

ATTACHED

PROJECT NO.:



Appendix A



Appendix B



Appendix C

INSPECTION REPORT NO. 99-50LICENSEE CONTACT: RON AUGLINGName: Childrens Hospital (Boston)TELEPHONE NO.: (617) 735-6000Address: 300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02115Enders Bldg SB-22, Radio Control
UnitLICENSE NO: 20-09568-17PRIORITY: 3Program Code: G120-09568-18PRIORITY: 3Program Code: E

PRIORITY: _____

Program Code: _____

INSPECTION DATE (s): June 14, 1989

TYPE OF INSPECTION:



SPECIAL



ANNOUNCED



ROUTINE



UNANNOUNCED



DAYSHIFT



OTHER

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND ACTION

☐ NO NONCOMPLIANCE, CLEAR 591 ISSUEDACTION ON PREVIOUS
NONCOMPLIANCE, APPENDIX B☐ NO NONCOMPLIANCE, LETTER

NONCOMPLIANCE, 591 ISSUED

☒ NONCOMPLIANCE, APPENDIX A

SUPPLEMENTAL INFO, APPENDIX C

RECOMMENDATIONS
SEE APPENDIX C☐ CHANGE PROGRAM CODE

CHANGE PRIORITY TO: _____

☐ NEXT INSPECTION DATE: 6/90

PERSONS CONTACTED

Dr. Thomas A. J. - Jefferson - RSCDr. Amich - RSCSusan Fantaleoni - Director of Research
AdministrationLeta Bradford - Health Physics TechnicianRobert Zimmerman - Medical PhysicistINSPECTOR: * Present at exit
J. Miller 7/19/89APPROVED: J. Piccone 8/5/89David Davis - Chief Nuclear Med Tech Technical
AdministrationJames Ulanski - Nuclear Med TechMark Bigone - Health Physics TechNumerous researchers, principal investigators,
and other laboratory personnel were also
interviewedA. Kilwood 7/21/89

ORGANIZATION

- a. Organizational structure meets license requirements. () Yes () No

[L/C]

Remarks.

Board of Trustees

President

--- Director of Research Administration

Dr. Treves, Director-Division of Nuclear Medicine (RSC Chairman)

--- RSO

RSC Chairman also reports directly to

- b. Use supervised by authorized individuals. () Yes () No [35.22(b)(2)]

Remarks.

Medical Staff.
Executive Committee

Dr. Treves is principal physician

Dr. Sommerville left institution May 1, 1989, has been replaced by Dr. Tuma

Radiology resident works under Treves supervision

Physicians from Dana Farber & Brigham & Women's cover for Treves in his absence under his supervision

- c. Radiation Safety Committee meets at quarterly intervals.

() Yes () No RSC is active, shall meet 4 times per year

- (1) Membership in accordance with 35.22(a)(1) () Yes () No

Remarks.

Chair

Administrator

Secretary

- (2) Record of Committee meetings. () Yes () No [35.22(a)(4)]

Remarks.

Records were reviewed and pertinent subject matter was discussed at meetings

- (3) Consultants. () Yes () No

Remarks.

Harvard Services. RSC is an employee of the "Harvard" consulting service and he splits his time between Children's Hospital & Dana Farber Cancer Institute

- e. Licensee uses the services of a visiting authorized user.

() Yes () No [35.27(a)]

- (1) Licensee has a copy of visiting authorized user license.

() Yes () No [35.27(a)(2)]

- (2) License has records (maintained for 2 years) of visiting authorized users last visit. () Yes () No [35.37(c)]

- f. License utilizes mobile nuclear medicine services.

() Yes () No [35.29]

- g. Licensee delegates RSO sufficient authority, organizational freedom, and management prerogative. () Yes () No

- h. Appropriate review by Committee in accordance with 35.22(b).

() Yes () No

Annual review of program performed by RSO

2. INSPECTION HISTORY

Violations or deviations noted during last inspection conducted on August 5, 1987
☒ Yes ☐ No.

Response letter dated March 21, 1988

(See Appendix B for details)

3. SCOPE OF PROGRAM

Briefly list medical procedures and their frequency.

