

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

Interview of George A. Kennard

Docket No.

Location:

Pages: 1 - 18

Date: June 17, 1982

8506030146 831110
PDR FOIA
BERNABEB3-A-9 PDR

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters
1625 I Street, N.W. Suite 1004
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 293-3950

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Interview of George A. Kennard

June 17, 1982
Palo Verde Nuclear Station

Investigators: E. J. Power
O. C. Shackleton

P R O C E E D I N G S

INVESTIGATOR: This is an interview of Mr. George A. Kennard. Mr. Kennard is an electrician presently working for Bechtel Power Corporation, assigned to Unit 1, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station.

Present to conduct this interview for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is E. J. Power and myself, O. C. Shackleton, investigators assigned from Region V.

Mr. Kennard, do we have your permission to tape record this interview?

MR. KENNARD: Yes.

INVESTIGATOR: Thank you.

7(A)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 (A)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INVESTIGATOR: We don't know your craft. I may
ask you some things that are a little bit (inaudible) so you'll

1 have to understand.

2 Gene, do you have any questions on splicing?

3 Another allegation we had is concerning when you
4 fellows make a termination and everything goes fine, it gets
5 bought off, and you go off on another assignment somewhere
6 but later come back to the same area and you find the termina-
7 tion you'd done had been de-terminated maybe by Startup and
8 then either wasn't re-terminated or was not done too neatly
9 when it was re-terminated. Have you ever had any problems
10 in that area?

11 MR. KENNARD: No, I can't say I have.

12 INVESTIGATOR: I've forgotten now, are you working
13 with the high voltage, or --?

14 MR. KENNARD: I've been in high voltage most of
15 the time.

16 INVESTIGATOR: (Inaudible). How long.

17 MR. KENNARD: Well, I'd say probably three years
18 out here.

19 INVESTIGATOR: In the period that you've been here,
20 quite a long time, so you'll probably recall there was a
21 period of time for insulation material that you guys are
22 using a material called Scotch Fill.

23 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

24 INVESTIGATOR: And we understand that it turned
25 out not to be satisfactory inasmuch as under the intense heat

1 of the desert climate it was melting and you were getting
2 runoff (inaudible) and then you changed and went to 130 C.

3 MR. KENNARD: That's correct.

4 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall that?

5 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Were you involved in any of the
7 retrofit? Did you go back and retrofit --

8 MR. KENNARD: Yes, I was on at least two or three
9 of those.

10 INVESTIGATOR: And you're using 130 C now, is that
11 correct?

12 MR. KENNARD: That's correct.

13 INVESTIGATOR: The next issue, George, relates to
14 a situation like where you're -- we're to connect a field
15 cable to a motor, and the motor on the pecker-head has got
16 a single bolt lug and your field cable's got a two-bolt lug,
17 have you had any connections you've had to make up like that?

18 MR. KENNARD: I've had a few of those, yes.

19 INVESTIGATOR: And then how did you do them,
20 George?

21 MR. KENNARD: Well, at the direction of the foreman,
22 he went and checked to see what we were supposed to do and
23 what we had to do, and there were a few times when we did cut
24 a lug off to make the connection or put an extra bolt in the
25 lug to fill the hole. That didn't happen very often.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Was that all done under one foreman,
2 or was that other foremen? Do you remember?

3 MR. KENNARD: Well, most of the high voltage has
4 been under the one foreman, until the last--

5 INVESTIGATOR: Who would that be?

6 MR. KENNARD: That would be Rich Keith.

7 INVESTIGATOR: Well, when you cut the lug, isn't
8 that a violation of your procedure?

9 MR. KENNARD: Well normally it is, but sometimes
10 you may have to do that for the size of the pecker-head or
11 the fit of the kit, you know, that you get, the motor kit,
12 especially on the Q class cable.

