

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

---

---

In the matter of:

Interview of Terry Bunting

Docket No.

---

---

Location:

Pages: 1 - 40

Date: July 13, 1982

8506030105 831110  
PDR FOIA  
BERNABE83-A-9 PDR

**TAYLOE ASSOCIATES**

Court Reporters  
1625 I Street, N.W. Suite 1004  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 293-3950

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Interview of Terry Bunting

July 13, 1982  
Palo Verde Nuclear Station

Investigators: John Berdoine  
Owen C. Shackleton, Jr.

1 INVESTIGATOR: This is an interview of James  
2 Terry Bunting, July 13th, 1982.

3 And, Terry, do we have your permission to tape  
4 record this conversation?

5 MR. BUNTING: Yes, you do.

6 INVESTIGATOR: What we are basically interested  
7 in is your knowledge of the incident involving Charlie  
8 Wright.

9 Do you recall previous allegations concerning  
10 the (inaudible)

11 MR. BUNTING: Right.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Would you relate what you know  
13 about the situation?

14 INVESTIGATOR: This goes back a year ago.

15 MR. BUNTING: Right. I can't place what he was  
16 talking about. I can't say that there was no cheating what-  
17 soever. I wasn't the electrician right with Charlie. And  
18 I did his work for him, and he was doing mine.

19 INVESTIGATOR: What was the composition of the  
20 (inaudible) at that time?

21 MR. BUNTING: Two electricians and one pipe-  
22 fitter.

23 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. The pipefitter is Charlie  
24 Wright and you were one of the electricians. Who was the  
25 other electrician?

1 MR. BUNTING: At the time, I say again, I really  
2 can't place what Charlie could possibly have been talking  
3 about. There was -- Bill Baker was a foreman, my foreman  
4 at the time. And there was another man who was there  
5 around that time, by the name of Thompson.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Was that (inaudible)

7 MR. BUNTING: That's what they -- what we  
8 (inaudible)

9 INVESTIGATOR: Now, you, as an electrician, did  
10 you work for a foreman?

11 MR. BUNTING: Now, when Bill Baker was here I  
12 did.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Bill reported directly to  
14 Steve Bell.

15 MR. BUNTING: Right.

16 INVESTIGATOR: And Bell was then the superintendent?

17 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

18 INVESTIGATOR: All employed by (inaudible)

19 MR. BUNTING: Right.

20 INVESTIGATOR: Was that the total composition of  
21 the group that was working there at the time?

22 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

23 INVESTIGATOR: The best that you can recall,  
24 right?

25 MR. BUNTING: Right. We have some friends come



1 in every now and then for a couple of weeks and

2 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) Out of curiosity,  
3 Terry, how many electricians do you have now?

4 MR. BUNTING: Two.

5 INVESTIGATOR: And one foreman. One is con-  
6 sidered a foreman.

7 MR. BUNTING: One is considered foreman. It  
8 isn't necessary but it works better for us.

9 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) supervisory, the  
10 authority position to make decisions.

11 MR. BUNTING: Yes, sir. (inaudible)

12 INVESTIGATOR: Our understanding is that this  
13 occurred some time in April of 1981; is that correct?

14 MR. BUNTING: That was about the time that  
15 Charlie was laid off, yes.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Is that the time that you have  
17 knowledge of the initial allegation coming up?

18 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

19 INVESTIGATOR: When did you first become  
20 knowledgeable that Charlie was unhappy or made an allega-  
21 tion or there was a problem area?

22 MR. BUNTING: About a week I guess, or maybe  
23 two after he left. Steve Bell approached me and said  
24 that he had made allegations.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Can you recall specifically what

1 the allegations were?

2 MR. BUNTING: That we were -- I think he said  
3 that Jack Donaldson and himself were cheating on the  
4 welds. (Laughter) Or something to that effect. I can't  
5 remember how it was. Almost laughable at the time.

6 INVESTIGATOR: And this would have been then  
7 some time, one week, two weeks after he was terminated?

8 MR. BUNTING: Yeah.

9 INVESTIGATOR: To the best of your knowledge,  
10 would this have been some time in May of 1981?

11 MR. BUNTING: It was a couple of weeks after he  
12 was terminated.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall whether or not there  
14 was an investigation or inquiry conducted at that time?

15 MR. BUNTING: There wasn't for me.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Did anybody interview relative  
17 to your knowledge of what occurred based upon Charlie  
18 Wright's allegation?

19 MR. BUNTING: Jack Donaldson was involved in  
20 some paperwork, I guess he called it. That's all I know.

21 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall talking to him  
22 relative to the incident and the comments made by Charlie  
23 Wright?

24 MR. BUNTING: Oh, no. No. I did -- I did later.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Later when?

1 MR. BUNTING: Well, I think after Jack got done  
2 and there was a report that Steve had, and we discussed  
3 that.

4 INVESTIGATOR: Steve who?

5 MR. BUNTING: Steve Bell.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Bell.

7 MR. BUNTING: We discussed at that time what  
8 Charlie had said about the welding. He referred to  
9 Charlie being in the wrong building on the day he said,  
10 things like that.

11 Like I said, at the time I was just (inaudible)

12 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. Do you know whether or not  
13 Bell maintained any copy of the report (inaudible)?

14 MR. BUNTING: Maybe (inaudible) has a copy of  
15 that report, but Jack Donaldson has it.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Is Bell still around?

17 MR. BUNTING: He's in Canada right now.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Is he still employed by (inaudible)?