(1 or 2/year)

~ 3,000 procedures/year no brachytherapy radiopharmaceutical therapy I-131 for
thyroid carcinoma Xenon-133 scans/year

Radiopharmaceuticals are purchased from Brigham & Women's Hospital
multi-dose vials of Tc-99m & emergency generators for weekends & nights
40-45 principal investigators -> 100-110 laboratories

23, 0.12 no radiosynthesis 3.35 P-32 millicurie quantities max, I-125 millicurie
quantities used in Tc-99m procedures in 100-110
same used, 2 or 3 iodinations/day

4. INTERNAL AUDITS OR INSPECTIONS

a. Required by license condition. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

b. Investigations or inspections conducted. ☐ Yes ☐ No

[35.21(a) and (b)(2)] Health Physics Tech performs laboratory inspection at
Remarks.
the same time that surveys are performed. Tech reports non-compliance
in ~~unsatisfactory~~ unsatisfactory laboratory conditions to the RSO. A formal
notice of violation is issued by RSO. PI is required to respond
in writing and describe corrective action implemented. Inspectors reviewed

c. Records maintained. ☐ Yes ☐ No [35.21(b)(2)(xi)] internal NCRs and did not
Remarks.
identify one case in which PI failed to respond to RSO. Recurrent
violations are addressed by RSO. RSO performs laboratory inspection before
PI authorizations are issued. Permits are issued for 2 years
and renewed. RSO ~~has~~ has recently met with 5 PIs prior to renewal to

5. TRAINING, RETRAINING, AND INSTRUCTION TO WORKERS

a. License referenced training program. effective self identification & correction
program

(1) Training program implemented. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Remarks.

Inspectors toured dozens of laboratories and interviewed personnel.
Individuals consistently stated that they had received the required
formal training, were familiar with the radon monitoring equipment,
waste disposal process, and procedures to maintain their exposures ALARA.

(2) Retraining program implemented. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Remarks.

5. (cont'd)

- b. Instruction to workers in accordance with 10 CFR 19.12.

☐ Yes ☐ No

Remarks.

- *c. Describe the QA program to mitigate therapeutic misadministrations.
- NI*

- (1) Have secondary checks of the dose calculations been done?

☐ Yes ☐ No

- (2) Do the second party checks of the dose calculations provide assurance that the final treatment plan will provide the dose prescribed on the patient chart?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

- (3) Do technologists consult with the doctor if the prescription or other orders are unclear?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No
-
- Remarks.

- d. Followup on therapy or serious diagnostic misadministrations

- (1) 10 CFR 35.43 properly implemented?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

- (2) Was proper medical care given for the patient pursuant to the NRC medical consultant recommendations?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

- (3) Were appropriate actions implemented to prevent recurrence?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

- (4) Were the technologist and dosimetrist made aware of these actions?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

- (5) Do the licensee's QA/QC procedures address these actions to prevent recurrence?
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No
-
- Remarks.

6. RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION PROCEDURES

- a. Radiation Safety program changes reviewed. (Exception to changes without license amendment may be found in 35.13 and 35.606.)
-
- ☐
- Yes
- ☐
- No

Procedures were as submitted in license application

*Inspect when QA rule becomes final.

6. (cont'd)

- b. Records of changes in procedures reviewed. () Yes () No
[35.31(b)]
Remarks.

- c. Radioactive materials used in accordance with current procedures.
(✓) Yes () No [35.21(b)(2)]
Remarks.

(1) Describe individuals understanding of current procedures.

(2) Examples of key procedures:

- (a) ordering and accepting packages of RAM
- (b) general rules for safe use of RAM
- (c) emergency procedures
- (d) survey procedures
- (e) handling of volatile RAM (e.g., Xe-133, I-131)
- (f) precautions for use of RAM (sealed and unsealed) for therapy
- (g) emergency procedures posted?
- (h) do licensee personnel understand emergency procedures?
- (i) safety procedures for patient therapy in accordance with 35.315 and 35.415

7. MATERIALS, FACILITIES AND INSTRUMENTS

- a. Facilities as described in license application. (✓) Yes () No
Remarks.

- b. Isotope, chemical form, quantity and use as authorized.
(✓) Yes () No [L/C]
Remarks.

- c. Syringes containing radioactive material properly labeled and shielded unless contraindicated. (✓) Yes () No [35.60(a)(b)(c)]

- d. Vials containing radioactive material properly labeled and shielded.
(✓) Yes () No [35.61(a)(b)]

7. (cont'd)

e. Tests required by regulations.

