

DUKE POWER COMPANY

P.O. BOX 33189
CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR PRODUCTION

June 19, 1985

TELEPHONE
(704) 373-4531

85 JUN 24 4:33

Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station
IE Inspection Report
50-269/85-11
50-270/85-11
50-287/85-11

Dear Sir:

In response to your letter dated May 20, 1985 which transmitted the subject
Inspection Report, the attached response to the cited items of non-compliance
is provided.

Very truly yours,

H.B. Tucker
Hal B. Tucker

PFG:slb

Attachment

cc: Mr. J. C. Bryant
NRC Resident Inspector
Oconee Nuclear Station

8507110309 850619
PDR ADOCK 05000269
Q PDR

11
IEO/

Violation

10 CFR 50.59(b) requires that the licensee shall maintain records of changes in the facility and of changes in procedures made pursuant to this section, to the extent that such changes constitute changes in the facility as described in the safety analysis report or constitute changes in procedures as described in the safety analysis report. These records shall include a written safety evaluation which provides the bases that the change does not involve an unreviewed safety question. The licensee shall furnish annually to the NRC a report containing a brief description of such changes including a summary of the safety evaluation of each. Additionally, 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) requires that the submittal changes made under the provision of 10 CFR 50.59 shall reflect all changes up to a maximum of 6 months prior to the date of filing.

Contrary to the above, the annual report for nuclear station modifications completed between January 1 and December 31, 1983 was submitted on March 7, 1985.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1).

Response

- (1) Admission or denial of the alleged violations:

This violation is admitted.

- (2) Reasons for the violation:

This violation resulted from Personnel Error. The personnel involved in the preparation, review and submittal of the report failed to submit the report as required by 10 CFR 50.59(b).

- (3) Corrective actions taken and results:

A report was prepared and submitted upon discovery that no annual report for nuclear station modifications completed between January 1 and December 31, 1983 was submitted. This incident is considered to be an isolated case and of minor significance.

The personnel involved in this incident have been counseled concerning the Regulatory Requirements for the preparation and submittal of future reports.

- (4) Corrective action taken to avoid further violations:

No additional corrective actions are necessary.

- (5) Date when full compliance will be achieved:

Not applicable.