

To: Ms. Jackson (Chair (person) man
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC

From: Sherwood Bauman
Save Wills Creek
6354 Cowgill Lane
Cumberland, Ohio 43732

Reas: Formal Request for administrative Action-postponement of public meeting in the Shieldalloy EIS case scheduled for September 16th, 1996 in Cambridge, Ohio and a request for and extension of time to file written comments of 180 days.

Dear NRC:

This request for an Administrative Action that would accomplish the goals outlined above is made in two parts so that they can be ruled on individually and separately.

POSTPONE OF SEPTEMBER 16TH PUBLIC MEETING SCHEDULED TO BE HELD AT THE PRITCHARD LAUGHLIN CIVIC CENTER IN CAMBRIDGE, OHIO.

For the reasons more fully outlined below, Save Wills Creek and Sherwood Bauman hereby respectfully move the NRC to postpone the public hearing for a period of 45 days.

1. Save Wills Creek is a recognized member of the Round Table meetings and hearings related to the Shieldalloy site and all on/off site environmental issues pertaining to your licensee Shieldalloy.
2. As such, it is imperative that we are able to review the EIS and all underlying documents so that we can be properly prepared and ready to give testimony at said meetings. One such *important* underlying document is the RIFS being prepared by the state of Ohio. The EIS prepared for this site in fact refers readers to this document on numerous occasions for a more detailed analysis of certain information. Our organizations requests for a copy have been returned for authorization of fees. Said document is some 2,000 pages in length and would carry a copying charge of \$500 dollars. Our organization cannot afford such fees. The alternative (as given to us by Jim Kennedy of your staff) was to review the document at the PDR located here in Guernsey county, Ohio. Said document was not placed into the PDR until the evening of September 6th, 1996. Therefore, the earliest chance we had to even look at the document was September 9th, 1996. It is physically impossible for us to review and cross reference this 2,000 page document to the EIS in a period of only five working days.
3. Additionally, we received a complaint via the phone that said PDR is not handicapped accessible in its current location.

We feel that a postpone of the meeting for a period of 45 days is justified. Said time would allow us to properly review the underlying documents to the EIS that said EIS refer us as affected citizens to, and would additionally give the NRC the necessary time to see that the PDR is moved to a place that is accessible to ALL CITIZENS of our county, including those with disabilities. We would suggest as an alternative date either Tuesday Oct. 29th, 1996, or the following Wednesday November 6th, 1996.

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE EIS FOR A PERIOD OF 180 DAYS:

For the reasons more fully outlined below, the Save Wills Creek Water Resources Committee, and Sherwood Bauman hereby respectfully request that the NRC extend the time allotted to submit written comments on the EIS (docket number 040-8948) for a period of 180 days.

1. The NRC and all cooperating agency's have spent almost three years evaluating the environmental and health risks associated with this site. In the process, they have generated some 200 plus inches of documents into the PDR here in our community. To properly understand the EIS, it is imperative that our organization and the affected community have the adequate time needed to cross reference and study these documents as they relate to said EIS.
2. Additionally, the RI FS which is a key underlying document to this EIS is still in draft format and will not even be released for formal public comment and review for at least another 30-60 days. Said RI FS plays a key role in accessing the EIS for our community.
3. Two of the options being discussed in the EIS involve returning contaminated offsite slag to the facility for long term care and disposal. However, at this point in time, the NRC and the state of Ohio have not even decided the issue of agency responsibility for this slag. As a result of this, the public has not even had a proper public meeting on these materials, the amounts involved, or seen the results of the second phase of the offsite studies. It is therefore impossible for us to fully and properly comment on this portion of the EIS until after being properly briefed as to the offsite slag issue's. We have been told that the offsite slag jurisdictional issue should be resolved shortly, and that once that has been resolved the NRC or state of Ohio will be able to address the contamination and volume issue with our community. We feel that 180 days would allow this issue to be resolved, and then allow us to properly evaluate and comment on the two options which include onsite disposal of these materials (note-these options were added to the EIS at the request of the licensee, so we feel it only appropriate that our community be given adequate information and time to evaluate them.)
4. The current PDR only allows a maximum of 20 pages (front and back) to be copied per visit. Resultantly, the time needed to travel to and from and copy the needed documents is greatly increasing the time needed to review all underlying materials to the EIS.

