



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 24, 1996

MEMORANDUM TO: David B. Matthews, Chief
Generic Issues and Environmental Projects Branch
Division of Reactor Program Management, NRR

FROM: James H. Wilson, Senior Project Manager *James H. Wilson*
Generic Issues and Environmental Projects Branch
Division of Reactor Program Management, NRR

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 9 AND 10, 1996, WITH
ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE ON QUALIFICATION PROGRAM
FOR PROGRAMMABLE LOGIC CONTROLLERS

On September 10 and 11, 1996, the staff held a public meeting with the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) at NRC headquarters in Rockville, Maryland to discuss EPRI's program for qualification of programmable logic controllers (PLCs) for use in safety systems at nuclear power plants. A list of attendees and their affiliations is provided as Attachment 1. A copy of the preliminary draft of the EPRI requirements/guidance document for qualification of PLCs that served as the basis for discussion is provided as Attachment 2. This document, prepared by EPRI's contractor, S. Levy, Inc., contains draft requirement specifications for qualifying a PLC for Nuclear Class 1 service.

The goal for the meeting was to discuss and resolve comments on the draft requirements document. The NRC comments, transmitted to EPRI prior to the meeting, are provided as Attachment 3. The majority of the NRC comments were accepted, withdrawn by the commentor, or tabled for discussion with the authors. However, three major areas of discussion were not resolved at the meeting, as described below.

Quality Assurance

One of the major comments by the NRC dealt with the relationship between the ISO-9000 series certification and compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. The staff questioned whether the purpose of the specification was to provide a level of quality assurance equivalent to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, or to provide assurance of compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. The staff stated that ISO-9000 can not automatically be assumed to be the equivalent of Appendix B. Furthermore, a simple reference to a standard is not sufficient evidence that a QA program is in compliance with Appendix B. Rather, the requirements that the QA plan is based on should be stated and, if acceptable, these requirements would then form the bases for an audit to verify compliance with Appendix B.

This comment generated considerable discussion regarding the scope and focus of the requirements specification. The staff and EPRI discussed whether this document was intended apply to the commercial PLC, a PLC vendor that is also an

9610030287 960924

PDR PROJ

669

PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

1103

1103
111
Proj-669

Appendix B vendor, or a third party qualifier (an Appendix B vendor) who in turn procures the PLC from a commercial PLC vendor? This discussion included the assignment of the Part 21 reporting responsibility. Several of the utility members were concerned that inclusion of requirements specific to compliance with requirements from 10 CFR would significantly limit the number of PLC vendors that would be interested in meeting the generic PLC requirements specification. This matter was not resolved at the meeting and the next draft should provide clarification.

The staff notes that the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) task for the development of criteria for commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software for application to nuclear power plant systems important to safety faced a similar issue. That is, whether the vendor's process should be one for commercial dedication as defined in 10 CFR Part 21, or should the vendor's process have a quality assurance program that results in a commercial grade item that can be used as a basic component; i.e. is equivalent to an item designed and manufactured under a 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B quality assurance program. The LLNL task was directed to the development of acceptance criteria for commercial grade software for application in safety systems, and not to the formal commercial dedication process. The acceptance process reported in the LLNL final task report, NUREG/CR-6421, "A Proposed Acceptance Process for Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Software in Reactor Applications," was based to a large extent on the review of current and draft IEEE Computer Society Standards as summarized in Appendix A of the report. Regulatory guides that endorse these standards are now out for public comment, with the exception of IEEE 730.1 and 730.2. The subject of standards for software QA is very fluid, primarily because of issues concerning ISO-9000 series, vs. IEEE documents, and in the Nuclear area, ASME NQA-1-1994, subpart 2.7 vs. an IEEE QA standard.