13 INVESTIGATOR: When you cut a lug, say you cut --
14 I guess you would cut through a field cable? Or would it vary.
15 If the field cable has got a two-bolt lug and the pecker-head
16 has a one-bolt lug, where would you normally cut from, then?

17 MR. KENNARD: Well, we didn't every try to cut
18 them. I mean, there probably were a few cases that we did.
19 I don't know exactly -- I couldn't take you out and show you
20 on that right now.

21 INVESTIGATOR: What I want to ask you is when you
22 cut one, so that you've only got one lug left, am I right --?

23 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

24 INVESTIGATOR: -- is there a particular amount of
25 metal that you have to leave?

1 MR. KENNARD: Yes. We have to leave a certain
2 amount, as much as on the sides, and a little more. I don't
3 remember the exact measurement, but you have to leave at
4 least as much --

5 INVESTIGATOR: -- on the top -- as there is on the
6 top as on the bottom --

7 INVESTIGATOR: -- on either side?

8 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. The next question -- pardon
10 me.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Before you go on, in reference to
12 all those, to the best of your knowledge was this all done in
13 accordance with procedures and the requirements of (inaudible)?

14 MR. KENNARD: Yes. sir.

15 INVESTIGATOR: Have you had any situations such as
16 -- in nonconformance; there was an NCR written, the engineer
17 looked at it and (inaudible). To the best of your knowledge.

18 MR. KENNARD: Let's see. Well, there was one QC
19 fella that didn't like the one lug of the small wire going on
20 -- see, we had four _____ coming in. And maybe we had a small
21 lug on the motor. So he didn't like that, first thing. But
22 I think they ended up saying that's what they wanted.

23 INVESTIGATOR: So in that case he questioned the
24 installation --

25 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

1 INVESTIGATOR -- he got a disposition from the QC
2 side of engineering --

3 MR. KENNARD: That's right.

4 INVESTIGATOR: When did this approximately occur?

5 MR. KENNARD: I would say this was maybe a year ago.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall the QC's name?

7 MR. KENNARD: I'll think of it in just a second.

8 INVESTIGATOR: Did he write an NCR --?

9 MR. KENNARD: Yes, he put a red tag on it and --

10 INVESTIGATOR: Could his name have been Broyhill?
11 Ken Broyhill?

12 MR. KENNARD: Yes. Kevin. Kevin Broyhill.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Was the end result satisfactory to
14 the best of your knowledge to everybody involved?

15 MR. KENNARD: I think it went on through and they
16 used the one hole, and then put a bolt in the other hole.

17 INVESTIGATOR: Yes.

18 MR. KENNARD: As I remember.

19 INVESTIGATOR: While we're talking about QC
20 inspectors, George, from your observation in all these 30
21 years that you've been lurking in the crowd, do you feel that
22 the QC inspectors that are working with you fellows are
23 adequately trained and are doing an adequate job?

24 INVESTIGATOR: Now this doesn't mean they have to
25 be an electrician. Because a QC inspector is to perform a

1 check-type function. Is he adequately performing a function?

2 MR. KENNARD: I would say yes, that they are.

3 INVESTIGATOR: You say it with some reservations.

4 MR. KENNARD: Well, I think that some of them, when
5 they first got in here really didn't know anything, and that
6 possibly they learned something from us out in the field.

7 INVESTIGATOR: Well, they should.

8 INVESTIGATOR: Because they all start someplace.

9 MR. KENNARD: Right.

10 INVESTIGATOR: Particularly the younger folks.

11 MR. KENNARD: Yes. But I think that especially
12 now they are doing a good job on checking whatever work --

13 INVESTIGATOR: Right. Now the other part of that
14 question is to the best of your knowledge, they -- nothing
15 has ever been installed that, QC didn't perform adequately,
16 an adequate check on it?

17 MR. KENNARD: No, I would have to say that it's
18 all been checked and see to use the right materials and the
19 right tools and all that.