For this reason, we respectfully move the NRC to extend the Public Comments period for a period of 180 days from the date of this letter.

Respectfully Submitted

Sherwood Bauman
9/09/1996

urgent

f a c s i m i l e

To: **Secretary's Office**

Company:

Fax Number: +1 (301) 415 1672

Business Phone:

From: **Sherwood Forest Bauman**

Fax Number: +1 (614) 638-2529

Business Phone:

Home Phone:

Pages: 3

Date/Time: 9/9/96 2:56:02 PM

Subject: Postpone Request/Public Hearing

Dear Ms Jackson:

Please find enclosed our organizations formal request to your office. We believe that the interest of fair play dictates that the public meeting scheduled in Cambridge next week should be postponed as per our request. We additionally believe that justice and fair play also dictates that our request for and extension of the public comments period is fair as well.

Sincerely Yours
Sherwood Bauman

9/9...To EDO for Direct Reply....Suspense: Sept 11...Cpy to: Chairman,
Comrs, OGC, Blaha, Cameron, DSB...96-0954

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 09/13/96

EDO CONTROL: GT96689

DOC DT: 09/09/96

FINAL REPLY:

Sherwood Bauman
Dave Wills Creek

TO:

Chairman Jackson

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 96-0954

Weber

DESC:

ROUTING:

REQUEST POSTPONEMENT OF PUBLIC MEETING IN THE
SHIELDALLOY EIS CASE SCHEDULED FOR 9/16/96 IN
CAMBRIDGE, OHIO AND A REQUEST FOR AND EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE WRITTEN COMMENTS OF 180 DAYS

Taylor
Milhoan
Thompson
Blaha
Cyr, OGC

DATE: 09/11/96

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NMSS

Paperiello

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

DWM Action	
Due to NMSS Director's Office	
By	9/13/96
rec'd	9/14/96

ACTION: Weber
Due to DWM
Director's Office: 9/13

cc: m7
JH

9/11

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

PAPER NUMBER: CRC-96-0954 LOGGING DATE: Sep 9 96

ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: SHERWOOD FOREST BAUMAN

AFFILIATION: OHIO

ADDRESSEE: CHAIRMAN JACKSON

LETTER DATE: Sep 9 96 FILE CODE:

SUBJECT: POSTPONE REQUEST/PUBLIC HEARING

ACTION: Direct Reply

DISTRIBUTION: CHAIRMAN, COMRS, BLAHA, DSB, OGC

SPECIAL HANDLING: ADVANCED COPIES

CONSTITUENT:

NOTES:

DATE DUE: Sep ¹³~~12~~ 96

SIGNATURE: DATE SIGNED:

AFFILIATION:

Rec'd Off. EDO
Date 9/11/96
Time 9:30 AM

EDO -- GT96689

To: Ms. Jackson (Chair (person) man
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC

From: Sherwood Bauman
Save Wills Creek
6354 Cowgill Lane
Cumberland, Ohio 43732

Reas. Formal Request for administrative Action-postponement of public meeting in the Shieldalloy EIS case scheduled for September 16th, 1996 in Cambridge, Ohio and a request for and extension of time to file written comments of 180 days.

Dear NRC:

This request for an Administrative Action that would accomplish the goals outlined above is made in two parts so that they can be ruled on individually and separately.

POSTPONE OF SEPTEMBER 16th PUBLIC MEETING SCHEDULED TO BE HELD AT THE PRITCHARD LAUGHLIN CIVIC CENTER IN CAMBRIDGE, OHIO.

For the reasons more fully outlined below, Save Wills Creek and Sherwood Bauman hereby respectfully move the NRC to postpone the public hearing for a period of 45 days.

