "Station Design Changes, Tests, and Experiments."
- 2.9 SA-AP.ZZ-011(Q), "Station Records Management and Retention Program."
- 2.10 SA-AP.ZZ-012(Q), "Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements."
- 2.11 SA-AP.ZZ-013(Q), "Control of Temporary Modifications."
- 2.12 SA-AP.ZZ-014(Q), "Station Personnel Qualification and Training."
- 2.13 SA-AP.ZZ-020(Q), "Nonconformance Program."

- 2.14 SA-AP.ZZ-028(Q), "Reporting of Defects and Noncompliances."
- 2.15 SA-AP.ZZ-032(Q), "Revisions and Changes to Station Procedures."
- 2.16 Closing Documents
  - CD-137Y (FSAR 13.4.1, Station Operations Review Committee)
  - CD-165A (NRC Circular 80-18)

### 3.0 DEFINITIONS

- 3.1 Substantial Safety Hazard - A loss of safety function to the extent that there is a major reduction in the degree of protection provided to public health and safety.

### 4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 4.1 SORC - Meets at scheduled frequencies and when convened by the Chairman, to review, evaluate, and act upon such items as cited in paragraph 5.5. These responsibilities are further defined in NUREG-0123, paragraphs 6.5.1.6 and 6.5.1.7.
- 4.2 Chairman - Presides over all SORC meetings and designates an individual to serve as the SORC Secretary. He convenes meetings and ensures that they are conducted efficiently. He reviews the SORC minutes prior to issuance. Disagreements between members of the SORC shall be settled by the Chairman.
- 4.3 Secretary - Has minutes of the SORC meetings prepared, typed, and distributed to members and alternates. Maintains files of minutes and SORC action items. The Technical Engineer shall ensure that clerical support is provided for this function.
- 4.4 Members - Selected personnel of the station staff that have expertise or can call upon those proficient in the various areas of station operation. Applies this skill to determine whether items to be reviewed by the SORC will impact station safety, and that there is no deviation from the FSAR, Technical Specifications, or other regulatory requirements. Ascertains that appropriate reviews have been made, and exercises his judgement in making recommendations. If the subject

pertains to his primary responsibility, he should be prepared to make a summation and provide counsel at the SORC meeting.

- 4.5 Alternates - Attend SORC meetings in the absence of members they represent or when called upon because of their particular expertise.

## 5.0 PROCEDURE

### 5.1 General

- 5.1.1 This section identifies those persons that comprise the SORC, meeting requirements and procedures, subjects and topics reviewed, actions to be taken, and the records that are maintained.

### 5.2 Membership

- 5.2.1 The SORC shall comprise the positions listed below. Each member must meet the qualification requirements for his position as specified in SA-AP.ZZ-002(Q).

5.2.1.1 Chairman - Assistant General Manager

5.2.1.2 Member - Technical Manager

5.2.1.3 Member - Operations Manager

5.2.1.4 Member - Maintenance Manager

5.2.1.5 Member - Radiation Protection Manager

5.2.1.6 Member - Operating Engineer

5.2.1.7 Member - Maintenance Engineer

5.2.1.8 Member - Technical Engineer

5.2.1.9 Member - Chemistry Engineer

5.2.1.10 Member - I&C Engineer

5.2.1.11 Member - Senior Nuclear Shift  
Supervisor

5.2.1.12 Member - Manager - On Site Safety  
Review Group (or Designee)

- 5.2.2 In the absence of the assigned Chairman, either the Technical Manager or Operations Manager may act as Chairman.
- 5.2.3 Two alternates shall be selected from each Hope Creek Operations Department. The alternate shall be qualified to function in the member's capacity when so delegated. Alternates shall be appointed by the SORC Chairman to serve on a temporary basis (i.e., for a specified period of time). The assignment of an alternate shall be made a part of the SORC minutes.
- 5.2.4 Consultants with expertise in specific areas such as radiochemistry, reactor engineering, QA, and environmental affairs may be called upon to assist the SORC.
- 5.2.5 Subcommittees may be assigned by the Chairman to review, investigate, or assess a particular area of activity. Consultants may be members of a subcommittee and a consultant or specialist, as appropriate, may chair a subcommittee.

### 5.3 Meetings

#### 5.3.1 Frequency

- 5.3.1.1 SORC meetings shall be scheduled at least once each month. Additional meetings may be called by the Chairman, as required.
- 5.3.1.2 Any department requiring a SORC meeting at other than the normally scheduled time and date shall contact the SORC Secretary and request the special meeting.