20 INVESTIGATOR: They were very specific.

21 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

22 INVESTIGATOR: Next part of the question on these
23 QC people, do you feel that the QC people that work with you,
24 and the crews that you work with out there are able to work
25 freely without any intimidation by the construction supervision

1 or the crafts?

2 MR. KENNARD: Yes, they seem to know what they can
3 tell you and not; they have their own boss and that's who they
4 really go by.

5 INVESTIGATOR: What I'm getting at is situations
6 where they may hang red tags, the QC inspector, for some
7 reason that he feels should be hung, and there should be no
8 further work done on that cable. And a foreman from construc-
9 tion comes along and says, Get the damn tags off there, it's
10 all right; and the QC --

11 MR. KENNARD: Well, I don't think they would listen
12 to him at that point. They are doing what they understand is
13 the proper procedure, and they really don't care what that
14 foreman says, you know; they go ahead and do their thing.

15 INVESTIGATOR: George, have you participated in
16 some high pot testing and __ring?

17 MR. KENNARD: Yes, I have.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. We have allegations that there
19 are some cables that have been high pot tested several times.
20 Either because of the lack of coordination between construction
21 and Startup or maybe for some other reasons. Have you ever
22 observed and know of any excessive high pot testing?

23 MR. KENNARD: Well, the only high pot that I know
24 of is what we did after we would terminate cables, and then
25 run a high pot -- you know, a meger and a high pot test on that

1 (inaudible). But now if something was done at a later date,
2 I wouldn't --

3 INVESTIGATOR: Be familiar with that.

4 INVESTIGATOR: We have an allegation concerning
5 O rings. And what it amounts to is a concern that there was
6 an installation in the control building at the 100 foot
7 elevation. Have you ever worked down there?

8 MR. KENNARD: Yes. I worked in control lines.

9 INVESTIGATOR: What I'm talking about, to the
10 best of my understanding is that apparently we were talking
11 about conduit that is coming down and it's terminating into
12 some kind of a control panel, and where it enters the panel
13 they've got a slip fitting with threads and an O ring that is
14 compressed to give it a watertight fitting. Have you done
15 any of those?

16 MR. KENNARD: Well, I haven't really done that,
17 but I've seen a lot. Most of them I've seen have the O rings
18 on.

19 INVESTIGATOR: The allegation was that there was
20 a period of time that I'm asking you whether or not you may
21 recall this because you were here; that Bechtel didn't have
22 enough O rings. And there was allegedly a period of time for
23 about six months that the guys were told to go ahead and
24 install the pipe in, even though they didn't have the O rings?

25 MR. KENNARD: I didn't do hardly any pipe.

1 I worked on trays some, (inaudible), and then I got termina-
2 tions. But I didn't get involved in running a lot of small
3 pipe.

4 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. Have you ever heard any
5 discussion amongst the journeymen about not having O rings
6 available when they needed them?

7 MR. KENNARD: No, I haven't.

8 INVESTIGATOR: We have another allegation concerning
9 when they do a core drilling, to make a penetration and the
10 guys doing the drilling inadvertently drilled into some
11 conduit. Have you ever heard of or seen that problem?

12 MR. KENNARD: I have not seen that.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Along with that, George, you've
14 been here so long, maybe he knows those two guys we're trying
15 to find.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Oh. Yes. Do you know Jim Williams?
17 Have you ever heard of an electrician, Jim Williams?

18 MR. KENNARD: No.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Jerry Stewart?

20 MR. KENNARD: I don't know him.

21 INVESTIGATOR: Or maybe another guy -- this guy
22 might be -- Mike Ostad. Is he the (inaudible)?

23 MR. KENNARD: Osted?

24 INVESTIGATOR: Or Oswald?

25 MR. KENNARD: Yes, Mike Oswald. He was a wire

1 pulling foreman or general foreman.

