

50, 52 & 100
(57 FR 47802)

563 Exeter Road
Hampton, N.H. 03842-1010
February 5, 1993

Secretary, US NRC
Washington, DC 20555
Attention: Docketing and Service

'93 FEB -8 P4:19

14

Dear Secretary,

After reading the proposed new rules for siting future atomic reactors, it appears to me that they fly in the face of common sense and concern for the public's health and safety.

Nuclear reactors give off radiation daily. They are built that way. Therefore, where is the logic in allowing increased population density and shortening the distance to those denser populated areas?

Obviously, the only concern is siting plants where ever you can bend the rules to fit them in. The bottom line is profit at the expense of the well-being of the public.

We don't have to take that. Renewable, safe sources of energy are ready and affordable. They create no hazardous waste to foul the planet for hundreds of years. Many existing reactors should be shut down as they do not meet present day requirements so why should more reactors be built using far less stringent rules?

We, the people, are going to demand that new energy sources be renewable, clean and safe for our beleaguered planet and its inhabitants. No one gave the NRC the right to determine our destiny.

Sincerely yours,

Elizabeth H. Meiklejohn

Elizabeth H. Meiklejohn

copies to: Senator Judd Gregg

Senator Robert Smith

Representative Richard Swett

Representative William Zeliff

0510