#### 5.3.2 Quorum/Attendees

- 5.3.2.1 A quorum shall consist of the Chairman and five committee members including alternates.
- 5.3.2.2 A SORC member from each department should attend the SORC meetings. In the absence of a member, his authorized alternate should attend.



5.3.2.3 The Chairman must verify that the assembled group provides the depth of balance necessary to handle the affairs of the meeting agenda.

5.3.2.4 No more than two alternates may be included in the quorum.

5.3.2.5 The SQAE shall be invited to all SORC meetings.

#### 5.3.3 Voting

5.3.3.1 No more than two alternates shall participate at any one time as voting members in the SORC activities.

5.3.3.2 A committee member and his designated alternate may not vote at the same meeting.

#### 5.3.4 Minutes

5.3.4.1 The SORC Secretary shall be responsible for having minutes prepared of each formal SORC meeting.

5.3.4.2 Minutes shall be sequentially numbered by calendar year and be the same as the meeting number (i.e., 84-01, 84-02, etc.).

5.3.4.3 Minutes shall contain, as a minimum, the date of the meeting, the names of those present at the meeting, and an account of the actions taken by the SORC. Minutes should include such information as the following if it is an action item:

- a. Reviews and evaluations made.
- b. Committee interactions and decisions made.
- c. Appointment of alternates.
- d. Subcommittee formation, purpose, and composition.

- e. Status of items requiring long term review activities and follow-up items.
  - f. Dissenting opinions and recommended actions.
- 5.3.4.4 Letters of appointment, subcommittee reports, etc. should be attached to the minutes of the meeting in which they were presented.
  - 5.3.4.5 Minutes shall be approved by the presiding Chairman.
  - 5.3.4.6 The Secretary shall have the minutes typed, copied, and distributed to all committee members and alternates, to the SQAE, to the Vice President - Nuclear, General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review (NRS), and to the Manager - Off Site Review (OSR). Distribution of the minutes shall be within 14 days of the meeting.
  - 5.3.4.7 Minutes of informal meetings need not be kept except as necessary to document reviews and approvals.

#### 5.4 Meeting Preparation, Procedures, Practices

- 5.4.1 All SORC members and alternates shall be advised of meetings that are to take place. However, only members are to attend unless their alternates have been advised otherwise.
- 5.4.2 Both members and alternates shall be advised of SORC activities so that loss of continuity will be minimal should a personnel substitution be made.
- 5.4.3 Material, such as procedures, to be considered by the SORC should be distributed at least 48 hours prior to a scheduled meeting to permit adequate time for review and proposed comments by committee members/alternates.
- 5.4.4 All departments presenting material (procedures, instructions, etc.) at a SORC meeting shall provide the SORC Secretary with a copy suitable for microfilming.



- 5.4.5 Reviews of Design Change Packages (DCPs), etc. should be made for technical content and feasibility - not just for scope, integration into the facility, and signatures.
- 5.4.6 Round table discussions shall be encouraged at the SORC meetings so that a common interpretation may be derived among the SORC members. Discussions pertaining to station operation, maintenance, test, administrative, and engineering conditions may reveal trends or potential nuclear safety hazards that should be further pursued.
- 5.4.7 All recommendations made by the SORC shall be submitted to the General Manager. In addition, the following items, acted upon by the SORC, shall be forwarded to the General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review for further action.
  - 5.4.7.1 Any safety evaluations for changes to procedures, equipment or systems, and tests or experiments completed under the provisions of 10CFR50.59, to verify that such actions did not constitute an unreviewed safety question.
  - 5.4.7.2 Proposed changes to procedures, equipment or systems, and proposed tests or experiments which involve an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10CFR50.59.
  - 5.4.7.3 Proposed changes to the Technical Specifications or the Station Operating License.
  - 5.4.7.4 Violations of codes, regulations, orders, Technical Specifications, license requirements, or of internal procedures or instructions having nuclear safety significance.
  - 5.4.7.5 Significant operating abnormalities or deviations from normal and expected performance of plant equipment that effect nuclear safety
  - 5.4.7.6 Events requiring 24-hour written notification to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