- (1) molybdenum-99 breakthrough. (☒) Yes () No [35.204(b)]
(2) performed as required. (☒) Yes () No [35.204(a)]
(3) records maintained. (☒) Yes () No [35.204(c)]

Remarks.

Nuc Med Techs use "emergency" generator @ nights & weekends. Mo99 breakthrough test was performed, records maintained. Records indicated no evidence of a molybdenum-99 breakthrough

- (4) Leak tests. (☒) Yes () No
(5) Leak tests performed as required. (☒) Yes () No [35.59(b)]
Dates and Remarks.

Inspectors reviewed records of leak tests performed on calibration sources as well as irradiators. Records indicate sources are not leaking

f. Inventory of sealed sources.

- (1) Inventory of Group VI sources. () Yes () No [35.59(g)] *NA*
Dates:
(2) Inventory of calibration sources. () Yes () No [35.59(g)]
Dates: *done when leak tested*

g. Areas for storage and use of radioactive materials.

- (1) Method used to prevent an unauthorized individual
(2) Radioactive material secured to prevent unauthorized removal from an unrestricted area. () Yes () No [20.207]

Remarks.

Security was adequate

- (3) Area wipe tested? (☒) Yes () No
Remarks.

h. Instrumentation.

- (1) Operable survey instruments are as described or equivalent to those described in license application. (☒) Yes () No
[35.120, 220, 320, 420]
Remarks.

7. (cont'd)

- (2) Capability of radiation survey instruments is adequate for program.
☒ Yes () No
Remarks.

- (3) Calibration of survey instruments required. (☒ Yes () No

- (a) Performed as required. (☒ Yes () No [35.50]
Dates and Remarks.

Survey meters available were commensurate with the isotopes and quantities in program. Every survey meter inspected had a current calibration sticker

- (4) Records of calibration maintained for 2 years. [35.50(e)] *NI*
() Yes () No

8. RECEIPT AND TRANSFER OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL

Receipt of incoming packages during "off-duty" hours by whom?

- (a) Where stored? Security? [LIC] *locked in hot lab*

- (b) Survey of incoming packages. () Yes () No [20.205(b)(1)]
Remarks.

- (1) ☒ Record of survey. () Yes () No [20.401(b)]
Remarks.

in Nuclear Medicine
NC *Packages containing radioactive material, including generators, were not surveyed @ 3ft and on surface of the package. RSO stated that packages should be surveyed externally as well as checked with swipe for contamination.*

- (c) Procedure for opening packages. (☒ Yes () No [20.205(d)]
Remarks.

Established, but not followed

- (d) Returned licensed material transferred in accordance with 10 CFR 30.41.
() Yes () No
Remarks.

B. (cont'd)

(e) Records of receipt and transfer maintained. (☒) Yes () No

[30.51]

Remarks.

9. PERSONNEL RADIATION PROTECTION - EXTERNAL

(Obtain information regarding whole body and extremity monitors)

- a. Film or TLD badge supplier Landauer Frequency monthly
- b. Reports reviewed by RSO? Dosimetry records were reviewed by RSO Others _____?
- Frequency monthly
- (Are badges assigned to personnel as per licensee's correspondence with NRC?)

- c. NRC inspector reviewed personnel monitoring records for period 6/87 to 4/89

- d. NRC forms or equivalent.

(1) NRC-4: () Yes () No Complete: () Yes () No
Necessary () Yes () No

(2) NRC-5: () Yes () No Complete: () Yes () No

[20.401(a)]

Remarks.

According to dosimetry records, no exposures in excess of regulatory or ALARA limits have been received.

- e. Maximum quarterly whole-body exposure. _____

- f. Maximum quarterly extremity exposure. _____

- g. Licensee has implemented an ALARA program. (☒) Yes () No

[35.50] [see Procedure No. 83822, "Radiation Protection"]

Remarks.

- h. Radiation survey of unrestricted areas. (☒) Yes () No
(20.201(b) to show compliance with 20.105(b)) [35.315(a)(4)];

[35.415(a)(4)]

Remarks.

~~These~~

Observed nuclear med tech inject a patient:

Syringe was appropriately labelled and assayed prior administration. Individual used disposable gloves, wore a whole body badge, finger dosimeter, and a lab coat and also used a syringe shield. Contaminated refuse generated during procedure was disposed of properly.