19 MR. BUNTING: No.

20 INVESTIGATOR: He is still employed by (inaudible)?

21 MR. BUNTING: Right. And I've been told by our  
22 manager (inaudible) he will be available to you (inaudible)

23 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall specifically any  
24 other than what you have personally discussed here, what  
25 Charlie Wright's claims were?

1 MR. BUNTING: No. I was a very good friend of  
2 Charlie's, a personal friend. I would go to his house and  
3 he would come to my house, and stuff like that at that time.  
4 And I heard nothing whatsoever from Charlie (inaudible)

5 INVESTIGATOR: Are you still a friend of  
6 Charlie's?

7 MR. BUNTING: No. No. One day I saw him at a  
8 stop sign and honked. He just glared at me and drove on,  
9 so --

10 INVESTIGATOR: Did I understand you correct,  
11 Terry, you said at the time you worked together you were a  
12 friend of Charlie's, socially, both on the job, and he  
13 never claimed to you about any problems he thought he had  
14 at work?

15 MR. BUNTING: No.

16 INVESTIGATOR: You are not friends now?

17 MR. BUNTING: No. I haven't seen him since  
18 then other than at the stop sign.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Why do you feel your friendship  
20 is not in tack today?

21 MR. BUNTING: Well --

22 INVESTIGATOR: Was that your chosing or his?

23 MR. BUNTING: Well, I would say it's probably  
24 his. At this point in time, I think perhaps it would be  
25 mine. To do something like this is so far fetched, in my



1 opinion (inaudible)

2 INVESTIGATOR: Do you feel that his being  
3 discharged or laid off by Western (inaudible) had some  
4 relation with your friendship?

5 MR. BUNTING: Yes. I heard -- Steve Bell inform-  
6 ed me that Charlie had contacted him. Charlie was mad be-  
7 cause I had known he was getting laid off -- so he said --  
8 and didn't tell him.

9 I was with him that evening, and I left about  
10 a half hour before he did. He was terminated that night.

11 INVESTIGATOR: You were with him that evening  
12 here at the site?

13 MR. BUNTING: Correct. I didn't see him  
14 (inaudible). I found out about it when we went back in  
15 the trailer and grabbed my hat to get out of here. Steve  
16 was there with his check and termination (inaudible),  
17 you know.

18 Being they were both friends of mine, I really  
19 didn't care to be there at the time. But I saw it coming  
20 with Charlie's attitude towards the job, being very grumpy  
21 and seen Steve watching him very close, that he didn't go  
22 too slow and cause too much of (inaudible).

23 INVESTIGATOR: What shift were you working at  
24 that time?

25 MR. BUNTING: We always worked one shift.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Days?

2 MR. BUNTING: Days. And this was just an old  
3 time situation, and it shouldn't have been. (Inaudible)  
4 six hour, seven hour cycle that Charlie stretched to about  
5 a twelve at times.

6 INVESTIGATOR: And Baker was at that time the  
7 foreman of the operation, or the electrician?

8 MR. BUNTING: No. I think he had quit before  
9 that.

10 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. What period of time did you  
11 actually associate with Charlie? You were here for almost  
12 three years. When did Charlie come to work?

13 MR. BUNTING: Same time.

14 INVESTIGATOR: Same time.

15 MR. BUNTING: Same time (inaudible).

16 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. And then until his termina-  
17 tion some time in April, you basically worked together?

18 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

19 INVESTIGATOR: And in a situation like that, is  
20 there any reason why any representative of Western (inaudible)  
21 would like to extend the working time on the site?

22 Do they get paid more for it?

23 MR. BUNTING: You mean I, as a supervisor --

24 INVESTIGATOR: No. I'm -- (inaudible) I'm  
25 talking about management level. Is there any benefit to

1       them monetarily or otherwise to extend any work over a  
2       period of time, other than the obvious, of course, if you  
3       are an employee?

4               MR. BUNTING: No. The contractor (inaudible)  
5       you pay your own bill (inaudible)

6               INVESTIGATOR: So, you bid -- not you, but  
7       (inaudible) is bidding (inaudible) by diameter inch flat  
8       rate bid? No matter how long it takes.

9               MR. BUNTING: Right.

10              INVESTIGATOR: Okay.

11              MR. BUNTING: Rather than alternate at a time  
12       situation. It wasn't at that time. It still isn't. It  
13       still is not.

14              So, if something had a different rate like  
15       (inaudible) required to go a hundred (inaudible) an hour,  
16       then you give a different price for that type of (inaudible).

17              INVESTIGATOR: Well, in the situation of --  
18       you indicated that Charlie Wright apparently (inaudible)  
19       in the work period, Western (inaudible) does not monetarily  
20       benefit from that. They, in fact, lose money (inaudible)

21              Was there at that time any collusion between  
22       you, yourself or Charlie, all the craftsman, during this  
23       period of time -- you were all on an hourly rate, I assume --

24              INVESTIGATOR: Actually, at the time we were  
25       working so much overtime that we (inaudible) well, the

1 electrician did anyway, tried to get the work done so we  
2 could get finished, you know.

3 INVESTIGATOR: In the period of January, February,  
4 March and April of 1981, what would be a normal work week,  
5 in hours? You said you had a lot of overtime.

6 MR. BUNTING: Well, probably sixty.

7 INVESTIGATOR: Sixty. Is that just on site  
8 itself? Did you get paid (inaudible)

9 Anything over forty is overtime?

10 MR. BUNTING: Time and a half.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Did you have any incentive to  
12 shorten the cycle?

13 MR. BUNTING: No.

14 INVESTIGATOR: The reason I asked that, Terry,  
15 is that I understand that in contrast with Charlie, Mike  
16 was the key operator who tries to shorten the cycle to  
17 the maximum practical extent.