- 5.4.7.7 All recognized indications of an unanticipated deficiency in some aspect of design or operation of safety related structures, systems, or components.
- 5.4.8 Committee action to recommend approval or disapproval shall be the agreement of a majority of the members in attendance at the SORC. Such action shall be recorded in the minutes along with the dissenting opinion(s) of any committee member.
  - 5.4.8.1 Those items recommended for approval will be submitted to the General Manager for final approval.
  - 5.4.8.2 Those items not recommended for approval will be returned to the originator with appropriate comments.
- 5.4.9 If changes to a review are required before an approval will be made, and the time frame is such that another SORC review cannot be made, then a conditional approval may be granted. A notation such as "Contingent upon incorporation of specified changes" shall be entered on the document to indicate conditional approval.
- 5.4.10 Trends and summaries of deviation and nonconformance reports [SA-AP.ZZ-020(Q)] and nonreportable events [SA-AP.ZZ-006(Q)] should be brought to the attention of the SORC so that there is an awareness of these items.
- 5.4.11 If there is any disagreement between SORC and the General Manager, the General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review shall be advised in writing within 24 hours. The General Manager - Hope Creek Operations will have the responsibility for resolution of such disagreements.

5.4.12 Where necessary, followup and open items such as corrective action shall be designated to a subcommittee or to whomever is assigned. The SORC Secretary shall record this action in the minutes and provide the Station Response Coordinator with the open items for inclusion in the response tracking system.

CD-137Y

## 5.5 Committee Actions and Authority

The SORC shall act on the items listed below, performing reviews, investigations, analyses, reports, etc. and exercise its authority by making written recommendations to the General Manager. In addition, the SORC shall render determinations in writing whether each of the items cited in paragraphs 5.5.1 through 5.5.6, below, constitute an unreviewed safety question.

- 5.5.1 All station and departmental procedures, and changes thereto, that have a Q, F, or R designation in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-001(Q).
- 5.5.2 Proposed tests and experiments that effect nuclear safety before implementation.
- 5.5.3 All proposed changes to Appendix A of the Technical Specifications.
- 5.5.4 Proposed design changes or modifications to station systems or equipment that effect nuclear safety in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-008(Q).
- 5.5.5 Power ascension program test procedures and their test results.
- 5.5.6 Violations of the Technical Specifications and unplanned events that have nuclear safety significance including those events requiring 24-hour notification to the NRC. Such events shall be reported as cited in SA-AP.ZZ-006(Q). Documentation of the evaluation and review of Technical Specification violations shall be forwarded to the Vice President - Nuclear and the General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review.
- 5.5.7 Facility operations to detect potential nuclear safety hazards. NRC inspection reports, bulletins, licensee responses, circulars, and NRC correspondence relative to the facility operation are included in this review.

CD-165A

- 5.5.8 Special requests by the General Manager - Hope Creek Operations or the General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review. Such requests may include reviews, investigations, analyses, and reports.
- 5.5.9 Emergency, Fire Protection, and Security Plans, their implementing procedures, and changes to these plans and procedures.
- 5.5.10 All changes to O, F, or R procedures in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-032(Q). SORC shall request a safety evaluation for those changes that are not approved.
- 5.5.11 Requests for temporary modifications when safety-related systems are involved in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-013(Q).
- 5.5.12 Results of all post trip investigations in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-002(Q).
- 5.5.13 Noncompliances that are considered a substantial safety hazard as reported under 10CFR21 in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-028(Q).
- 5.5.14 Safety evaluations that have been completed under the provisions of 10CFR50.59.
- 5.5.15 All unplanned onsite releases of radioactivity to the environment including the preparation of reports covering evaluation, recommendations, and disposition of the corrective action to prevent recurrence and forwarding those reports to the Vice President - Nuclear and to the General Manager - Nuclear Safety Review.
- 5.5.16 All changes to the Process Control Manual and the Off-Site Dose Calculation Manual.
- 5.5.17 Those items that require followup action or investigation. The SORC Secretary shall maintain a status of the open items. The individual assigned to the open item shall report to the SORC on his findings, resolutions, etc. and provide adequate information for SORC action.

- 5.5.18 SORC shall ensure that those procedures adopted for use by the Public Service Startup Group (PSSUG) and specifically referenced in Table 5 of SA-AP.ZZ-001(Q) are not revised without the written concurrence of the Startup Manager.

5.6 Records

- 5.6.1 Minutes of all SORC meetings shall be maintained by the SORC Secretary in a file designated for SORC.
- 5.6.2 Supporting documents such as letters and reports referenced in the minutes shall be filed with the minutes.
- 5.6.3 Retention of all SORC records shall be in accordance with SA-AP.ZZ-011(Q).