2 INVESTIGATOR: Wait a minute, though. There's a
3 Mike Osgood.

4 INVESTIGATOR: Yes, that's a different --
5 (Simultaneous discussion.)

6 INVESTIGATOR: Oswald?

7 MR. KENNARD: Yes, there was a Mike Oswald.

8 INVESTIGATOR: Is he still around?

9 MR. KENNARD: I think he's down on 2 or 3 now.
10 I think he's a foreman down there.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Wire pullers. Would they have taken
12 (inaudible) damaged conduit in the wall? To your knowledge.
13 I realize it's out of your area.

14 MR. KENNARD: Well, I doubt that. I imagine it
15 would be in the piping or the piping crews.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. The last item to discuss
17 with you, George, has nothing directly to do with electrical,
18 but a concern that a lot of people have that we face all over
19 the country, and that's the amount of use of controlled drugs
20 on site. I'm talking about pot, hashish, cocaine or ampheta-
21 mines. Have you come across anybody using any of these drugs
22 or smelled pot when you've been working?

23 MR. KENNARD: That's not my cup of tea, but I
24 think you could find it around here if you wanted to.

25 INVESTIGATOR: You think it's a big problem; is it

1 bigger than -- how are you evaluated as a concern as your
2 experience at other places you work?

3 MR. KENNARD: Well, now, I haven't really seen any
4 or seen somebody selling it, or anything else. But I am
5 aware that you could buy it.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Have you ever smelled pot in areas
7 where you were working?

8 MR. KENNARD: Well, I think I have once or twice.
9 I was up in the control room or right underneath, you know,
10 there's a lot of vent holes.

11 INVESTIGATOR: And it's coming up from the cable
12 tray area --

13 MR. KENNARD: A couple of guys would say, Well, you
14 know what that is. Now that's been a while back.

15 INVESTIGATOR: Was that on a day watch, a day shift?

16 MR. KENNARD: Yes.

17 INVESTIGATOR: And you say it's a way back.

18 MR. KENNARD: I'd say six, eight, nine, ten months.

19 (Change tape side.)
20
21
22
23
24
25

sideB

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

Interview of Charles D. Legg

Docket No.

Location:

Pages: 1 - 26

Date: June 28, 1982

TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters
1625 I Street, N.W. Suite 1004
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 293-3950

Dupe 8505240026

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 Interview of Charles D. Legg
11
12
13
14
15

16 June 28, 1982
17 Palo Verde Nuclear
18 Generating Station
19
20

21 Investigators: E.J. Power
22 Owen C. Shackleton
23
24
25

7:2-joy2

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 INVESTIGATOR: This is an interview of Mr. Charles
3 D. Legg. The last name is spelled L-e-g-g.

4 Mr. Legg is an electrician presently assigned
5 to Unit 1 at the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station.

6 The date is June 28, 1982, and the time now is
7 approximately 9:04 a.m.

8 Present to conduct this interview from the
9 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission is Mr. E. J. Power and
10 myself, Owen C. Shackleton. Both of us are investigators
11 assigned to Region V of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
12 Commission.

13 Mr. Legg, do we have your permission to tape
14 record this interview?

15 MR. LEGG: Yes.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Thank you.

7 (A)

10 INVESTIGATOR: Chuck, another allegation we had
11 related to the insulation material that you fellows used on
12 high voltage terminations, and apparently there was a period
13 of time here when they used a product called Scotch fill?

14 MR. LEGG: 2200?

15 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. And then approximately a
16 year ago it was changed to 130C. Were you involved with any
17 of that during that changeover period?

18 MR. LEGG: Yeah.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Did you do any retrofitting, going
20 back and --

21 MR. LEGG: Retaped it? Yeah.

22 INVESTIGATOR: Do you know whether or not you took
23 care of the safety-related items on the record to make sure
24 the (inaudible).

25 MR. LEGG: No. I don't really have -- I wouldn't

1 know if they got everything or not.

2 INVESTIGATOR: But what you are saying to me is
3 from your own experience and recall, you felt there was a
4 retrofit program.

5 MR. LEGG: Yes.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Did you participate in (inaudible)

7 MR. LEGG: Oh, yeah. That 2200 is hard to get
8 off.

9 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible)

10 MR. LEGG: As a matter of fact, we taped up one
11 joint, and four hours later they came out with this change,
12 and my tool partner and I went back on that same joint, and
13 it took us the rest of the day to get that off that we had
14 just put on no more than four hours. The heat had already --

15 INVESTIGATOR: Fused it (inaudible)

16 MR. LEGG: Yeah. One great big ball of icky.

17 INVESTIGATOR: Another allegation we had relates
18 to how you fellows connect (inaudible) cables to a lug
19 (inaudible) head on a motor, where you have a one-bolt
20 lug on the motor and you've got a two-bolt lug coming out of
21 the (inaudible) cable. And there may have been some
22 misunderstanding, but one of the allegations was that they
23 were taking a two-bolt lug, fastening it to a one-bolt lug
24 and making it look like there was a two-bolt connection by
25 putting a second bolt in the open hole to fill it up.

Do you recall what I'm talking about?

1 Mr. LEGG: Yeah.

2 INVESTIGATOR: Did you have any experience in
3 connecting any termination you've done where you had two-bolt
4 lugs going to a one-bolt lug?

5 MR. LEGG: We've got paperwork saying that we
6 can cut that other hole off. (inaudible) try to stick, you
7 know, another nut in there and make it look like it was a
8 two-bolt connection.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Have you done any at all in those
10 circumstances? Not cutting them off, but those where you
11 have a two bolt lug to a one-bolt lug? (inaudible)

12 MR. LEGG: (inaudible) always cut the lugs.

13 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) fill the void to make
14 it neater, better, and there's no problem either way. You
15 either cut it or --

16 MR. LEGG: Yeah. We generally -- (inaudible)

17 INVESTIGATOR: You say you have paperwork that
18 authorized -- in other words, specifications have been
19 approved.

20 MR. LEGG: Yeah. (inaudible)

21 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. Have you done any (inaudible)
22 testing or meggaring?

23 MR. LEGG: I haven't done any high (inaudible)
24 on the job. I've done some (inaudible) hand meggared.

25 INVESTIGATOR: What I'm getting at here, Chuck,

1 we have had allegations made that there has been an extensive
2 amount of high potting going on on some of these cables, and
3 one of the allegations was that some of the lines that are,
4 cables that are furnished by Anaconda Copper, that in the
5 specs that come with the cable, it states that the manufac-
6 turer will only guarantee the cable for one high pot test.

7 Have you observed any time when there has been
8 more (inaudible) high potting going on (inaudible)?

9 MR. LEGG: No. I'm not even involved in the
10 high voltage, you know, end of it. That's another crew that
11 takes care of that.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Another area of concern that was
13 given to us was regarding where it's required once in a while
14 that they have to drill, make a new core drilling through
15 a wall like in the aux or the wraparound building going into
16 containment, and to run new conduit, additional conduit other
17 than what they originally thought they were going to use,
18 and then we have had allegations that the guys drilled into
19 existing conduit and damaged the conduit.

20 Have you ever witnessed anything of that nature?

21 MR. LEGG: No. That's drilling through the
22 containment wall?

23 INVESTIGATOR: Well, it could be any wall because
24 their running class quality, tube (?) quality cable.

25 MR. LEGG: No, I haven't seen that.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Do they have a crew called a
2 patching crew on the electrical side of the house?

3 MR. LEGG: What do you mean, patching?

4 INVESTIGATOR: Somebody that would go around
5 and patch (inaudible) and (inaudible).

6 MR. LEGG: No. All your conduit plugging is done
7 by your cement finishers and your laborers. You see, we
8 don't even do our core drilling out there.