18 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Is there any incentive for him to  
20 do that?

21 MR. BUNTING: No, not per se. If he gets his  
22 work done, he can go home. He likes to go home. He is the  
23 type of person who really doesn't like to work forty hours.  
24 And it's a very good job for a pipefitter.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Well, then he does have an incentive



1 to shorten the cycle.

2 MR. BUNTING: Yes. He gets to go home. There  
3 is no monetary.

4 INVESTIGATOR: No monetary. But he gets to go  
5 home because his job is done?

6 MR. BUNTING: Right.

7 INVESTIGATOR: Now, when a craftsman, an electrician  
8 or a pipefitter is working for Western (inaudible), on any  
9 given day to go to work, is there a minimum time required he  
10 has to work that day?

11 MR. BUNTING: No.

12 INVESTIGATOR: If he arrives at the site, he  
13 automatically gets paid for a full day?

14 MR. BUNTING: For a full day unless we have a  
15 problem and we knock off half a day. It's happened.

16 INVESTIGATOR: But if have seen half a day, you  
17 get paid for a full day, right? If you knock off after six  
18 you get paid for eight?

19 MR. BUNTING: Right. That's (inaudible)

20 INVESTIGATOR: That's the standard arrangement  
21 for all crafts, for all contractors.

22 MR. BUNTING: All except for your factory  
23 workers. (inaudible)

24 INVESTIGATOR: I know Bechtel doesn't. And  
25 (inaudible)

1 MR. BUNTING: All the other sub-contractors are  
2 (inaudible)

3 INVESTIGATOR: So, basically back in, say, the  
4 early part of '81 now we have Baker, Thompson, Bell and  
5 yourself that are basically involved in (inaudible) at the  
6 time.

7 Whose responsibility would it be to insure that  
8 the work is accomplished as directed, according to specs,  
9 and on time?

10 MR. BUNTING: Steve Bell.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Steve Bell. He would be re-  
12 sponsible for the supervision of Charlie Wright?

13 MR. BUNTING: Right.

14 INVESTIGATOR: Any reason why -- apparently  
15 there is a lack of direct supervision over one individual  
16 (inaudible) is extending overtime. I can't seem to under-  
17 stand that. Do you have (inaudible)

18 MR. BUNTING: Well, yeah. I think that at one  
19 time Charlie and Steve were really friends. And they had  
20 a falling out at some time or another. And Charlie started  
21 doing that.

22 INVESTIGATOR: You take a normal welder and a  
23 normal (inaudible) seven or eight hours, and I would assume  
24 most people, especially (inaudible) working together, you  
25 would all expect to go home, say, four or five o'clock, all

1 the same time. And when you start extending that period,  
2 somebody is being -- somebody is (inaudible).

3 MR. BUNTING: Well, you have two different  
4 crafts. Charlie and his (inaudible), he ran out. I mean  
5 he was very touchy about it. (inaudible)

6 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) being in touch  
7 situation?

8 MR. BUNTING: Yeah.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Now, when you have a particular  
10 weld you need, the pipefitter operates the electrical con-  
11 trol, controls the temperature. I guess then the electri-  
12 cians are on standby so they can handle any electrical  
13 problems that might develop.

14 MR. BUNTING: Plus (inaudible) the following  
15 day.

16 INVESTIGATOR: So if the man at the console  
17 wants to slow the process so he will get overtime, then  
18 it means that the entire crew has to stay?

19 MR. BUNTING: Well --

20 INVESTIGATOR: Until that cycle has been cleared?

21 MR. BUNTING: One person has to stay.

22 INVESTIGATOR: One electrician.

23 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible)

24 INVESTIGATOR: Does the superintendent (inaudible)  
25 have to stay?

1 MR. BUNTING: Superintendent (inaudible). The  
2 foreman is on with the electrician and the foreman is not  
3 required to (inaudible)

4 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. Back to the time frame  
5 that I (inaudible) what would be the normal work composition  
6 on a safety related piping that you were going (inaudible)  
7 say this morning, how many people would be there?

8 MR. BUNTING: First thing in the morning, every-  
9 one.

10 INVESTIGATOR: Everyone. And, again it would  
11 be the responsibility of the superintendent to get every-  
12 body going in the right direction.

13 What would a pipefitter do at that time?

14 MR. BUNTING: His jobs are to change (inaudible)  
15 if they need changing. Get his (inaudible) for the welds.  
16 And also to keep bulkhead inside the pipe. There is no  
17 electrical connections or anything like that. He puts  
18 basically a plug (inaudible) to keep the draft from flowing  
19 through the pipe.

20 INVESTIGATOR: What is the specific title for  
21 that?

22 MR. BUNTING: CIP (inaudible)

23 INVESTIGATOR: Construction, inspection and  
24 plant. And what is the piece of equipment specifically  
25 called, (inaudible) the pipefitter (inaudible), what is



1 that called?

2 MR. BUNTING: The console.

3 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. Does it have a specific  
4 name?

5 MR. BUNTING: No.

6 INVESTIGATOR: On the form that they complete on  
7 a graph, there is a TM operator. What is a TM operator?

8 MR. BUNTING: TM operator at the time (inaudible)

9 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. Back to this handling of  
10 the safety related situation (inaudible), would it be right  
11 to assume in most of the cases (inaudible) had been placed  
12 there the day before?

