

JUN 22 1985

Docket No. 50-302

Mr. Walter S. Wilgus
Vice President, Nuclear Operations
Florida Power Corporation
ATTN: Manager, Nuclear Licensing
& Fuel Management
P. O. Box 14042; M.A.C. H-2
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	ACRS-10
ORB#4 Rdg	Ringram
NRC PDR	HSilver
L PDR	Gray File
HThompson	EBrach
OELD	HOrnstein
EJordan	
BGrimes	
JPartlow	

Dear Mr. Wilgus:

SUBJECT: CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT - PUMP AND VALVE
INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM - FIRST 10 YEAR INTERVAL

By letter dated June 4, 1982, you submitted a revised proposed pump and valve inservice testing program description and requests for relief from selected ASME Code requirements pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g). Although we have not completed our detailed review of your submittal, our preliminary review makes clear to us that your proposed program to implement those ASME Code requirements that you have found to be practical would increase the scope of inservice testing for your facility beyond that currently required by your Technical Specifications. We have concluded that this upgrading of your inservice testing program will further enhance safety.

Based on our preliminary review, we agree with the determination that it is impractical within the limitations of design, geometry and materials of construction of components, for you to meet certain of the specified ASME Code requirements and that imposition of those requirements would result in hardships or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality or safety. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(i), we hereby grant interim relief until we have completed our detailed review or until September 30, 1985, whichever comes first, from those testing requirements of the ASME Code that you have requested. Moreover, since the scope of the inservice testing will be increased by your proposed program, and the granting of this relief is based only on the impracticality of selected ASME Code requirements, we have determined that the granting of this relief is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, you are authorized to, and should proceed to, implement your proposed program (except where your current Technical Specifications are more restrictive).

During the period between now and the date we complete our detailed review of your submittal, you must comply with both your existing Technical Specifications and your proposed inservice testing program.

8507090410 850622
PDR ADOCK 05000302
P PDR

Mr. Wilgus

-2-

In the event conflicting requirements arise for some components, you must comply with the more restrictive requirements (e.g., shorter testing intervals, increased number of parameters measured). In other words, the granting of this relief from ASME Code requirements should not be interpreted to give you relief from any of the requirements in your existing Technical Specifications.

When our detailed review of your submittal is complete, we will: (1) issue final approval of your program (which may contain modifications resulting from our review), and (2) grant relief from any ASME Code requirements that are determined to be impractical for your facility for the duration of the testing interval.

Sincerely,

John F. Stolz
JOHN F. STOLZ*

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Licensing

cc:
See next page

*See previous white for concurrences:

ORB #4:DL
RIn:ram*
6/19/85

HSilver
ORB #4:DL
HSilver;cr
6/20/85

ORB #4:DL
JStolz*
6/19/85

MEB:DE
FCherny*
6/19/85

OELD
m. Korman
6/20/85
No legal objection

Mr. Wilgus

-2-

In the event conflicting requirements arise for some components, you must comply with the more restrictive requirements (e.g., shorter inspection intervals, increased number of parameters measured). In other words, the granting of this relief from ASME Code requirements should not be interpreted to give you relief from any of the requirements in your existing Technical Specifications.

When our detailed review of your submittal is complete, we will: (1) issue final approval of your program (which may contain modifications resulting from our review), and (2) grant relief from any ASME Code requirements that are determined to be impractical for your facility for the duration of the inspection interval.

Sincerely,

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Licensing

cc:
See next page

ORB#4:DL
RIngram
6/19/85

ORB#4:DL
HSilver;cr
6/19/85

ORB#4:DL
JStolz
6/19/85

MEB:DE
FCherny
6/19/85

OELD
6/ /85

Mr. W. S. Wilgus
Florida Power Corporation

Crystal River Unit No. 3 Nuclear
Generating Plant

cc:

Mr. R. W. Neiser
Senior Vice President
and General Counsel
Florida Power Corporation
P. O. Box 14042
St Petersburg, Florida 33733

Bureau of Intergovernmental Relations
660 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Mr. Wilbur Langely, Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
Citrus County
Inverness, Florida 36250

Nuclear Plant Manager
Florida Power Corporation
P. O. Box 219
Crystal River, Florida 32629

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Suite 220, 7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. Tom Stetka, Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route #3, Box 171
Crystal River, Florida 32629

Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Uray Clark, Administrator
Radiological Health Services
Department of Health and
Rehabilitative Services
1323 Winewood Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Administrator
Department of Environmental Regulation
Power Plant Siting Section
State of Florida
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32304