9. (cont'd)

- (1) Record of surveys maintained. (☒) Yes (☐) No
[20.401(b) to show compliance with 20.105(b)]
Remarks.

i. Radiation survey of storage and use areas:

- (1) Quarterly survey brachytherapy source storage. (☐) Yes (☐) No *NA*
[35.59(h)]
- (2) Temporary implant patient release survey. (☐) Yes (☐) No *NA*
[35.404(a)]
- (3) Radiopharmaceutical and permanent implant patient release survey.
(☒) Yes (☐) No [35.75]
- (4) Radiopharmaceutical therapy room contamination survey.
(☒) Yes (☐) No [35.315(a)(5) and (7)]
- (5) Patient survey upon implant. (☐) Yes (☐) No [35.406(c)] *NA*
- (6) Radiopharmaceutical storage and laboratory use areas.
(☐) Yes (☐) No [35.70]
Remarks.

- j. Record of survey maintained. (☒) Yes (☐) No [35.70(h)]
Remarks.

- k. Inventory of brachytherapy sources after use. (☐) Yes (☐) No *NA*
[35.406]
Remarks.

- l. Records maintained. (☐) Yes (☐) No [35.59(g)]; [35.406]

- m. Dose calibrator calibration and checks performed as follows:

Constancy (☐) Yes (☐) No Accuracy (☐) Yes (☐) No
Linearity (☐) Yes (☐) No Geometric dependence (☐) Yes (☐) No
[35.50]

*Annual accuracy last performed 4/4/89 @ Co-57 18 μ Ci, Ba-133 193 μ Ci,
Co-57 3.1 mCi. All within $\pm 5\%$*

NC *Linearity tests performed using calicheck, done quarterly. Do not
always cover full range of activities assayed.
e.g. 3/8/88 max activity 115 mCi \rightarrow on 5/18/88 assayed 150 mCi γ I-131
21-ke max activity 635 μ Ci \rightarrow routinely assayed millicurie quantities*

10. PERSONNEL RADIATION PROTECTION - INTERNAL

- a. Potential for exposure of individuals to airborne radioactive material exists.
() Yes () No

Remarks.

All iodinations are performed in a dedicated fume hood in the Radiation Safety Dept.

- b. Monitoring for airborne radioactivity conducted. () Yes () No
[20.201(b) to show compliance with all sections of 20.103 and 35.90]
Remarks.

- (1) Records of monitoring maintained. () Yes () No
[20.401(b) or L/C]
Remarks.

Randomly selected 12-15 researchers who performed iodinations @ millicurie quantities of I-125 → all had their thyroids monitored within 30 days. Records indicated no significant uptake. RSO sent reminders to researchers @ 215 days post iodination, a written reminder to have thyroid monitored.

- c. Bioassay program implemented as described in correspondence with NRC.
() Yes () No [35.315(a)(8)]

Physician who administered 150 mCi of I-131 in liquid form for thyroid carcinoma ~~failed~~ on Nov 12, 1988 failed to have his thyroid monitored.

- d. Control of airborne radioactivity in accordance with 35.205.
() Yes () No

11. RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENT AND WASTE DISPOSAL

- a. Radioactivity in effluents to unrestricted areas. (✓) Yes () No

- b. Release in accordance with regulatory limits. (✓) Yes () No
[20.106(a)]
Remarks.

Licensee maintains records of stack monitoring performed @ iodination hood. Records indicated no release in excess of regulatory limit (MPC for I-125)

- c. State solid waste disposal method. Decay in storage
Brokered Harvard
Commercial Contractor

- d. State liquid waste disposal method. Sink disposal

Records of waste manifests were on file and in order

b. continued.

Liquid releases are made from sinks in laboratory. Records are maintained above sinks and forwarded to the Rad Safety Office. Effluent releases are compiled by RSO. Records indicated no releases in excess of Regulatory limits. Sinks were monitored by inspectors who found no evidence that disposals were being made without an evaluation or record.

11. (cont'd)

- e. Disposal of solid and liquid waste in accordance with regulatory requirements (decay in storage). () Yes () No [35.92(a)]
Remarks.