13 MR. BUNTING: Oh, yes.

14 INVESTIGATOR: All that would be done without  
15 any observation or basically the knowledge of (inaudible)

16 MR. BUNTING: Most of the time he didn't even  
17 know where the weld was that he was (inaudible)

18 INVESTIGATOR: What would be the normal (inaudible)  
19 located

20 MR. BUNTING: It would be behind (inaudible)

21 INVESTIGATOR: A different level.

22 MR. BUNTING: Yeah, right.

23 INVESTIGATOR: So, consequently to the best of  
24 your knowledge, the heat treatments that were done by  
25 Charlie were different than the ones we observed today which

1 are being done in a (inaudible). The ones he was doing were  
2 done inside one of the containments or one of the other  
3 (inaudible) out of the site.

4 MR. BUNTING: I don't know what welding he is  
5 referring to, but the ones in the building are (inaudible)

6 INVESTIGATOR: Is that where he worked? Only  
7 in the building, or did he work in the building and over at  
8 the (inaudible) shop both?

9 MR. BUNTING: He never worked in the (inaudible)  
10 shop in the past few years.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Okay. So all of his work was  
12 done in the building, and he did not see the electricians  
13 attaching thermal couples necessarily and all these other  
14 things. (inaudible)

15 So basically all he would see during the actual  
16 stress release in operation was the activities at the con-  
17 sole. (inaudible) more behind the console, right?

18 MR. BUNTING: If he had a problem how (inaudible)  
19 he would contact us. We would (inaudible)

20 INVESTIGATOR: Procedurally, was he allowed to  
21 leave the console?

22 MR. BUNTING: No, I don't think so. No.

23 INVESTIGATOR: What happened if he had to go to  
24 the latrine or something of this nature?

25 MR. BUNTING: Usually, Steve would stand by.

1 These are (inaudible) with a (inaudible) weld, it's just  
2 a -- well, you have (inaudible) period there because it  
3 doesn't have to be monitored as closely while it's on  
4 automatic control and he can go (inaudible)

5 INVESTIGATOR: Why is that?

6 MR. BUNTING: Because the machine controls it.  
7 That's when it (inaudible)

8 INVESTIGATOR: Once he is adjusted.

9 MR. BUNTING: Yeah, right. (inaudible) other  
10 than sitting there and screaming (inaudible). He usually  
11 has his (inaudible)

12 INVESTIGATOR: In reference to a safety grade  
13 situation now, okay. A pipefitter is in a situation, the  
14 QC inspection of a safety related pipe (inaudible) done  
15 necessarily when the electrician is putting the thermal  
16 couples on. Do they have a requirement to be there (inaudible)  
17 at the start of the (inaudible)

18 MR. BUNTING: QA --

19 INVESTIGATOR: QA, QC.

20 MR. BUNTING: Sometimes I know -- I don't think  
21 it is required, although (inaudible)

22 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) random selection, he  
23 might be around.

24 MR. BUNTING: And sometimes he inspects thermal  
25 couples after the (inaudible) but always before (inaudible)

MILLERS FALLS  
LAZIER & SONS  
COTTON CONTENT

1 the unit is put on and we have to, you know, watch when  
2 you are doing an actual draft.

3 INVESTIGATOR: We have information (inaudible)  
4 Mr. Wright claims to have overheard a conversation, includ-  
5 ing yourself, and Bell and Donaldson concerning paralleling  
6 of two thermal couples.

7 Do you recall that conversation?

8 MR. BUNTING: Not at all.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Does that conversation, that  
10 statement make any sense to you at all?

11 MR. BUNTING: No.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Is there such a thing as parallel-  
13 ing two thermal couples?

14 MR. BUNTING: I would imagine it is. I don't  
15 understand -- I can't understand how it would work.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Can you imagine (inaudible)

17 MR. BUNTING: I could do it. I could take a  
18 spare thermal couple and a thermal couple (inaudible), tie  
19 all the red wires together and all the yellow wires together,  
20 basically you have a parallel. (inaudible) You get a  
21 false reading, a low reading.

22 INVESTIGATOR: Can you imagine, or do you know  
23 specifically whether or not you (inaudible)

24 MR. BUNTING: I know -- yes, we (inaudible)

25 INVESTIGATOR: Could you draw that? Just show me



1       how you (inaudible)

2               MR. BUNTING: Okay. This would be, say, one  
3 thermal couple. And this is another one. The control  
4 thermal couple and this one is the safety, the spare.  
5 This wire is red, this wire is red, this is yellow and  
6 yellow. And here is your console coming in with the  
7 thermal couple (inaudible), and one of these is red and  
8 we -- one would be yellow.

9               And the only way I can think of to parallel is  
10 to --

11              INVESTIGATOR: Okay. What is the normal configu-  
12 ration?

13              MR. BUNTING: Well, okay. Yellow to yellow.  
14 Red to red. And then this is just sitting there waiting to  
15 be used if something goes wrong with this.

16              INVESTIGATOR: Okay. Then these -- the wire  
17 off of these thermal couples then go up under your instal-  
18 lation or blanket, right?

19              MR. BUNTING: Right.

20              INVESTIGATOR: And they are -- what we saw today,  
21 they would just be available in the event you lose one or  
22 the other.

23              MR. BUNTING: I think what you saw today, they  
24 were both hooked up at the weld. And off that cable we have  
25 two thermal couples going out to the weld.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Right.

2 MR. BUNTING: So we just hook them both up  
3 (inaudible) and then if we have any problems we can swap  
4 it behind the machine instead of working on the (inaudible)  
5 welds.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Oh, yeah. Right. Yeah. So,  
7 then --

8 INVESTIGATOR: Mike did this for us.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. Right. This wire runs all  
10 the way, (inaudible) to the back of the console.