5.7 Technical Review

NOTE 5.7

The Technical review process described herein shall be implemented upon issuance of this procedure. All nonadministrative procedures shall then be reviewed using the Procedure Review Form (Attachment 1). Those procedures prepared, but not reviewed prior to the issuance of SA-AP.ZZ-004(Q), Rev. 2 shall not require originator signoff on the Procedure Review Form. The Originator and Technical Reviewer shall not be the same person.

- 5.7.1 Procedure Technical Reviewers may be used by the SORC to provide a standardized technical review of nonadministrative procedures.
- 5.7.2 Technical Reviewers shall meet or exceed the qualifications described in Section 4.4 of ANSI/ANS-3.1 - 1981. The individual must have knowledge of the activity associated with the procedure, familiarity with the related regulatory and safety requirements, and experience in the use of similar procedures. Only qualifications to the requirements of Section 4.4 of ANSI/ANS-3.1 - 1981 shall be documented per SA-AP.ZZ-014(Q).



### 5.7.3 . Nomination and Appointment

- 5.7.3.1 Each Department Manager shall nominate individuals from their department to serve as Technical Reviewers. Ideally, at least two individuals should be nominated for each type of procedure (as defined in Table 2 of SA-AP.ZZ-001(Q)) for which the Station Department is responsible.
- 5.7.3.2 Appointment of an individual as a Technical Reviewer is by memorandum from the SORC Chairman. The appointment may be for an indefinite period and the individual may be qualified for and assigned to more than one category.
- 5.7.4 When a procedure or revision, has been prepared, the cognizant Department Manager assigns a Technical Reviewer to perform a review when applicable.
- 5.7.5 As an element of the review, the Originator and Technical Reviewer shall determine the need for a cross-discipline review. This determination shall be documented and presented with the results of the review to the cognizant Department Manager and SORC.
- 5.7.6 Procedures shall be reviewed by the designated Technical Reviewer against requirements contained in the following:
  - 5.7.6.1 FSAR - Procedures shall comply with and be in agreement with pertinent sections of the FSAR.
  - 5.7.6.2 P&IDs/design documents/drawings - Procedures shall be verified technically correct and consistent with the latest revision of P&IDs, design documents, and drawings.
  - 5.7.6.3 Vendor documents - Procedures shall incorporate vendor recommendations for operation, maintenance, and testing of respective equipment.



- 5.7.6.4 Technical Specifications - Technical Specification sections required or satisfied by the procedure shall be identified.
- 5.7.6.5 Procedure cross reference - Cross references to other station procedures shall be verified correct and complete.
- 5.7.6.6 Operating experience program - Procedures shall incorporate the commitments made in response to the following industry and regulatory issues:
  - a. INPO, SERs, and SOERs.
  - b. NRC Bulletins, Information Notices, Circulars, and Generic Letters.
  - c. Vendor information notices.
- 5.7.6.7 Format - Procedure format shall be consistent with the requirements of SA-AP.ZZ-001(Q), Preparation and Approval of Station Procedures.
- 5.7.6.8 Station/department administrative procedures - Procedure activities shall be reviewed for compliance and consistency with station and department administrative procedures.
- 5.7.6.9 Environmental qualification (EQ) program - Procedure activities shall be reviewed to provide assurance that equipment will not be operated outside its designed environmental envelope.
- 5.7.7 Technical reviews shall be documented on the Procedure Review Form (Attachment 1) with a synopsis of the procedure precautions, prerequisites, and implementing steps. Deficiencies which require procedure modification by the writer shall be documented on Form SA-AP.ZZ-001-3.

- 5.7.7.1 In completing Sections B and C of the Procedure Review Form, the Originator and Technical Reviewer, if applicable, shall initial the appropriate blanks to signify completion of, or concurrence with, each statement.
- 5.7.7.2 If a statement does not apply, the Originator/Technical Reviewer shall indicate Not Applicable (NA) in the appropriate blank.
- 5.7.7.3 If the Technical Reviewer cannot make a determination or furnish information indicated on the Procedure Review Form, the pertinent review question/statements should be escalated to the appropriate level of management and management signoff obtained.
- 5.7.8 All comments shall be resolved to the satisfaction of the procedure writer and the Technical Reviewer.
- 5.7.9 Upon completion of the review and resolution of all comments, Attachment 1 shall be affixed to the procedure and the procedure package submitted to the SORC for final review.

