

February 19, 1993

Steve Floyd
NUMARC
1776 I Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Floyd:

Thank you for your assistance in ascertaining what PRA and related programs are currently ongoing or planned with the licensees and industry. As you are aware, we are committed to ensure that licensee's and industry's perspectives are recognized so that a consensus is developed, to the extent possible, of the Regulatory Review Group's findings. In developing our recommendations regarding the utilization of PRA in providing additional flexibility in the regulatory process, we feel it is essential that we be cognizant of current and planned programs. We are, therefore, interested in the scope, structure and time frame of any related program. The areas and topics of interest to us are provided in the enclosed Table 1. In addition, based on available literature and the recent ANS conference in Florida, it appears there are several innovative programs currently in place (or planned); however, the details of the programs are not known. This list is provided in the enclosed Table 2.

Based on our schedule, we would require this information by March 22, 1993. Please call me if this time frame is not workable. Thank you for your assistance.

Original signed by
Frank P. Gillespie

Frank P. Gillespie
Regulatory Review Group, EDO

Enclosure:
As stated

DISTRIBUTION:
Central Files
PDR
JSniezek
FGillespie
RRG Team Members
RRG R/F

RRG EDO
FGILLESPIE: no
2/19/93

240056

RETURN TO REGULATORY CENTRAL FILES

9302250031 930219
PDR REVOP ERONUMRC
PDR

FO 3
111
MHS-32-2C

X Hm-7
NUMARC

Table 1
Areas and Topics Supported by PRA

PRA used in the following areas, but not limited to:

- Safety impact assessment of plant (e.g., design) modifications
- Identification of critical (i.e., safety significant and insignificant) systems, components and parts
- Prioritization for Maintenance and Rule-related activities
- Identification and management of safety significant configurations during power and/or shutdown modes
- Technical specification support; e.g., safety impact of extended AOTs, LCOs and STIs
- Development of "living" PRA
- Development of plant-specific data to support PRA to determine --
 - Common cause
 - Specific component and component part failure rates
 - Failures rates of commercially procured non-safety grade components
- Identification and categorization of components or parts for graded response to Maintenance Rule or graded QA

Table 2
Summary of Potential Organizations

Current or Planned PRA program, but not limited to:

- Arizona Public Service
- Carolina Power and Light
- Commonwealth Edison
- Duke Power
- Electric Power Research Institute
- Energy Operations
- Florida Power and Light
- Florida Power Corporation
- Gulf States Utilities
- Houston, Lighting and Power
- New York Power Authority
- Northeast Utilities
- Omaha Public Power District
- Philadelphia Electric Company
- Yankee Atomic