9 INVESTIGATOR: You don't.

10 MR. LEGG: That's done by -- it's subbed out to
11 some coring company.

12 INVESTIGATOR: You don't know any of those
13 individuals by name?

14 MR. LEGG: No.

15 INVESTIGATOR: We're looking for a couple guys,
16 and that's probably why.

17 Chuck, when you worked over at Unit 2, we've got an
18 allegation over there, and what this relates to is the
19 unit strut brackets that support the cable trays or piping,
20 and the allegation is that some of the things that have
21 happened over there, and the QC has bought off the bracing,
22 the unit strut the way it's installed, and they have an
23 indent -- I haven't been over there to see it, so bear with
24 my ignorance, but I understand that when the QC inspector
25 buys it off, he has a die with his number or his identification

1 symbol, and he pounds that and makes an imprint into the
2 indent plate to show that he has bought it off.

3 Are you familiar with this at all?

4 MR. LEGG: I didn't know that they stamped the
5 indent plates. I knew that they would write on there with
6 a marker and put their number down.

7 MR. INVESTIGATOR: The allegation we have, Chuck,
8 is that there's some animosity by some of the workmen over
9 there, in the electrician craft or maybe some others, against
10 some of the foremen or the QC inspectors, and they are coming
11 along and changing the unit strut, making some modification
12 so they don't meet the design criteria to get the QC inspec-
13 tor or the (inaudible) foreman in trouble, so someone else
14 comes along in management and they'll see it's obviously not
15 done right and it's been bought off.

16 Have you ever heard of anything like that going on?

17 MR. LEGG: No.

18 INVESTIGATOR: The last item, Chuck, doesn't have
19 anything to do directly with craft, but it's a problem in the
20 use of controlled drugs on the site. We have had allegations
21 that some people feel the use of marijuana and cocaine and
22 some of the amphetamines is worse on this site than one would
23 find normally. We know there are problems throughout America
24 and we know you find it anywhere where you have a lot of
25 people, but what's your opinion and your observation from

1 what you've seen there?

2 MR. LEGG: If there was drugs or pot laying on the
3 table, I wouldn't know it from a

4 INVESTIGATOR: Have you ever smelled pot being
5 smoked in areas where you have worked?

6 MR. LEGG: I have smelled unfamiliar stuff which
7 I guess is pot, because I don't (inaudible) smelled like.
8 I'm sure that it goes on out there.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Has anyone ever approached you to
10 try and sell you any drugs?

11 MR. LEGG: No. It wouldn't be advisable for them
12 to do that. I wish this (inaudible) country would have
13 capital punishment and get rid of these (inaudible). They
14 have no more business out here than -- or anywhere, not on
15 this job or anywhere. If that's all they can do is pop pills
16 and stuff, don't (inaudible).

17 INVESTIGATOR: Chuck, you have been here now two
18 years, and you know that our Commission, we are paid by the
19 taxpayers to make sure these plants are built according to
20 the specifications and, after they are built, that they are
21 operated safely, and it is a challenging job because there is
22 no way that our inspectors can see everything and know
23 everything that is going on, so we have to rely on the
24 integrity and cooperation of everybody that works there.

25 Do you know of anything in the plant relating to

1 safety-related systems that you feel is not being given the
2 proper attention, that the Commission ought to take a look
3 at?

4 MR. LEGG: No.

5 INVESTIGATOR: How do you feel, Chuck, as a
6 professional craftsman, as to the quality of the work that
7 you are seeing being done in your field? Do you feel it's
8 satisfactory?

9 MR. LEGG: Oh, yeah. You have got so many people
10 out here checking and rechecking and double-checking, that
11 if somebody puts in anything that's shoddy, it's always
12 caught by somebody else. You have people coming in and
13 they didn't have a good night or something, they drag through
14 the day and what they do isn't up to par, but there's always
15 somebody that will catch it and put it back the way it was
16 supposed to be.