11 MR. BUNTING: Right.

12 INVESTIGATOR: All right. So it would be red to  
13 red, yellow to yellow. And how would you do it in the  
14 event (inaudible)

15 MR. BUNTING: This would be a parallel situation.  
16 That and like that. There is just no advantage to doing  
17 that.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Why do you think you get a lower  
19 reading by doing that?

20 MR. BUNTING: Well, because -- well, to begin  
21 with you can't get a higher reading. Your best reading  
22 would be off the (inaudible) couple. If you are (inaudible)  
23 a thermal couple that was bad or a thermal couple that was  
24 good, you would get an average somewhere in between.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Assuming they are all good now,

1 would an electrician --

2 MR. BUNTING: Assume they are all good --

3 INVESTIGATOR: -- puts it in --

4 MR. BUNTING: That would just be a mistake. It  
5 would be too low.

6 INVESTIGATOR: It might be (inaudible), his  
7 question: Why would it be too low?

8 MR. BUNTING: I don't know.

9 INVESTIGATOR: In a situation like this, if you  
10 did run it low I assume that would mean the register would  
11 be low, the reading would be low.

12 MR. BUNTING: There is something I would like to  
13 ask.

14 INVESTIGATOR: The heat would be, in fact  
15 (inaudible)

16 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible) Donaldson (inaudible)  
17 those thermal couples are creating a --

18 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible)

19 MR. BUNTING: No. They create a potential.

20 INVESTIGATOR: Well, I -- yeah. They are  
21 generating a (inaudible)

22 MR. BUNTING: What you actually have, if you  
23 (inaudible) you could go higher.

24 INVESTIGATOR: I'm not sure about that, but  
25 picture two dry cells on a flashlight battery, one is fully

1 charged and the other one is only half charged --

2 MR. BUNTING: Yeah.

3 INVESTIGATOR: The one on half charge draws down  
4 the fully charged one, because it's trying to feed juice  
5 into the not fully charged battery. So, as a result that is  
6 an average reading, something close to an average.

7 So that's why I'm sure what you have there is  
8 they weren't producing identical millivolts. One would be  
9 trying to feed into the other. And that would be drawing  
10 down (inaudible) so you would get a lower reading.

11 It might not be a lot lower but it would be  
12 something lower.

13 MR. BUNTING: It would still probably be  
14 within (inaudible)

15 INVESTIGATOR: If they are good thermal couples  
16 they are probably --

17 INVESTIGATOR: You are right. They are so close  
18 (inaudible)

19 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) it wouldn't make any  
20 difference.

21 INVESTIGATOR: And then the only other -- if  
22 you are in a situation like this, (inaudible) electrician,  
23 if you lose one then what happens?

24 MR. BUNTING: Then you wire it just like here.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. A parallel (inaudible)



1 MR. BUNTING: Right. It would go back to being  
2 a normal thermal couple.

3 INVESTIGATOR: Right. So, basically you can  
4 have a very small differentiation depending on (inaudible)

5 Well, as a general rule, do you hard wire to  
6 the rear of the console, the pipefitter changes (inaudible)  
7 coupler or does the electrician?

8 MR. BUNTING: The electrician does that.  
9 (inaudible)

10 INVESTIGATOR: So basically (inaudible)

11 MR. BUNTING: Yeah.

12 INVESTIGATOR: The electrician is required to do  
13 it. This would only save you fooling around under the --  
14 if you had to, if you lost both of them (inaudible)

15 MR. BUNTING: Generally, we would do that. You  
16 would choose the thermal couple (inaudible)

17 INVESTIGATOR: What you are saying is there is  
18 no apparent value to parallel thermal couplings?

19 MR. BUNTING: No.

20 INVESTIGATOR: And you don't recall any conversa-  
21 tions between -- again, to reiterate, between yourself and  
22 Donaldson and Bell which was overheard by Mr. Wright concern-  
23 ing whether or not you were attempting to parallel two  
24 thermal couples?

25 MR. BUNTING: None whatsoever. Not involving

1 (inaudible). A lot of times, talk of other jobs and such  
2 with Jack Donaldson and Steve Bell about different situations,  
3 no. I don't know. (inaudible) Jack made reference to  
4 someone who at one time was a (inaudible) so on. There was  
5 conversation like that going on.

6 INVESTIGATOR: How often?

7 MR. BUNTING: I heard it once.

8 INVESTIGATOR: What was the purpose of the con-  
9 versation?

10 MR. BUNTING: It had to do with something at  
11 (inaudible) I think.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Who was the conversation between?  
13 You said you overheard --

14 MR. BUNTING: Jack --

15 INVESTIGATOR: Jack and Steve?

16 MR. BUNTING: Probably myself, having coffee or  
17 something like that. Probably Charlie was around, for all  
18 I know. But that was with Steve and Jack, knowledgeable  
19 about this situation, so they had the conversation.

20 INVESTIGATOR: So was this conversation in gist?

21 MR. BUNTING: No. It was more or less how the  
22 stock market was and what the world situation was.

23 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) you indicated -- I'm  
24 only very interested relative to the job itself, whether any  
25 conversations fell within (inaudible). Maybe I just

1 misunderstood what you said. Was there a conversation  
2 relative to cheating, relative -- concerning what questions  
3 (inaudible)

4 MR. BUNTING: No.

5 INVESTIGATOR: Were there any conversations in  
6 gist as to how to beat the equipment, the machine, the  
7 wiring or anything else (inaudible) that you can recall?

