

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Virginia Electric and Power Company
Surry

Docket Nos. 50-280, 50-281
License Nos. DPR-32, DPR-37

During an NRC inspection conducted on February 24 - March 30, 1991, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI and the licensee's Quality Assurance Program (VEP 1-5A, Section 17.2.16) collectively state, in part, that measures shall be established to ensure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected and that, in the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the corrective action taken precludes repetition.

Contrary to the above, the corrective actions implemented throughout 1990 to preclude repetition of untimely periodic surveillance performances were inadequate, in that, in March 1991, two additional periodic surveillances, 2-PT-18.6I and PT 53.3, were identified as not performed within the Technical Specification periodicity requirements.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Virginia Electric and Power company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and if applicable, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Marvin V. Sinkule

Marvin V. Sinkule, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 2
Division of Reactor Projects

9610210084 910412
PDR ADOCK 05000280
Q PDR

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 12th day of April 1991