

May 17, 1985

Mr. H. C. Pfefferlen, Manager  
BWR Licensing Programs  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue  
San Jose, California 95125

DISTRIBUTION:

Central File HBerkow  
SSPB/Reading CThomas  
NRD PDR J. Stang  
DCS  
PNoonan  
DMoran  
RDiggs

Dear Mr. Pfefferlen:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT NEDO-10466A,  
ADDENDUM 1, "POWER GENERATION CONTROL COMPLEX DESIGN CRITERIA AND  
SAFETY EVALUATION"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by the General Electric Company (GE) by letter dated February 28, 1985. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that GE publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, GE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

8505300478 850517  
PDR TOPRP EMVGENE  
C PDR

Original signed by  
Cecil O. Thomas, Chief  
Standardization and Special  
Projects Branch  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

DL:SSPB  
PNoonan:ac  
05/16/85

DL:SSPB  
DMoran  
05/16/85

DL:SSPB  
HBerkow  
05/16/85

DL:SSPB  
CThomas  
05/17/85

RD-8-2  
GE



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 17, 1985

Mr. H. C. Pfefferlen, Manager  
BWR Licensing Programs  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Avenue  
San Jose, California 95125

Dear Mr. Pfefferlen:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT NEDO-10466A,  
ADDENDUM 1, "POWER GENERATION CONTROL COMPLEX DESIGN CRITERIA AND  
SAFETY EVALUATION"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by the General Electric Company (GE) by letter dated February 28, 1985. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that GE publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, GE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

*Cecil O. Thomas*

Cecil O. Thomas, Chief  
Standardization and Special  
Projects Branch  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated