

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1										DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3) 050003 2 17 110F 1 6									
TITLE (4) Reactor Trips as a Result of a Switchyard Power Circuit Breaker Fault and a Unit 2 Entry Into Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 When Both Centrifugal Charging Pumps Were Removed From Service																			
EVENT DAY (5)					LER NUMBER (6)					REPORT DATE (7)					OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)				
					SEQUENTIAL REVISION					FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER(S)									
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR					NUMBER NUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR					Sequoyah, Unit 2 05000328									
1 2 3 1 9 2 9 2					0 2 7 0 0 0 2 0 1 9 3					050003									
OPERATING MODE (9)					THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5: (Check one or more of the following)(11)														
1					20.402(b)					20.405(c)					XX 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b)				
POWER					20.405(a)(1)(i)					50.36(c)(1)					XX 50.73(a)(2)(v) 73.71(c)				
LEVEL					20.405(a)(1)(ii)					50.36(c)(2)					50.73(a)(2)(vii) OTHER (Specify in				
(10) 1 0 0					20.405(a)(1)(iii)					XX 50.73(a)(2)(i)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) Abstract below and in				
					20.405(a)(1)(iv)					50.73(a)(2)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) Text, NRC Form 366A)				
					20.405(a)(1)(v)					50.73(a)(2)(iii)					50.73(a)(2)(x)				
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)																			
NAME										TELEPHONE NUMBER									
Jan Bajraszewski, Compliance Licensing										AREA CODE									
										6 1 5 8 4 3 - 7 7 4 9									
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)																			
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER					REPORTABLE TO NPRDS					CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER					REPORTABLE TO NPRDS				
X E L 5 2 B 4 5 5					N														
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)																			
										EXPECTED MONTH DAY YEAR									
										SUBMISSION									
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) X NO										DATE (15)									

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On December 31, 1992, at approximately 2148 Eastern standard time (EST), with Units 1 and 2 in power operation at approximately 100 percent, both units received a reactor trip signal because of reactor coolant pump bus undervoltage. The reactor trips were followed by turbine trips. Undervoltage on the 6.9-kV shutdown boards initiated board load stripping, diesel generator (D/G) starts, and D/Gs tying onto their respective shutdown board. Electrical loads were appropriately sequenced back to the boards. Main feedwater isolated and auxiliary feedwater pumps started. Loss of power to a radiation monitor resulted in an auxiliary building isolation. With limited staffing in the Unit 2 main control room, recovery evolutions for Unit 2 resulted in isolation of centrifugal charging pump suction and removal of both centrifugal charging pumps from service. Unit 2 entered LCO 3.0.3 for approximately one minute until a suction flow path was reestablished. The cause of the event was an internal fault in a switchyard power circuit breaker resulting from inappropriate testing methodology. Corrective actions include strengthening of switchyard controls and increasing minimum Operations control room staffing.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)			
		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION							
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER				
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05101013 12 17 9 12	--	0	2	7	--	0	0	0	2	OF 1 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

I. PLANT CONDITIONS

Units 1 and 2 were in power operation at approximately 100 percent power.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event

On December 31, 1992, at approximately 2148 Eastern standard time (EST), both units received a reactor trip signal because of reactor coolant pump bus undervoltage (EIIS Code EA). The undervoltage condition resulted from an internal fault in a new switchyard power circuit breaker (PCB) (EIIS Code FK) that had been in service approximately 11 minutes. Before the event, switchyard crews were in the process of placing the PCB in service. The PCB (PCB 5058) was in the 500-kV switchyard to intertie transformer position. Primary protective relays applicable to the PCB had been disabled by opening the associated trip cutout switches to facilitate differential relay circuit phasing.

The reactor trips were followed by turbine trips. Undervoltage on the 6.9-kV shutdown (S/D) boards (EIIS Code EB) initiated diesel generator (D/G) (EIIS Code EK) starts and loading onto their respective S/D boards. The S/D board loads were stripped and upon D/G loading, loads were appropriately sequenced back to the boards with the exception of the thermal barrier booster pumps (TBBPs), which did not restart. Main feedwater isolated and auxiliary feedwater (AFW) (EIIS Code BA) pumps started. Loss of power to a radiation monitor (EIIS Code IL) resulted in an auxiliary building isolation. The fault was cleared within 88 cycles, and offsite power to the start busses was restored. Following the trip the reactor coolant pumps (RCPs) transferred from the unit station service transformer (USST) to the common station service transformer (CSST) as designed; forced reactor coolant flow was maintained.

During the transient, Unit 2 recovery evolutions resulted in isolation of centrifugal charging pump (EIIS Code CB) suction and both pumps being removed from service. Unit 2 entered Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3 for approximately one minute until a suction flow path was reestablished. Normal charging seal flow was not in-service during this time. Approximately 20 seconds into that minute, the TBBPs were manually started to provide RCP seal flow cooling.

The transmission system network consists of a 500-kV and a 161-kV switchyard at Sequoyah Nuclear Plant (SQN). Unit 1 is connected to the 500-kV network and Unit 2 is connected to the 161-kV network. These two networks are joined by the intertie transformer (Intertie Bank 5 - see page 16 of LER). PCB 5058 can be used as an intertie-transformer PCB and/or a spare-line PCB. Preferred electric power to the emergency busses and to start up and shut down the generating units at SQN is supplied by circuits from the 161-kV switchyard.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION						
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER					
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000327192	0	2	7	0	0	0	3	0	6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems That Contributed to the Event

The handswitches for the TBBPs of both units were in the A-Auto position (in accordance with procedure) instead of the AP-Auto position (in accordance with design). The TBBPs were shed following the loss of offsite power indication, as designed. However, as a result of the handswitch position, the TBBPs did not reload upon D/G loading.

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences

November 20, 1992	Switchyard PCBs inadvertently tripped during tests to locate a ground on the 250-volt direct current control wiring. Two phases of one PCB closed automatically because of a malfunction and loss of air pressure. The remaining phase did not close. The PCB then failed.
November 23, 1992	The decision was made to replace PCB 5058 with a new 550-PM type ABB breaker. A PCB that had been purchased for the Jackson, Tennessee 500-kV substation was chosen as the replacement PCB. ABB was contacted to obtain the necessary information to install the breaker at SQN.
November 30, 1992	The replacement PCB arrived at SQN from Jackson, Tennessee. A design change notice and work order were prepared and approved to install the breaker.
December 14, 1992	PCB 5058 installation began under the guidance of a TVA-ABB factory-trained power maintenance specialist.
December 29, 1992	The Chickamauga load coordinator was informed that PCB 5058 would be ready to be placed in service on December 31, 1992. The breaker was satisfactorily factory and field tested (the breaker had not been energized) as required by the work order.
December 31, 1992 at 2137 EST	Following review and approval of the switching order and testing methodology by the main control room (MCR) staff, PCB 5058 was placed in service to be followed by verification of phasing on the differential relay circuit. The primary trip cut-out switches were placed in the open position and provided no primary relay protection for PCB 5058 during this timeframe. Secondary delayed relay protection was available and did operate after approximately 88 cycles.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1				SEQUENTIAL		REVISION			
				YEAR		NUMBER			
		050003	27192	--	027	--	000	40F	16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

December 31, 1992

PCB 5058 faulted internally, resulting in breaker failure. From the annunciator printout, the first alarms to come in indicated oscillograph operation and opening of PCB 5074 (Plant Bowen line). The condenser circulating water pump motors tripped followed by alarms for overcurrent on Generator 1 exciter field, 161-kV supply voltage failure, station frequency excessive error, and undervoltage on the RCP bus.

Additional events during this first minute included:

- 1) Opening of the 500-kV switchyard PCBs and the intertie PCBs in the 161-kV switchyard.
- 2) Undervoltage on the 6.9-kV S/D boards resulted in the appropriate relays stripping the major equipment from the boards. This included the centrifugal charging pumps (CCPs) on both units, which subsequently resulted in letdown isolations.
- 3) Both units received a reactor trip signal because of RCP bus undervoltage. The reactor trips were followed by turbine trips and 161-kV bus voltage-failure alarms. Automatic transfer from USST to CSST was successful, and the 6.9-kV unit boards remained energized from offsite power. Undervoltage on the four 6.9-kV S/D boards initiated transfer to the D/Gs. The four D/Gs started; feeder breakers closed and energized their respective S/D boards.
- 4) An engineered safety feature (ESF) auxiliary building isolation actuated because of a loss of power to O-RM-90-101.
- 5) The alarm for the Unit 1 ice condenser lower inlet doors opening was received.
- 6) Nonaccident equipment sequenced back on the S/D boards. Both CCPs restarted on each unit.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1			SEQUENTIAL		REVISION				
		YEAR	NUMBER		NUMBER				
	05100327	92	02	7	00	0506			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Unit 1
at 2150 EST

The operator took manual control of AFW (minimum average temperature [T_{avg}] was 542 degrees Fahrenheit [F]) by reducing the speed of the turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (TDAFWP) and manually throttling the motor-driven auxiliary feedwater pump (MDAFWP) level control valves (LCVs). The letdown orifices were reopened followed by reestablishing the steam-drum operation. After the instrument mechanics (IMs) checked the P-4 contacts for the reactor trip breakers, the feedwater isolation was reset and steam generator blowdown was established.

Unit 2
at 2151 EST

The operator took manual control of the TDAFWP to bring the pump to minimum speed.

Unit 2
at approximately
2155 EST

The T_{avg} temperature had decreased to less than 540 degrees F. The assistant shift operations supervisor (ASOS) determined that boration was required. He directed boration through the blender at greater than 10 gallons per minute (gpm) with high concentration boration. The operator then took manual control of the MDAFWP LCVs to control the temperature decrease.

Unit 2
at 2208 EST

Suction to the CCPs swapped over from the volume control tank (VCT) to the refueling water storage tank (RWST) because level in the VCT had decreased to 7 percent. At that time, the ASOS realized that letdown had been previously isolated. The ASOS directed that one CCP be stopped. Since the blackout relays were sealed in, the pump was placed in pull-to-lock (P-T-L).

Unit 2
at 2209 EST

Letdown was reestablished.

Unit 2
at 2211 EST

After the reactor operator (RO) and ASOS verified sufficient VCT level, the VCT outlet valves were opened. The operator then closed the RWST valves. The operator observed that the VCT outlet valves were traveling closed. The second CCP was stopped and letdown automatically isolated. With both CCPs not in service, LCO 3.0.3 was entered. Approximately 20 seconds after the second CCP was stopped, the shift operations supervisor (SOS) started the TBBPs. The Unit 1 TBBPs were then started after the Unit 2 TBBPs.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)	
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	
		0500031217	912	--027--	00070116

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Unit 2 MCR personnel (one ASOS and one RO) proceeded through the actions described by the emergency procedure. With only one RO, securing of the secondary side was delayed. The RO took manual control of the TDAFWP and reduced its speed to minimum. The MDAFWP LCVs were left in the auto position resulting in twice the AFW flow of that in Unit 1, resulting in a greater cooldown rate. With blowdown isolated, feedwater pumps tripped, main turbine tripped, and steam dumps not available, the effect of the higher AFW flow caused Unit 2 to cooldown to about 537 degrees F. The ASOS recognized that RCS boration was required if T_{avg} was less than 540 degrees F and made the decision to leave the MDAFWP LCVs in auto and borate first. The ASOS and RO discussed which flow path was to be used. The normal boration path was chosen because it was considered to require less operator intervention and monitoring than the emergency path. The ASOS made the decision to borate through the blender and directed the RO to initiate 135 gallons of high concentration (20,000 parts per minute) boration at greater than 10 gpm. The ASOS did not read the procedure and believed that the procedure allowed boration through the path chosen. The procedure required boration through the emergency boration path. The normal boration path was allowed only if flow could not be achieved through the emergency boration path. The decision to borate through the normal rather than emergency path, as required by the procedure, set up the sequence of events ultimately leading to the loss of both CCPs and charging RCP seal injection.

The ASOS had noted early in the transient that the component cooling system (CCS) TBBPs did not automatically start after the D/Gs energized the S/D boards. The ASOS did not direct manual starting of the TBBPs at that time because he did not have the resources available to evaluate the impact on D/G loading.

At the time of the reactor trip, the undervoltage condition had resulted in load stripping of the 6.9-kV S/D boards. The load shedding tripped off the running CCP. With no CCPs running, a letdown isolation automatically occurred. After the ASOS initiated boration and manual control of the MDAFWP LCVs, an automatic swapover from the VCT to the RWST occurred as the level in the VCT reached 7 percent. At this time, the ASOS realized that letdown was isolated, and normal boration was only providing approximately 10 gpm makeup. After swapover, the ASOS directed the operator to stop the one CCP. The handswitch was placed in the P-T-L position to ensure that it would not immediately restart, since the blackout relays had not been reset. The ASOS, ASOS, and RO had verified that no condition existed that would indicate the need for operation of both CCPs. Stopping the CCP was based on adequate RCS inventory, letdown isolation, and potential for equipment (CCPs and subsequently RCP seals) damage as a result of low indicated oil pressure on the CCPs and no running TBBPs. The ASOS directed the RO to reestablish letdown flow to restore VCT level.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION			
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER		
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	01501031217	92	027	00	08 OF 16	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The RO and ASOS observed VCT level indication increase and agreed that the VCT was capable of supporting sustained transfer of the CCP suction from the RWST back to the VCT to restore normal conditions. Handswitches for the VCT outlet valves were taken to A-Auto, to the OPEN position. When the RO observed the valves reaching the full open position (red lights), he took the handswitches to the AP-Auto position. The RO then took the RWST outlet valve handswitches to A-Auto and to the CLOSED position. The RO observed the valves reaching the full closed position (green lights). It is believed that the RWST valve handswitches were left in the A-Auto position. This evolution took place in approximately 18 seconds based on printouts.

At this point, the RO recalled the RWST valves being closed and the VCT valves being open. The RO stated that as he looked away from the handswitches, he noticed green and red lights on the VCT valves, indicating the valves traveling closed. The RWST valves remained closed with green lights. With the RWST valve handswitches left in the A-Auto rather than the AP-Auto position, automatic transfer back to the RWST did not occur when the VCT valves traveled closed. The RO called out the condition to the ASOS. Not knowing whether the VCT valves were partly closed or almost fully closed, the RO prepared to stop the running 2A-A CCP. With concern for potential imminent failure of the CCP on loss of suction, the ASOS directed the RO to stop the 2A-A CCP. The RO held the pump handswitch in the STOP position (not in P-T-L). When told by the ASOS that the second CCP was being stopped, the SOS manually started the TBBPs approximately 20 seconds after the 2A-A CCP was stopped. The VCT outlet valves were reopened and remained open, the handswitch for the 2A-A CCP was released, and the pump restarted approximately one minute after being stopped. Letdown was reestablished and the system stabilized.

G. Safety System Responses

Safety systems performed and plant parameters responded as expected for the reactor and turbine trips. Details of specific safety system responses are as follows:

Upon receipt of the trip signals, the S/D and control bank rods for both units dropped into the core and reactor power rapidly decreased as expected.

The RCPs for both units were in service during the transient and forced flow was maintained.

Main feedwater flow for both units terminated on the reactor trips. The AFW pumps for both units started as designed, and steam flow continued to the TDAFW pumps. The operator of each unit took manual control of the TDAFW pumps and MDAFW pumps as the transient progressed.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION
		YEAR	NUMBER
		NUMBER	
		05000312171912--027--000090F16	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The auxiliary building vent radiation monitor lost power at the start of the event. This equipment is powered from the instrument power distribution panel, which is not backed by the vital inverters. This condition resulted in a control room alarm "Auxiliary Building Vent Monitor Hi Rad" and was not a result of an actual high radiation condition. The equipment performed as expected.

The normal feeder to the 6.9-kV S/D boards is designed to open when its undervoltage relays sense less than 80 percent voltage for more than 0.5 seconds. After the 6.9-kV S/D board voltage had decreased to less than 70 percent undervoltage, a D/G start signal was generated. The load shedding occurred as expected. After each D/G reached the appropriate speed and voltage, the breaker that connects each D/G to the S/D board closed, and the load sequencing timers started. Loads were then automatically reconnected for a nonaccident loading sequence. During this event, the load shed/load sequence logic functioned as designed on the four S/D boards, with the exception of the TBBPs.

The TBBPs failed to start following S/D board reloading. The SOS took manual action to restart the TBBPs. Further investigations into the failure to start revealed that the handswitches for the pumps had been placed in the A-Auto position in accordance with procedure. With the handswitch in this position, the pumps will not start upon actuation of the blackout relays. The handswitch position described by procedure was found to be incorrect relative to design.

During the time that the S/D boards were without power, a control power alarm was received on D/G 1A-A and a low lube oil pressure alarm was received on the four D/Gs. The low lube oil pressure alarm was expected for the event and was cleared. The control power alarm was reviewed and found to be the result of the test pushbutton being depressed or momentarily shorted. This condition was evaluated and no D/G operability concerns were identified.

During this transient, Unit 1 RCS temperatures remained above the analysis value of 540 degrees F, relative to S/D margin. The FSAR or technical specification (TS) requirements were not violated.

During this transient, Unit 2 RCS temperatures dropped to approximately 537 degrees F. The cooldown on Unit 2 was greater than Unit 1 because of a delay in taking manual control of AFW as described in Section F. A boration of 10 gpm through the blender was initiated and was replaced by RWST water on VCT swapover. Calculations show that approximately 600 ppm boron was required to maintain adequate S/D margin for an RCS temperature of 537 degrees F. Boron concentration before the event was 735 ppm. The FSAR or TS requirements were not violated.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	NUMBER	REVISION	NUMBER				
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, unit 1	01500031217	92	--	0	2	7	--	0	0
								1	0
								0	0
								1	6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Unit 1 pressurizer level was constant at approximately 57 percent before the event, sharply decreased to 33 percent (expected for the reactor trip while under load), and then settled to approximately 29 percent. The 29 percent level was reasonable for pressurizer level with two CCPs running, and letdown initially isolated. Actual and programmed levels returned to agreement upon stabilization of the plant and return to normal hot standby conditions.

Unit 2 pressurizer level was constant at approximately 59 percent before the event and then decreased upon the reactor trip to approximately 33 percent. Level subsequently increased to approximately 48 percent. Letdown was isolated when both CCPs were stopped as a result of S/D board load stripping and again later when both CCPs were stopped by operator action. Actual levels, posttrip, remained well above programmed levels principally because of the operation of both CCPs and the duration for which letdown was isolated. No challenges to any FSAR analysis limits were observed.

Except for a temporary upward trend on the Unit 1 upper containment radiation monitors, no perturbations were observed in containment pressure, temperature, or radiation. The exact cause for the increase in the particulate count rate could not be determined. Two plausible explanations of the rate increase are: (1) preexisting particulate activity that was disturbed upon restart of the radiation monitor (RM) pump, or (2) the restart of the upper compartment cooling fans after reloading on the S/D boards. Additionally, three Unit 1 lower ice condenser doors opened during the transient. The most likely cause for ice condenser door operation is the restart of the three lower compartment coolers (LCCs) after loading back on the S/D boards. The Unit 2 doors did not open; however, only two LCCs were restarted.

When the Unit 2 CCPs started, the red low oil pressure light illuminated on each of the pump handswitches. These low oil pressure lights remained illuminated when the CCPs were running. These lights cleared after the blackout relays were reset. An operator was dispatched to check the oil pressure on the CCPs locally. When he arrived, one CCP was in service and the oil pressure for that pump was normal. Troubleshooting verified that the circuitry associated with the low oil pressure light was installed in accordance with design requirements, and the auxiliary oil pump and light for the CCP worked as designed. An independent review was performed and no existing equipment deficiency was identified that could impact CCP operability.