**ATTACHMENT 1  
PROCEDURE REVIEW FORM**

Procedure Number _____	Originator _____
Rev. _____	Technical _____
Title _____	Reviewer _____

**A. Procedure Synopsis:**

**B. Procedure Review:**

	Originator	Reviewer
1. The procedure complies with and is in agreement with the FSAR. Sections reviewed: _____	_____	_____
2. Procedural actions have been reviewed against the following Bechtel/Public Service design documents. No discrepancies were discovered. _____	_____	_____
a. Equipment numbers and/or nomenclature is identical to those displayed on design documents and on the actual device. _____	_____	_____
b. All referenced equipment can be positively located. _____	_____	_____
c. Equipment/instrument lineups are adequate and valve/switch positions are correct. _____	_____	_____

## ATTACHMENT 1 (cont'd)

	Originator	Reviewer
d. Instruments specified for monitoring/recording parameters meet the following criteria:	_____	_____
- Range is adequate for all applicable modes of operation		
- Instrument units are the same as specified in the procedure		
- the scale/readout is within the specified accuracy		
- the proper instrument is specified		
3. Procedural actions have been reviewed against the following vendor documents: _____	_____	_____
4. Procedural actions are in compliance with Technical Specifications. Applicable Sections: _____	_____	_____
a. The procedure provides appropriate control over isolation or defeat of redundant systems required to be operable.	_____	_____
b. The procedure requires SNSS/NSS permission prior to defeating or testing Tech. Spec. system.	_____	_____
c. Operability verification of redundant system trains is appropriately ensured prior to removing trains from service.	_____	_____
5. The following cross-reference procedures are correct, complete and appropriate: _____		
a. Sources of information required to complete this procedure are precisely identified and available.	_____	_____
b. For follow-up actions, tests, or procedures which must be performed following this procedure, the procedure provides adequate directions and clearly identifies personnel to be notified.	_____	_____

## ATTACHMENT 1 (cont'd)

	Originator	Reviewer
c. The referenced procedure clearly accomplishes the intended function.	_____	_____
d. This procedure requires signatures of concurrence/review (hold points) when required.	_____	_____
6. Operating experience lessons learned have been included with appropriate margin notations. Applicable Information Items _____	_____	_____
7. The procedure has been reviewed for the following items:		
a. The graphs, charts, tables, and data sheets are adequate for readability and interpolation or extraction of values.	_____	_____
b. The instructions are written in short, concise, identifiable steps.	_____	_____
c. Where blanks are provided in the procedure for recording data, the procedure clearly identifies what data (including units, if applicable) is to be placed in the blank (initials, value position, instrument reading, etc.)	_____	_____
d. The worksheets are designed to facilitate the required computations.	_____	_____
8. The instructional steps of the procedure meet the following criteria:		
a. The procedure can be performed in the sequence in which it is written.	_____	_____
b. The amount and level of information (level of detail) provided by the procedure is adequate for the intended use.	_____	_____
c. The level of detail in the procedure is adequate for verification and signoff of actions.	_____	_____
9. For a Technical Specification Surveillance Procedure, it is prepared in accordance with SA-AP.22-012(Q).	_____	_____

## ATTACHMENT 1 (cont'd)

- |  | Originator | Reviewer |
|--|------------|----------|
| 10. The procedure fulfills the requirements of the following applicable station and department administrative procedures:<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____ | _____      | _____    |
| 11. Computations required by the procedure are based on technically accurate, complete, and current formulas.<br>_____                               | _____      | _____    |

The procedure review process has been satisfactorily completed in accordance with SA-AP.22-004(Q) and approval of this procedure by SORC is recommended.

_____ Originator	_____ Date
_____ Technical Reviewer	_____ Date

## C. Cross-Discipline Review

- |  | Originator | Reviewer |
|--|------------|----------|
| 1. A cross-discipline review is not required.<br>Basis: _____<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____   | _____      | _____    |
| 2. This procedure should be reviewed by the following additional disciplines: _____<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____<br>_____  | _____      | _____    |
| 3. Cross-discipline reviews have been completed by the undersigned. Comments, if any, resulting from this review have been documented on Form SA-AP.22-001-3 and have been resolved. |            |          |

<u>Discipline</u>	<u>Reviewer Signature</u>	<u>Date</u>
-------------------	---------------------------	-------------