17 INVESTIGATOR: Gene, do you have the spelling of
18 Bryce's name?

19 INVESTIGATOR: B-r-i-c-e.

20 INVESTIGATOR: B-r-i.

21 INVESTIGATOR: Right.

22 INVESTIGATOR: Do you have any additional questions?

23 INVESTIGATOR: Yes, I have a couple.

24 I assume from your conversation from some of the
25 comments you have made that you have no reason to question

1 construction (inaudible) quality control (inaudible) context
2 of that question. You believe that everybody has sufficient
3 time, there is no (inaudible) push for people to finish the
4 job or to override quality control (inaudible) performing their
5 function.

6 MR. LEGG: No. We've got plenty of time. This is
7 one thing about the job. You have plenty of time to put it
8 in right. There ain't no need for a man not putting it in
9 right. You know, we've got the time. That's what we're
10 (inaudible).

11 INVESTIGATOR: That's right, yes.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Quality.

13 INVESTIGATOR: And one that we've, I think, gone
14 around and not specifically asked: are you aware of any
15 situations where improper cable has been utilized? And let
16 me give a specific example. Have you ever worked (inaudible)
17 elevation in the aux control building, Unit 1?

18 MR. LEGG: Yeah.

19 INVESTIGATOR: How about (inaudible) cables or
20 (inaudible) cables too large for the lugs that (inaudible)
21 had supplied with the equipment? Have you ever been involved
22 with those?

23 MR. LEGG: (Inaudible).

24 INVESTIGATOR: How did that come about? Are you
25 aware of the circumstances?

1 Rad waste 140, 140 elevation, lug control on the
2 southeast side. If I remember right, it (inaudible) 350,000
3 (inaudible), and we can't get (inaudible) to lay down.

4 INVESTIGATOR: In reference to those, how were
5 they installed?

6 MR. LEGG: A field change request was written up,
7 and we -- this particular one, which is the only one that I've
8 done out here, we did a parallel pigtail. I believe we
9 paralalled number 2 to the 350 and used (inaudible).

10 INVESTIGATOR: To the best of your knowledge, the
11 one that you worked on specifically, everything was done
12 according to the proper procedure. You have no reason to
13 question (inaudible).

14 MR. LEGG: No.

15 INVESTIGATOR: Are you aware of any other situation
16 were somebody else has (inaudible) not necessarily been done
17 according to procedures, where engineering would evaluate the
18 situation, make a decision and then (inaudible).

19 MR. LEGG: No. As a matter of fact, my tool
20 partner and I do just about all the pigtails (?).

21 MR. INVESTIGATOR: You do?

22 MR. LEGG: Yeah. A few of the men in the other
23 crews pick up pigtails when A. and I are picked up, but I
24 always look -- you know, paperwork (inaudible).

25 INVESTIGATOR: In reference to some of those,

1 were some of the larger cables cut approximately 2 or 3 feet
2 from the cabinet, too (inaudible) to get to the cabinet?

3 MR. LEGG: To get to the cabinet? No. No, our
4 pigtails are made in the wire trough up in the motor control
5 center itself, you know, as close as possible. The only
6 reason for the large cable is (inaudible).

7 INVESTIGATOR: We had an allegation on the site
8 where the field run cables were too large to enter into the
9 cabinet and that's why they were cut on the outside of the
10 cabinet, and the pigtail (inaudible).

11 MR. LEGG: No, I've never run into that.

12 INVESTIGATOR: That's all I've got.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Okay, Chuck, appreciate it very much,
14 your consenting to talk to us and give us frank answers. I'm
15 sure you realize that we are just trying to get the truth
16 because we have to find out whether there is something to the
17 allegations or not.

18 Thank you very much, and we will close this
19 interview at this time. The time is now approximately 9:38
20 a.m. and this is the close of the interview with Mr. Legg.

21

22

23

24

25