



EJADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO
OFICINA DEL GOBERNADOR
AGENCIA ESTATAL DE DEFENSA CIVIL



December 30, 1992

Mr. Douglas M. Collins, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety
Division of Radiation Safety
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Morietta Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Reference: Notice of Violation NRC Inspection
Report No. 52-03569-02/92-01
Docket No. 030-07480 Response

Dear Gentleman:

Corrections actions has been established on the specific items shown by you in the above mentioned report.

All our Cobalt-60, sources has been turned in to the Federal Emergency Management Agency Radef Test Facility, Virginia, on Dec. 11, 1992. Instead of renewing the licence concerned, we look forward for a cancellation of the same.

We feel that some clarification its necessary on certain paragraphs of you letter. Our licence expired on September 30, 1991 and since that we possessed, but not used the Cobalt sources.

This radioactive material has been tested for Leakage every six months, even when the record was not found immediatly at the moment of the inspection.


9302120108 930127
PDR ADOCK 03007480
PDR


Our Ludlum Scaler Reter Meter 2,200 become out of service since May 1992. On October 16, Mr. Miguel Sanjurjo, personally performed the frotings and all test procedure and used another scaler belonging to the Health Department.

All of our container were stored in a room of cement with an steel door secured with padlock. All the Lead container inside was secured with two padlock.

Any additional question regarding this, we are delight to answer.

Cordially yours,


Pedro L. Pico
Acting Director

x Review and Endorsed 
+ Deputy Director

CHECKLIST

ROI 0917

EVALUATION OF LICENSEE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS FOR VIOLATIONS

Inspection Rpt. No. 52-03562-02/92-01

Violation No. _____

Plant Name COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
STATE CIVIL DEFENSE AGENCYResponse Received 1/12/93

Assessment by RII Managers of licensee's corrective action:

Was the Root Cause for the Violation Addressed? If no, explain.

NO. LICENSEE DISPOSED OF ALL LICENSED MATERIAL & REQUESTED
TERMINATION OF LICENSE. NO NEED TO USE ANY ADDITIONAL NRC RESOURCES
TO GET BETTER APLY. ISSUE SHOULD BE CLOSED

Were the Steps Taken Adequate to Correct the Problem and Prevent Future Violation? _____

N/A

Results Achieved: _____

N/AAdditional Corrective Steps That Will Be Taken: N/ADate of Full Compliance Indicated: N/AC. M. [Signature]
Section Chief1/12/93
Date

Recommended Actions:



Response Letter



Telephone Call To Licensee



Letter Requesting Supplemental Information

APPROVED BY: [Signature]1/22/93cc: Division Director
Original to Docket File

Branch Chief

Date