Materials held for decay in storage were surveyed prior to release to the normal trash stream. Records were maintained.

- (1) Records of disposal. (☒ Yes () No [35.92(b)]
Remarks.

- f. Survey of waste prior to disposal. (☒ Yes () No
[20.201(b) to show compliance with 20.301 - 35.92(a)(2)]
Remarks.

*PIs are responsible for bringing waste to a central repository
H.P. technician surveys waste bags to ensure H-3 & C-14 labeled
contain only those isotopes & not P-32 or gamma emitter
Unlabeled waste bags are not accepted by H.P. technician*

- (1) Records of survey maintained. (☒ Yes () No [20.401(b)]
Remarks.

12. NOTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS

- a. Licensee in compliance with 10 CFR 19.13 (reports to individuals).
() Yes () No [19.13]
Remarks.

- b. Licensee in compliance with 10 CFR 20.405 (overexposures). *NA*
() Yes () No [20.405(a)]
Remarks.

- c. Licensee in compliance with 10 CFR 20.403 (incidents). *NA*
() Yes () No [20.403]
Remarks.

The RSO reported the following:

*rice
ast
nspection* { *No misadministrations
No stolen or lost material
No significant contamination incidents or reasons to believe
and individual had been exposed to radiation in excess
of Regulatory Limits.*

12. (cont'd)

- d. Licensee in compliance with 10 CFR 20.402 (theft or loss). *NA*
() Yes () No [20.402(a) or (b)]
Remarks.

- e. Licensee in compliance with reporting therapeutic misadministrations and taking corrective action. () Yes () No [35.33(a)(b)(d)] *NA*
Remarks.

- f. License in compliance with reporting diagnostic misadministrations and taking corrective action as needed under conditions set forth in 10 CFR 35.33(c). *NA*
() Yes () No
Remarks.

13. POSTING OF NOTICES

Notices to workers posted. (*X*) Yes () No [19.11(a), (b), or (c)]
Remarks.

*Inspectors surveyed around Gammacell 40 → max 0.1 mR/hr
Blood Bank Irradiator → max 0.2 mR/hr
Both irradiators are secured from unauthorized use and
the operating key is maintained by a responsible individual
RSD stated that only the manufacturer performs
maintenance on irradiators.*

14. CONFIRMATORY MEASUREMENTS

- a. Measurements made by inspector. () Yes () No
b. Survey instrument and probe _____
NRC Serial No. _____
c. Describe type and results of measurements and compare with licensee's measurements.

15. INDEPENDENT MEASUREMENTS

- a. Measurements made by inspector. () Yes () No
b. Survey instrument _____
NRC Serial No. _____
c. Describe type and results of measurements.

16. POSTING AND LABELING

Posting and labeling in accordance with 10 CFR 20.203.

(☒) Yes () No [20.203]

Remarks.

17. LICENSE CONDITIONS

- a. All license conditions reviewed during inspection. () Yes () No
the inspectors reviewed all license conditions that affect health & safety
- b. Activities were conducted in accordance with license conditions, except as noted elsewhere in this report. () Yes () No

Remarks:

Animal ~~research~~ *research* using isotopes, but ~~researchers~~ *researchers* are responsible for caring for animals and cleaning cages. Animal carcasses are disposed of through Harvard.

18. BULLETINS AND INFORMATION NOTICES *NI*

- a. Bulletins and Information Notices issued during current year.
List:
- b. Bulletins and Information Notices received by licensee. () Yes () No
Remarks.
- c. Licensee took appropriate action in response to Bulletins and Information Notices. () Yes () No
Remarks.

19. TRANSPORTATION (10 CFR 71.5a and 49 CFR 171-178)

- | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>Violation?</u> |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| a. License makes shipments of RAM?
If "Yes", complete the following items. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | () |
| b. Such shipments consisted of: | | |
| (<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) radwaste | | |
| () sources/products | | |
| () other _____ | | |

19. (cont'd)

- c. For radwaste, shipments are:
() by licensee, using common carrier
(☒) through Radwaste Broker
name of Broker Harvard, Radiac, etc.
- d. Licensee is aware of 10 CFR 61:
Radwaste requirements for generators? () () NI
Licensee has classified and characterized
its radwaste? (20.311(d)) () ()
- e. For shipments:
Licensee uses authorized packages? () ()
[(173.415-15)]
Package type used. _____
For DOT-7A, licensee has performance test
records on file? [173.415(a)] () ()
For special form sources, licensee has
performance tests records on file for each
source design? [(173.47(a))] () ()
Packages are properly labeled? [172.403] () ()
[173.441] () ()
[172.200] () ()
Packages are properly marked? [172.200] () ()
Proper shipping papers are prepared for
each shipment? [172.203(d)] () ()
Remarks.
- f. Does licensee make return shipments of () ()
radiopharmacy doses?
(If Yes, does licensee assume responsibility
for all shipper requirements?) (If No, what
arrangements/understanding have been made
between licensee and radiopharmacy as to
performance of shipper responsibilities?)
(Describe)
Remarks.

20. ITEMS OF NONCOMPLIANCE21. CONTINUATION OF REPORT ITEMS - USE BACK OF PAGE IF NECESSARY

APPENDIX A - DOCUMENTATION OF NONCOMPLIANCE

LICENSEE: The Children's Hospital - BostonLicense No. 20-09568-17
20-09568-18

Reference

Basis for noncompliance

Report item 810 CFR 20.205(d)

Lic Cond _____

Type n/c IV

Failure to follow established procedures for safely opening incoming packages containing licensed material. Specifically, external radiation measurements were not made 3 feet from the package and on the surface of the package.

Report item 9

10 CFR _____

Lic Cond 23Type n/c IV

Failure to test the dose calibrator over the entire range of activities that it is used to assay.

Report item 9

10 CFR _____

Lic Cond 23Type n/c IV

Failure to perform a linearity test on a replacement dose calibrator prior to placing into service.

Report item 1010 CFR 20.201

Lic Cond _____

Type n/c IV

Failure evaluate (perform a bioassay) the uptake of a physician who administered 150 mCi of I-131 in liquid form to a patient.

Report item _____

10 CFR _____

Lic Cond _____

Type n/c _____

Report item _____

10 CFR _____

Lic Cond _____

Type n/c _____

APPENDIX B - LICENSEE ACTIONS ON PREVIOUS INSPECTION FINDINGS

Licensee: The Children's Hospital - BostonLicense No.: 20-09568-17
20-09568-18

Identification and summary of action taken

Status

Report No.: 87-01Type n/c: IVDescribe: ^{Failure of researchers to have}
~~their thyroids monitored 30 days~~
^{after performing}
~~iodinations~~

Action taken:

RSD sends researchers a reminder two weeks after performing an iodination to have their thyroid monitored. Inspectors reviewed a representative sample of researchers who had ~~been~~ performed iodinations and all of them had had their thyroids counted within

OPEN

CLOSED

Report No.: 87-01Type n/c: IVDescribe: ^{Failure to count 30 day}
~~Survey meters: annually~~

Action taken:

The Survey meters in nuclear medicine and other survey meters in the research facilities observed by the inspectors all had current calibration stickers and had been calibrated or checked/tested in accordance with license requirements

OPEN

CLOSED

Report No.: 87-01Type n/c: IVDescribe: ^{Failure to wear a lab coat}
~~while handling radioactive material~~

Action taken:

All individuals observed handling radioactive material were observed to be wearing a lab coat

OPEN

CLOSED

Report No.: 87-01Type n/c: IVDescribe: ^{Radiation Control Unit authorized}
~~purchases in excess of the~~

Action taken:

The inspectors reviewed purchased orders against the principal investigator permit and found that orders were within the authorized possession limit and for the isotopes authorized. In one case, an order was placed for 3mCi where 2mCi of the same isotope was authorized, but the inspectors did not feel this was a problem with the program and

OPEN

CLOSED

Report No.: _____

Type n/c: _____

Describe: _____

Action taken:

OPEN

CLOSED

Report No.: _____

Type n/c: _____

Describe: _____

Action taken:

OPEN

CLOSED

INSPECTION REPORT NUMBER 87-0

APPENDIX C - SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Licensee: Children's Hospital - Boston

License No.: 20-09568-17

- ☐ Uncorrected/repeated noncompliance
☐ Unusual occurrence, conditions, etc.

- ☐ Unresolved items
☒ Inspector's comments

- ☐ Basis for change of Category or Priority

RSO is employed by the Harvard Consulting Group and he splits his time between Children's Hospital & Dana Farber Cancer Institute. He is supported by one health physics technician who performs laboratory surveys and inspections at both Children's Hospital & Dana Farber. At the exit, Children's Hospital expansion (~100 laboratories) was discussed and participants stated that the licensee plans to hire a full time RSO. The expanded scope of work will need the support of a full time RSO and the increased workload on the Radiation Safety Staff should be monitored by the NRC Staff and the Staff ability to cover this expanding program should be monitored by NRC Staff.

throughout the site

Inspectors observed ~12 compressed gas cylinders that were not anchored to the wall or were anchored below the center of gravity and \therefore could fall and injure someone. This finding was discussed at the exit interview and the licensee's management agreed to follow-up.

AUG 08 1989

Docket Nos. 030-08021
030-11864

License Nos. 20-09568-17 ✓
20-09568-18

The Children's Hospital - Boston
ATTN: Susan Pantaleoni
Director for Research
Administration
300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Gentlemen:

Subject: Routine Inspection No. 89-001

On June 19-20, 1989, J. Miller and A. Kirkwood of this office conducted a routine safety inspection at the above address of activities authorized by the above listed NRC licenses. The inspection was an examination of your licensed activities as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Commission's regulations and the license conditions. The inspection consisted of observations by the inspector, interviews with personnel, and a selective examination of representative records. The findings of the inspection were discussed with you and others of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that your activities were not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements. A Notice of Violation is enclosed as Appendix A and categorizes each violation by severity level in accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (Enforcement Policy). You are required to respond to this letter and in preparing your response, you should follow the instructions in Appendix A.

In accordance with Section 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and your reply will be placed in the Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ML DL CHILDRENS HOSP - 01
07/19/89

RETURN ORIGI
REGION I

8908150382 890808
REG1 LIC30
20-09568-17 PDC

D/161

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:
Josephine M. Piccone, Ph.D.

for

Mohamed M. Shanbaky, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Section A
Division of Radiation Safety
and Safeguards

Enclosure:
Appendix A, Notice of Violation

cc:
Public Document Room (PDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
R. Amoling, Radiation Safety Officer
S. Treves, M.D., Chairman of Radiation Safety Committee

bcc:
Region I Docket Room (w/concurrences)
Management Assistant, DRMA

RI:DRSS *apk*
Kirkwood/pmb

07/2V89

RI:DRSS
Miller

gjm 07/21/89

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RI:DRSS

for Shanbaky
J.M.P.

07/5/89

ML DL CHILDRENS HOSP - 0002.0.0
07/19/89

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

The Children's Hospital - Boston
Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Docket No. 030-08021
License No. 20-09568-17

As a result of the inspection conducted on June 19-20, 1989, and in accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (Enforcement Policy) (1988), the following violations were identified:

- A. 10 CFR 20.201(b) requires that each licensee make such surveys as may be necessary to comply with all sections of Part 20. As defined in 10 CFR 20.201(a), "survey" means an evaluation of the radiation hazards incident to the production, use, release, disposal, or presence of radioactive materials or other sources of radiation under a specific set of conditions.

Contrary to the above, surveys were not made to determine that an individual was not exposed to airborne concentrations exceeding the limits specified in 10 CFR 20.103. Specifically, on May 18, 1988, a physician administered 150 millicuries of iodine-131 in liquid form to a therapy patient and failed to have a thyroid bioassay.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement IV)

- B. 10 CFR 20.205(d) requires that each licensee establish and maintain procedures for safely opening packages in which licensed material is received and ensure that such procedures are followed.

Contrary to the above, as of June 19, 1989, procedures for safely opening packages in which licensed material is received were not followed. Specifically, packages containing licensed material that were received in Nuclear Medicine were not surveyed three feet from the package and at the surface of package prior to opening in accordance with licensee's established safe opening procedure.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement IV)

- C. Condition 23 of License No. 20-09568-17 requires that licensed material be possessed and use in accordance with statements, representations and procedures contained in an application dated January 13, 1984.

Item No. 10 of this application requires that dose calibrators be calibrated in accordance with procedures contained in Appendix D, Section 2, of Regulatory Guide 10.8, Revision 1.