8 MR. BUNTING: I don't know about that. I know  
9 that I asked Jack why he was doing the short-out test  
10 (inaudible) back of the console. I didn't know why he was  
11 doing that. He said he needed to be sure that each --  
12 every thermal couple didn't control another thermal couple.  
13 I don't know whether he said (inaudible) we had eight  
14 thermal couples going and he would short one out on the  
15 machine. If there was something wrong going on, then two  
16 of them lead the pack on the chart.

17 And he would make sure just one did. And he  
18 would go through the thermal couple that way.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Periodically, then, he would run  
20 this check on (inaudible)

21 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible) this is about Unit 2.  
22 (inaudible) nuclear on every --

23 INVESTIGATOR: Up to the time when Charlie  
24 Wright left, did he work both on Unit 1 and 2?

25 MR. BUNTING: Yes.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Anything in Unit 3?

2 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible) 1 and 2.

3 INVESTIGATOR: Strictly 1 and 2. Relative to  
4 Charlie Wright, what is your overall evaluation of his  
5 mental (inaudible)

6 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible)

7 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) his ability to under-  
8 stand conversations as to (inaudible)

9 MR. BUNTING: After I had been here for two years,  
10 of course, I was completely familiar with what the machine  
11 was doing, I was involved with problems of -- all sorts of  
12 problems, to keep the maximum hour (inaudible) of the  
13 machine.

14 Charlie had no idea what went on other than  
15 in front of that screen. You couldn't even talk to him  
16 about it. He liked to run eight points, or eight thermal  
17 couples, and if there was any more than that he was mad and  
18 grumpy, irritable, hollering and screaming back and forth  
19 because he had twelve diodes, ten diodes. And he had  
20 his (inaudible) out and (inaudible) about it.

21 He (inaudible) do what Steve says. He is re-  
22 sponsible for (inaudible) the conversation. (inaudible)  
23 you just couldn't help it either. He was just very, very  
24 mad at Steve. When he got laid off at first we thought it  
25 was some way, I guess, he would get even personally with



1 Steve. I really don't -- I really don't think these al-  
2 legations are against myself and Jack as much as they are  
3 directed right at Steve.

4 INVESTIGATOR: I got the impression back in these  
5 days everybody was basically on a friendly relationship?

6 MR. BUNTING: Everyone was, except (inaudible)

7 INVESTIGATOR: Charlie.

8 MR. BUNTING: Charlie.

9 INVESTIGATOR: From what I saw this morning,  
10 (inaudible) a little bit (inaudible) building, what is your  
11 evaluation on how busy a pipefitter is when he is operating  
12 a console? I don't see where eight diodes or twelve diodes,  
13 it wouldn't make much difference. You could probably sleep  
14 all day and the machine probably (inaudible) itself once  
15 you (inaudible)

16 MR. BUNTING: That's right. I don't know. I  
17 just think it's something that Charlie and Steve had.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Any reason for the apparent  
19 dislike?

20 MR. BUNTING: No. I never could figure it out.  
21 They were also very, very good friends before it started  
22 and I just don't know --

23 INVESTIGATOR: When did this disassociation  
24 begin? (inaudible)

25 MR. BUNTING: Okay. It happened when Steve was

1 here and he went back to (inaudible) Los Angeles. And  
2 Gus (inaudible) he is another superintendent was in charge.  
3 He was here about six months. And then he went back and  
4 Steve returned. And after that it (inaudible)

5 INVESTIGATOR: When did Steve return?

6 MR. BUNTING: I still can't -- the time (inaudible)

7 INVESTIGATOR: Was it -- he was terminated in  
8 '81. Would it have been '81 when he came back? About the  
9 end of (inaudible) 1980?

10 MR. BUNTING: Yeah.

11 INVESTIGATOR: January?

12 MR. BUNTING: No, it was Dec -- it was 1980.

13 INVESTIGATOR: So, basically from December of  
14 1980 to April of 1981, did Charlie Wright make many  
15 comments relative to being unhappy with the performance of  
16 the equipment and console?

17 MR. BUNTING: Oh, yes.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Such as?

19 MR. BUNTING: Well, he would always find some-  
20 thing wrong with the thermal couples, go out and check the  
21 weld it would be fine. Come back and he would say -- just  
22 for example, one day he stopped my work and called me down  
23 to the console and had me go check a thermal couple. I  
24 walked (inaudible) at the time and talked to Steve and Jack  
25 for a few minutes, and when I came back in, I said: Is that

1 any better, Charlie? And he said: Yeah, that's a lot  
2 better.

3 He was just creating work for everyone.

4 INVESTIGATOR: In a situation like that, don't  
5 you look at the chart before you go --

6 MR. BUNTING: Oh, yes. Sure. I looked at the  
7 chart.

8 INVESTIGATOR: Well, can't you tell whether or  
9 not you lost one?

10 MR. BUNTING: It wasn't lost or I would have  
11 (inaudible)

12 INVESTIGATOR: No. That's what I'm saying. Why  
13 would you give Charlie -- the inference that you are not  
14 going to look at it and then turn around in a situation  
15 like that and (inaudible)

16 MR. BUNTING: Because he had -- like I said, he  
17 would never get into the field and see actually what was  
18 (inaudible) off. And at this time when he was complaining  
19 so much, you have a weld like here and a weld like here.  
20 And this one would be going too fast, so he would turn it  
21 off. Normally it would go straight up or drop off, you know.  
22 But it (inaudible) still climb a little bit. That's because  
23 right here you are heating also. The runoff would come down  
24 there. And he just couldn't grasp it. That, you know, there  
25 could be other sources of heat rather than one (inaudible)

1 INVESTIGATOR: In these situations, did Charlie  
2 convey his comments directly to the electricians for  
3 corrective actions, or did he go to the superintendent?

4 In other words, what was his normal chain of  
5 command if he had a problem with (inaudible)

6 MR. BUNTING: He felt it was the thermal couple,  
7 he hollered at us and then if we couldn't correct it he  
8 would go to Steve.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Did Charlie appear ever to have  
10 any interest in what was happening beyond the console?

11 MR. BUNTING: No. He had no interest in working  
12 the (inaudible) electricians, or the technology, the  
13 metallurgical technology, let's say, what's happening in  
14 the weld structure itself.

15 INVESTIGATOR: To your knowledge, he did not  
16 show any interest?

17 MR. BUNTING: No.

18 INVESTIGATOR: So you would not classify him as  
19 having any particular knowledge about welding, metalurgy?

20 MR. BUNTING: No.

21 INVESTIGATOR: Were there situations where  
22 Charlie possibly didn't understand a lot of things, didn't  
23 people tend to jest with him or play jokes on him or engage  
24 in conversations that he wouldn't necessarily understand?

25 MR. BUNTING: No. His personality was really



1 overbearing. I think if you were around him, you would  
2 just basically listen to him and --

3 INVESTIGATOR: Why do you say overbearing?

4 MR. BUNTING: Well, he knew everything and no one  
5 knew anything at all, you know. He just --

6 INVESTIGATOR: He tried to take command (inaudible)

7 MR. BUNTING: Right.

8 INVESTIGATOR: Was he the type of individual that  
9 one might have the tendency to look on as a fool, that you  
10 could send him out for a left-handed wrench or a sky hook  
11 and that sort of thing?

12 MR. BUNTING: Not quite that bad. We used to  
13 refer to him as colorful, so (inaudible) or something like  
14 that. We would hear the same job maybe two weeks in a  
15 row every morning, and he would forget he had told us.

16 INVESTIGATOR: Do you -- I know you have answered  
17 part of the (inaudible) but I will paraphrase it.

18 Do you have knowledge of or any situation in  
19 which Tiny Tim told Charlie that he knew how to wire around  
20 a non-working thermal couple?

21 MR. BUNTING: No. Tiny was not here long enough  
22 to (inaudible)

23 INVESTIGATOR: (inaudible) Tiny was qualified  
24 as an electrician, then?

25 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible) by the (inaudible) as a

1 qualified electrician. I personally (inaudible)

2 INVESTIGATOR: What time frame do you recall  
3 Charlie worked for Western (inaudible)?

4 MR. BUNTING: Charlie worked --

5 INVESTIGATOR: No. Excuse me. Tiny Tim.

6 MR. BUNTING: Ah --

7 INVESTIGATOR: To the best of your ability.

8 MR. BUNTING: Yeah. About January to April, '81.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Of '81.

10 MR. BUNTING: He was laid off about two weeks  
11 after Charlie was.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Now, when you expressed "my  
13 full satisfaction with Tiny Tim's ability as an electrician",  
14 I know that some electricians are good and (inaudible) and  
15 some are good and graceful stallers. Was that perhaps the  
16 difference (inaudible)

17 MR. BUNTING: He never worked anywhere but power  
18 houses. He only knew the trade, the (inaudible). And he  
19 wasn't -- again, he wasn't interested in (inaudible) work  
20 loads for the day, (inaudible) you know, saturated with  
21 getting more if you could out of the machine, like that.

22 He was just more or less a follower. (inaudible)

23 INVESTIGATOR: Are you aware of any situations in  
24 which Charlie Wright apparently was in conflict with the  
25 manner in which maintaining the charts that were inspected

1 by the KI (phonetic)? Was that your inspection?

2 MR. BUNTING: Would you ask that again?

3 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. Do you recall any situations  
4 where -- it was during a visit by the ANI, he made some  
5 comments to Charlie that Charlie wasn't performing the way  
6 he should have been. Do you recall any ANI references to  
7 that?

8 MR. BUNTING: Not by name.

9 INVESTIGATOR: Is he still around here on the  
10 site?

11 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible) Either 1 or 2, I think.  
12 He is still around here.

13 INVESTIGATOR: If Charlie had a problem -- not  
14 necessarily a problem -- he wasn't necessarily the brightest  
15 individual in the world, who established the -- was aware  
16 of the parameters? Did he meet the (inaudible) TIT (inaudible)  
17 Was he able to do that and perform?

18 MR. BUNTING: Sure.

19 INVESTIGATOR: Basically very simple. (inaudible)  
20 and as long as the print-out was in the parameters established  
21 he could understand --

22 MR. BUNTING: Plus everything was repetitious.

23 INVESTIGATOR: What was his overall -- (inaudible)  
24 an estimate of his overall efficiency in performing his job?

25 MR. BUNTING: Very careful.

1 INVESTIGATOR: Basically accurate, then?

2 MR. BUNTING: And no reason to question (inaudible)

3 INVESTIGATOR: Do you know anything about an  
4 individual, Sam (inaudible)?

5 MR. BUNTING: Sam (inaudible).

6 INVESTIGATOR: Is he still around?

7 MR. BUNTING: (inaudible)

8 INVESTIGATOR: What was his position?

9 MR. BUNTING: He was (inaudible) coordinator in  
10 Unit 2.

11 INVESTIGATOR: Do you know whether or not Charlie  
12 ever discussed with him any problem areas that Charlie  
13 ostensibly became involved in (inaudible)?

14 MR. BUNTING: No, I don't know. I know of  
15 Sam's attitude towards Charlie. He told Steve that he  
16 should have got rid of him a long time before. He complained  
17 quite often about keeping him, the amount of time Charlie  
18 spent.

19 INVESTIGATOR: We have heard some comments re-  
20 lative to -- it is theoretically possible to wire a  
21 machine wherein you wouldn't necessarily have to have  
22 thermal couples establish the (inaudible).

23 Would Tiny Tim be able to do that?

24 MR. BUNTING: No. Absolutely not.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall the reason for --



1 the -- for Charlie's (inaudible) -- the (inaudible) termina-  
2 tion?

3 MR. BUNTING: Yes. He shut the machine down for  
4 a couple of days. (inaudible) with an air hose and repairs  
5 and things like that, a general maintenance. (inaudible)  
6 there was no work for (inaudible) at that time. So Steve  
7 laid him off. That was the reason for (inaudible) laid off.

8 INVESTIGATOR: And how long is that temporary  
9 shutdown of equipment, two days? So, in effect, this was  
10 an effort of getting rid of --

11 MR. BUNTING: Absolutely.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Who is the current pipefitter?

13 MR. BUNTING: Mike Pugh.

14 INVESTIGATOR: Pugh. Was Pugh already hired when  
15 Charlie (inaudible)?

16 MR. BUNTING: He had been hired when (inaudible)  
17 1 and 2. (inaudible) Since Charlie had been here longer, he  
18 was trying to lay off he laid off Mike instead of Charlie.  
19 And I think it was three months later that we heard (inaudible)  
20 after Charlie was gone. We didn't per se hire him back. We  
21 (inaudible) call and call (inaudible).

22 INVESTIGATOR: With your experience, since you  
23 have been there, is there any situation, any documentation  
24 of (inaudible) repairs (inaudible) by Western (inaudible)  
25 as not being accurate and (inaudible). Is there any

1 inaccuracies (inaudible)

2 MR. BUNTING: No.

3 INVESTIGATOR: You do not know if there was any  
4 falsification, any attempt to beat the system?

5 MR. BUNTING: None whatsoever.

6 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall any instance,  
7 Terry, where a mistake may have been made (inaudible) the  
8 heat (inaudible) temperature on the high side?

9 In other words, where a stress leak is normally  
10 done, say, between the valve and twelve hundred degrees  
11 (inaudible) and size and such. Do you recall any case  
12 where a mistake was made and the temperature was, say,  
13 considerably higher than the specified (inaudible) or the  
14 temperature that should have been specified?

15 MR. BUNTING: Yes. I do remember that happening  
16 once in Unit 1. It was two different types of materials,  
17 P-1 and P-5. The (inaudible) material, the welding rods  
18 they used wasn't right for what we stressed at. I think we  
19 stressed at thirteen, fifteen, or something like that, as  
20 per CIT. But they found out later that (audible) had been  
21 used and then it had (inaudible).

22 That's the only time I can --

23 INVESTIGATOR: Do you recall any occasion where  
24 the temperature of the weld was taken above, let's say,  
25 fifteen hundred degrees?

1 MR. BUNTING: Not the weld. One time we did a  
2 heat alignment (inaudible). Sixteen fifty or seventeen  
3 hundred degrees and held it (inaudible) in place.

4 INVESTIGATOR: Do you know whether or not  
5 Charlie was involved in that operation?

6 MR. BUNTING: He was the operator (inaudible)

7 INVESTIGATOR: Was there -- to your knowledge,  
8 was there a later concern by the metallurgist that the  
9 temperature may have been taken too high?

10 MR. BUNTING: I heard nothing at all pertaining  
11 to that.

12 INVESTIGATOR: Baker (inaudible) He is presently  
13 with --

14 MR. BUNTING: Bechtel.

15 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah. How about Tiny Tim? Do  
16 you recall his first name? We are trying to find --

17 MR. BUNTING: Thompson is his last name.

18 INVESTIGATOR: Yeah.

19 MR. BUNTING: (Inaudible) Like I said, he was  
20 a (inaudible) electrician. And Bechtel has refused to hire  
21 him back. It was something he did before he came to work  
22 with us. And I know he tried several times to get back on  
23 with Bechtel and (inaudible)

24 INVESTIGATOR: Would it be possible to find out  
25 if (inaudible) is still around?

1 MR. BUNTING: It would be impossible for me to  
2 find out.

3 INVESTIGATOR: If we find out --

4 MR. BUNTING: I don't know.

5 INVESTIGATOR: Well, ask (inaudible) as a general  
6 rule, we are trying to (inaudible)

7 MR. BUNTING: Unless he would be a local, I don't  
8 know. (inaudible)

9 INVESTIGATOR: Do you have some of these old  
10 (inaudible)

11 MR. BUNTING: No. I have (inaudible) but you  
12 wouldn't call these permanent. These are hourly.

13 INVESTIGATOR: Would the record show when they  
14 were employed?

15 MR. BUNTING: For the most part, yeah.

16 INVESTIGATOR: I would like to look at (inaudible)  
17 and Tiny's, if you've got it, and Wright, if you've got it,  
18 and Bell's. Can you come up with Bell's (inaudible)

19 Can we go through the home office and get that?

20 MR. BUNTING: Sure.

21 INVESTIGATOR: What was Bell's first name?

22 MR. BUNTING: Steve.

23 INVESTIGATOR: Steve or Steven?

24 MR. BUNTING: Steven, I think.

25 INVESTIGATOR: Unless you have something else,



1 I think that (inaudible)

2 INVESTIGATOR: No.

3 INVESTIGATOR: Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25