III. CAUSE OF EVENT

A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause of the event (ESF and RPS actuations) was an internal fault with the C-phase of the PCB that was being placed in service. This fault dropped bus voltages for both units through the intertie transformer below the undervoltage protection setpoints.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	NUMBER	REVISION			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	0500032792	0	2	7	0	0	11 OF 16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The immediate cause of the LCO 3.0.3 entry was the loss of CCP suction and the removal of both CCPs from service.

B. Root Cause

The root cause analysis for the internal fault of the PCB determined that the fault was the result of particle contamination of the gas insulating system. During breaker timing tests, the breaker appears to have been "pumped" (the breaker was in motion toward opening with a closure signal initiated). The pumping action results in the production of metallic particles that allowed flashover in the resistor assembly area. Breaker timing test methodology did not provide guidance to ensure that breaker pumping would be prevented. The system configuration and testing methodology of bypassing primary breaker protection was the cause of the extent of subsequent undervoltage conditions on both units. This undervoltage condition resulted in activation of undervoltage protection, precipitating the dual unit trips, load shedding, and D/G start.

Although minimum TS staffing was maintained, effective control of the transient for Unit 2 was hampered by the fact that only one licensed operator was on duty. The other scheduled operator had called in sick and the Operations superintendent made the decision not to hold another operator over. As a result of the extent of the specific event (i.e., reactor trips and undervoltage on both units combined with a major upset to the offsite electrical distribution system), other MCR personnel were not available to assist in the Unit 2 response. During the transient, the Unit 2 operator was delayed in securing the secondary plant and taking manual control of the MDAFW LCVs. This action precipitated the unit cooldown, boron evolution, and eventual LCO 3.0.3 entry.

C. Contributing Factors

The removal of primary breaker protection relays (trip cut-out relays) before placing the new PCB in service prevented early breaker actuation (3.5 cycles) for protection of switchyard busses and the generating units. Before placing the breaker in service, an assessment was made for disablement of relay protection, and it was determined that failure of the new PCB was highly unlikely. This was founded on successful factory and field testing. Also, it was considered that the potential for an intertie trip resulting from miswiring or improper phasing might exist without the trip cut-out relays removed. It is concluded that the testing methodology did not appropriately assess potential risks involved and that alternatives were not adequately evaluated. Communication between the Transmission and Power Service organization and site management was inadequate for assessing acceptability of inherent risk. Additionally, the testing documents did not contain sufficient detail for site management to understand or assess the potential risks involved.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5)		PAGE (3)		
		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION			
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER		
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000312171921--	0	2	7	--	0 0 1 2 OF 1 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The Unit 2 operators failed to follow procedures regarding alignment of the emergency boration path. This set up the sequence of events ultimately resulting in loss of CCP suction and removing both CCPs from service for approximately one minute. It also appears that operator error resulted in mispositioning the VCT and RWST outlet valve handswitches, directly resulting in loss of CCP suction. Staffing factored into key decisions made during these evolutions as described in Section 11.F. The magnitude of the event, compounded by having only one licensed operator, resulted in challenges to the operators (RO and ASOS). From a human factors standpoint, this situation heightened the potential for inadvertent/unrecognized operator action "in the heat of the battle." Investigation results conclude that the RWST handswitches were incorrectly left in A-Auto and that inadvertent operator action appears to have resulted in reclosure of the VCT valves. While not recalled, the action could have taken place under the urgency/pressure of the situation and not have been consciously recognized. While the effect of minimum staffing on this event was apparent, it is considered that recovery evolutions could have been successfully performed had procedures been explicitly followed.