1. Save Wills Creek is a recognized member of the Round Table meetings and hearings related to the Shieldalloy site and all on-off site environmental issues pertaining to your licensee Shieldalloy.
2. As such, it is imperative that we are able to review the EIS and all underlying documents so that we can be properly prepared and ready to give testimony at said meetings. One such *important* underlying document is the RIFS being prepared by the state of Ohio. The EIS prepared for this site in fact refers readers to this document on numerous occasions for a more detailed analysis of certain information. Our organizations requests for a copy have been returned for authorization of fees. Said document is some 2,000 pages in length and would carry a copying charge of \$500 dollars. Our organization cannot afford such fees. The alternative (as given to us by Jim Kennedy of your staff) was to review the document at the PDR located here in Guernsey county, Ohio. Said document was not placed into the PDR until the evening of September 6th, 1996. Therefore, the earliest chance we had to even look at the document was September 9th, 1996. It is physically impossible for us to review and cross reference this 2,000 page document to the EIS in a period of only five working days.
3. Additionally, we received a complaint via the phone that said PDR is not handicapped accessible in its current location.

We feel that a postpone of the meeting for a period of 45 days is justified. Said time would allow us to properly review the underlying documents to the EIS that said EIS refer us as affected citizens to, and would additionally give the NRC the necessary time to see that the PDR is moved to a place that is accessible to ALL CITIZENS of our county, including those with disabilities. We would suggest as an alternative date either Tuesday Oct. 29th, 1996, or the following Wednesday November 6th, 1996.

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE EIS FOR A PERIOD OF 180 DAYS:

For the reasons more fully outlined below, the Save Wills Creek Water Resources Committee, and Sherwood Bauman hereby respectfully request that the NRC extend the time allotted to submit written comments on the EIS (docket number 040-8948) for a period of 180 days.

9609190264 3PP

1. The NRC and all cooperating agency's have spent almost three years evaluating the environmental and health risks associated with this site. In the process, they have generated some 200 plus inches of documents into the PDR here in our community. To properly understand the EIS, it is imperative that our organization and the affected community have the adequate time needed to cross reference and study these documents as they relate to said EIS.
2. Additionally, the RI FS which is a key underlying document to this EIS is still in draft format and will not even be released for formal public comment and review for at least another 30-60 days. Said RI FS plays a key role in assessing the EIS for our community.
3. Two of the options being discussed in the EIS involve returning contaminated offsite slag to the facility for long term care and disposal. However, at this point in time, the NRC and the state of Ohio have not even decided the issue of agency responsibility for this slag. As a result of this, the public has not even had a proper public meeting on these materials, the amounts involved, or seen the results of the second phase of the offsite studies. It is therefore impossible for us to fully and properly comment on this portion of the EIS until after being properly briefed as to the offsite slag issue's. We have been told that the offsite slag jurisdictional issue should be resolved shortly, and that once that has been resolved the NRC or state of Ohio will be able to address the contamination and volume issue with our community. We feel that 180 days would allow this issue to be resolved, and then allow us to properly evaluate and comment on the two options which include onsite disposal of these materials. (note-these options were added to the EIS at the request of the licensee, so we feel it only appropriate that our community be given adequate information and time to evaluate them.)
4. The current PDR only allows a maximum of 20 pages (front and back) to be copied per visit. Resultantly, the time needed to travel to and from and copy the needed documents is greatly increasing the time needed to review all underlying materials to the EIS.

For this reason, we respectfully move the NRC to extend the Public Comments period for a period of 180 days from the date of this letter.

Respectfully Submitted

Sherwood Bauman
9/09/1996

urgent

facsimile

To: Secretary's Office

Company:

Fax Number: +1 (301) 415 1672

Business Phone:

From: Sherwood Forest Bauman

Fax Number: +1 (614) 636-2528

Business Phone:

Home Phone:

Pages: 3

Date/Time: 9/9/96 2:56:02 PM

Subject: Postpone Request/Public Hearing

Dear Ms Jackson:

Please find enclosed our organizations formal request to your office. We believe that the interest of fair play dictates that the public meeting scheduled in Cambridge next week should be postponed as per our request. We additionally believe that justice and fair play also dictates that our request for and extension of the public comments period is fair as well.

Sincerely Yours
Sherwood Bauman

9/9...To EDO for Direct Reply....Surpense: Sept 11...Cpy to: Chairman,
Comr, OGC, Blaha, Cameron, DBB...96-0934

END