Software Requirements

Another area of major comment by the NRC that was not resolved at the meeting dealt with software requirements, both in the section on software/firmware (Section 4.4) and in the section on PLC architecture and the section on availability/reliability (Sections 4.1.1 and 4.2.3, respectively). The comments on Section 4.1.1 dealt with the multitasking features in the PLC operating system that permitted event-driven interrupts rather than giving preference to a continuous loop non-interruptable software structure. This would significantly complicate the V&V process (e.g., see Section 6.1.3.14 of Chapter 10 of the EPRI Utility Requirements for Evolutionary Plant Designs). The comment on Section 4.2.3 dealt with the implication that reliability was achieved primarily through redundancy rather than through both high reliability of components (hardware and software) and diversity/redundancy. These comments will be addressed in the next draft.

Ladder Logic Tools

The third major area of staff concern was raised during the meeting and, therefore, did not receive much discussion. It involved the concern that PLC ladder logic tools could provide a means for coding structures that have been identified as sources of potential problems with PLC programs; i.e. the potential for unintended functions based on the use of retentive vs. non-retentive output functions. This identification was given in NUREG/CR-6463, "Review Guidelines on Software Languages for Use in NPP Safety Systems," authored by SoHaR, Inc. and is available on the web (<http://www.sohar.com/J1030/toc.htm>). The sections of this report that dealt with PLC ladder logic were given to attendees of this meeting for consideration in the next draft of the requirements document.

Project No. 669

Attachments: As stated

cc w/ attachments:
See next page

Ladder Logic Tools

The third major area of staff concern was raised during the meeting and, therefore, did not receive much discussion. It involved the concern that PLC ladder logic tools could provide a means for coding structures that have been identified as sources of potential problems with PLC programs; i.e. the potential for unintended functions based on the use of retentive vs. non-retentive output functions. This identification was given in NUREG/CR-6463, "Review Guidelines on Software Languages for Use in NPP Safety Systems," authored by SoHaR, Inc. and is available on the web (<http://www.sohar.com/J1030/toc.htm>). The sections of this report that dealt with PLC ladder logic were given to attendees of this meeting for consideration in the next draft of the requirements document.

Project No. 669

Attachments: As stated

cc w/ attachments:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION: * w/o atts

| | | |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Central File | ACRS | WRussell/FMiraglia * |
| PUBLIC | JJoyce * | RZimmerman * |
| PGEB r/f | JWermiel * | AThadani * |
| BGrimes * | JMauck * | BBoger * |
| DMatthews * | DSpaulding * | WBeckner * |
| RArchitizel * | JGallagher * | TAlexion * |
| JWilson | JPeralta * | MChiramal * |

Document Name: MEETSUM.910

| | | | | |
|------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| OFC | PGEB <i>[Signature]</i> | SC:PGEB <i>[Signature]</i> | C:HICB <i>[Signature]</i> | C:PGEB <i>[Signature]</i> |
| NAME | JWilson:sw | RArchitizel | JWermiel | DMatthews |
| DATE | 9/23/96 | 9/24/96 | 9/23/96 | 9/24/96 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

96-116

LIST OF ATTENDEES AT MEETING WITH EPRI HELD IN
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND ON SEPTEMBER 10 and 11, 1996

| <u>NAME</u> | <u>AFFILIATION</u> |
|---------------|--------------------|
| J. Wermiel | NRC |
| J. Mauck | NRC |
| J. Gallagher | NRC |
| D. Spaulding | NRC |
| J. Stewart | NRC |
| J. Peralta | NRC |
| J. H. Wilson | NRC |
| C. Douth* | NRC |
| T. Jackson* | NRC |
| L. Campbell* | NRC |
| D. Matthews† | NRC |
| R. Carritte | MPR Associates |
| J. Amin | TU Electric |
| W. Sotos | HL&P |
| S. Jasien | HL&P |
| H. Fish* | NY Power Authority |
| J. Ruether* | NSP |
| D. Wilkinson* | EPRI |
| W. Wellborn† | HL&P |
| R. May† | S. Levy, Inc. |
| A. Ostansof | S. Levy, Inc. |
| B. Geddest | BG&E |

* - present on September 10 only

† - present on September 11 only