IV. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

A C-phase to ground fault on the 500-kV system caused both Units 1 and 2 to trip. The fault caused the C-phase voltage in the 500-kV switchyard to drop to zero and the 161-kV switchyard C-phase voltage to dip to approximately 50 percent. The fault caused the 161-kV voltage to dip because of the intertie transformer being in service at the time of the fault. The intertie transformer ties the 161-kV switchyard to the 500-kV switchyard; therefore, the 161-kV switchyard was supplying power to the fault, which caused its voltage to dip. With a fault of this nature and the intertie transformer in service, the 161-kV switchyard responded as expected. The reduced voltage on both the 500-kV and 161-kV switchyards is reflected back to the auxiliary power system (APS). The undervoltage relays on the RCPs initiate a reactor trip signal in seventeen and one-half cycles when the voltage goes below 5022V (approximately 73 percent). Therefore, each unit's reactor protection system responded to the degraded voltage and tripped. The undervoltage relays on the 6.9-kV S/D boards' normal feeder breakers trip the breakers if the voltage dips to 80 percent or less for one-half second. This would cause the 70 percent loss-of-voltage relays to start the D/Gs and sequence the loads onto them. The RCPs did not trip since an underfrequency signal of less than 56 Hertz on the RCP bus did not occur.

The Unit 1 unit boards fast transferred from the USST to the CSST because of the loss of the 500-kV switchyard. The Unit 2 boards did not transfer immediately from the USSTs to the CSSTs since there was not a fault in the Unit 2 main generator or any of the 161-kV sources tied to the generator. The Unit 2 unit boards transferred approximately 30 seconds after the reactor tripped as designed. The reaction of the APS to the undervoltage for 90 cycles was as expected and as designed. The response to the event is part of the design basis for SQN.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)	
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	
		050003	27	92	--
		0	2	7	--
		0	0	1	30F
		1	6		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

In addition, both units' TBBPs were shed following the loss of offsite power indication as designed. However, upon D/G reloading, they were not reloaded because of the position of the handswitches. The RCP thermal barrier heat exchanger functions as a backup to the seal injection system to ensure that hot RCS water will not enter the RCP bearings and seals in the event of a loss of seal injection. While the thermal barrier heat exchanger provides a backup function; operation of the RCPs with reduced or no CCS flow to the thermal barrier heat exchanger will not result in damage to the RCP seals or bearings as long as normal seal injection flow is maintained. The operator recognized during the event that the TBBPs had not restarted and waited until D/G loading could be verified to start the TBRPs. Therefore, the operator at this point maintained the primary cooling source for the seals (i.e., charging pumps). Later in this event, both CCPs for Unit 2 were removed from service approximately 20 seconds before manual start of the TBBPs.

Evaluation indicated that there is approximately 50-55 gallons of cold water contained in the shaft alley area of the reactor coolant pumps. With a nominal leak-off rate of 3 gpm, it is estimated that it would take 10 to 20 minutes for hot RCS water to contact the seals. Although there would be some increase in temperature of the water in the seal area as it leaks through, any loss of flow for a period of less than 10 minutes is not considered to have adverse effects on seal condition or performance. The period of time without normal charging seal injection or normal thermal barrier cooling was approximately 20 seconds. No TBB high-temperature alarms were present during this event. There was no RCS inventory loss outside of the RCS or to interfacing systems. The capability to provide adequate long-term core cooling remained unimpaired.

Unit 1 was S/D and stabilized in Mode 3 with no other anomalies. Plant parameters associated with the trip function responded as designed and operator actions were considered appropriate via the emergency procedures.

During the event response, Unit 2 RCS T_{avg} trended below 540 degrees F and emergency procedures required emergency boration to compensate for potential reduction in S/D margin. Given the actual amount of boration required and the fact that all rods inserted upon reactor trip, no challenge to the FSAR or TS requirements occurred.

During the loss of power, low oil pressure indications were received in the MCR for both of the Unit 2 CCPs. Under S/D board load sequencing, the CCP auxiliary oil pump is started immediately when power is returned to the S/D board. The CCP starts two seconds later regardless of oil pressure. Assuming that the low oil pressure indicating lights were a true indication that no auxiliary oil pump start had not occurred, the effects of operating the CCPs with low oil pressure were evaluated. It was concluded that:

1. The low oil pressure condition would have only existed during pump startup. Once the pump was up to full speed, sufficient oil pressure would have existed to adequately lubricate the pump bearings.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION				
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1		YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER			
		05000312171912	--027	--00	11	40F	16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

2. If one of the charging pumps was in normal operation when the event occurred, sufficient bearing lubrication would have been provided if the time interval for which the charging pump was without power was short (i.e., within the start-up and wind-down times). Sufficient pressure would have existed to bathe the pump bearings with lube oil.

An investigation was performed on the lube oil light anomaly and no equipment deficiency was found.

In conclusion, primary safety systems responded as designed during this transient. Adequate S/D margin, well within prescribed safety analysis limits, was maintained for both units. No primary safety system component was faulted or degraded during this event. Safety parameters remained within the design basis of the plant. This event did not result in adverse consequences to plant personnel or the public.

V. CORRECTIVE ACTION

A. Immediate Corrective Actions

The control room staff promptly diagnosed the plant conditions and took actions to stabilize the unit in a safe condition. Additionally, the motor-operated disconnects for PCB 5058 were opened, which completely isolated the PCB from the bus.

Follow-up investigations were initiated for identified anomalies and appropriate corrective actions were identified.

B. Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

The transmission and Power Service field test manual has been revised to provide specific guidance for breaker timing testing. This guidance ensures that the field timing test does not bypass the anti-pumping circuit within the breaker. Additional controls have been established to strengthen communications between the Transmission and Power Service organization and the site, increase plant visibility of switchyard work, implement improved risk assessment for disablement of protective relays, and change testing methodology to minimize disablement of protective relays.

Administrative controls have been implemented to ensure that control room staffing will be maintained at two ROs for each operating unit. The need for additional training at diluted staffing levels (i.e., common MCR staffing such as shift technical advisor/SOS not available) is being evaluated.

The operators involved in the Unit 2 recovery evolutions have been counselled on procedure adherence and are providing the lessons learned from this event to other operators. Operations management has met with the operator crews and discussed this event focusing on procedure adherence and operator actions outside procedural steps.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
		SEQUENTIAL	REVISION
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1		YEAR	NUMBER
	050003 12 17 19 12	0 2 7	0 0 1 5 OF 1 6

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

The procedure used to position the TBBP switches was revised to be in agreement with design requirements. Other MCR handswitch positions were reviewed against design requirements to ensure proper positioning. A broader effort is in progress to provide overall improvements in the control of configuration of plant equipment. This effort includes specific improvements in the configuration control process, review to properly identify components needing configuration control, and to ensure that appropriate administrative controls are in place to reflect the required configuration. This broad effort is complemented by a field configuration verification.

The lube oil light anomaly on the Unit 2 CCP lube oil system was investigated. The investigation recommendations are under evaluation for further action.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components

The failed component of this event was an Asea Brown Boveri 550-PM power circuit breaker.

B. Previous Similar Events

A review of previous events did not identify an LER associated with failure to provide adequate relay protection during breaker testing, VCT isolation/CCP suction isolation, or operator staffing. No additional previous events were identified relative to operator error or failure to follow procedures during a transient. A previous event (LER 50-328/88010) was identified associated with an operator taking the CCP to the P-T-L position. In that event, the responsible RO did not recognize that placing the CCP handswitch in the P-T-L position would result in the CCP being inoperable during plant operation in Mode 3. Two LERs (50-327/92018 and 92025) were identified that addressed single system/component failure affecting both units. Those LERs provided information on water intrusion into the station non-essential control air system and station air compressor selector-switch failure. The causes and corrective actions of those events would not have prevented the event described by this LER. LERs were identified (LERs 327/92006, 90009, and 328/90009) associated with procedure noncompliance involving failure to properly verify RCS flow, failure to adhere to a precaution resulting in an automatic start of the AFW system, and failure to properly implement a surveillance requirement. The broader issues of human performance and control of work are being evaluated under the site improvement plan that is currently being developed.

VII. COMMITMENTS

None.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	050003127	92	027	00	1	6	16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