1. Item E of Appendix D, Section 2, requires that the linearity of a dose calibrator be ascertained quarterly over the entire range of activities employed.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ML DL CHILDRENS HOSP - 0003.0.0
07/19/89

8908150385 890808
REG1 LIC30
20-09568-17 FDC

RETURN ORIGINAL TO
REGION I

1E:07

Contrary to the above, on March 29, 1988 and December 15, 1988, the linearity of the dose calibrator was not ascertained over the entire range of activities employed. Specifically, on March 29, 1988 the linearity of the dose calibrator was tested with a maximum activity of 122 millicuries and on May 18, 1988 150 millicuries of iodine-131 were assayed in the dose calibrator. In addition, on December 15, 1988, the linearity of dose calibrator was tested with a maximum activity of 635 microcuries and patient doses in the millicurie range were routinely assayed in the dose calibrator following the test.

2. Item B of Appendix D, Section 2, requires that the dose calibrator be tested for linearity and accuracy at the time of installation.

Contrary to the above, in May 1988, a replacement dose calibrator was not tested for linearity at the time of installation and the calibrator was used to assay patient doses until June 8, 1988.

These are Severity Level IV violations. (Supplement VI)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, The Children's Hospital - Boston is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of the letter which transmitted this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending this response time.

SEP 27 1989

Docket Nos. 030-08021
030-11864

License Nos. 20-09568-17 ✓
20-09568-18

The Children's Hospital - Boston
ATTN: Susan Pantaleoni
Office of Research Administration
300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Gentlemen:

Subject: Inspection No. 030-08021/89-01

This refers to your letter dated August 31, 1989, in response to our letter dated August 8, 1989.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:
Mohamed M. Shanbaky

Mohamed M. Shanbaky, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Section A
Division of Radiation Safety
and Safeguards

cc:
Public Document Room (PDR)
Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
Commonwealth of Massachusetts

8910100247-2A
REG-1 LIC-30 890927
20-09568-17
FDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

RL CHILD HOSP - 0001.0.0
09/13/89

RETURN ORIGINAL TO
REGION 1

D/162

IL CHILD HOSP - 0002.0.0
9/13/89



The Children's Hospital • Boston

300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 • Telephone (617) 735-7048

Office of Research Administration

August 31, 1989

Mr. Mohamed M. Shanbaky, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Section A
Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region 1
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

REF: Docket Nos. 030-08021
030-11864
License Nos. 20-09568-17
20-09568-18

Dear Mr. Shanbaky:

I am responding to your letter of August 8, 1989 regarding Routine Inspection No. 89-001 conducted on June 19 and 20, 1989. As a result of that inspection, four severity level IV violations were identified which require a response as to the corrective action taken regarding the violations.

The first violation concerned a physician who administered 150 millicuries of I-131 in liquid form to a therapy patient and failed to have a thyroid bioassay. Since the administration took place on May 18, 1988, and the bioassay error was discovered June 19, 1989, and given the physical and effective half-life of I-131 being 8 days and 2-3 days respectively, and that the physician now practices in Florida, we felt it impractical for him to report for a thyroid bioassay at this point in time. Also, R. Amoling, Radiation Safety Officer, was with the physician when the therapy dose was administered and had a thyroid bioassay, with no measureable I-131 burden. We therefore conclude that the physician involved had no measureable thyroid burden and the concentration of I-131 in the air did not exceed the limits specified in 10 CFR Part 20. In order to prevent further violations of this nature, a log will be kept of all persons in the room at the time an I-131 therapy is being administered. Persons involved will be required to report for a scan the next day to determine thyroid burden as detailed in section 10, Appendix P, Regulatory Guide 10.8, Revision 2. We are now in

3940100261 890927
REG1 LIC30
20-09568-17 PDC

SEP 01 1989

compliance.


The second violation concerned packages containing licensed materials received in Nuclear Medicine which were not surveyed three feet from the package and at the surface of the package prior to opening in accordance with established safe opening procedures. In order to prevent further violations, the Radiation Safety Officer conducted a review of Nuclear Medicine safety with the staff. All Nuclear Medicine staff have been re-trained in the area of safe opening procedures of packages containing licensed material. We are now in compliance.

The third violation concerned the linearity of the dose calibrator which was not ascertained over the entire range of activities employed. We accept the finding that on March 29, 1988 the dose calibrator linearity was tested with a maximum activity of 122 millicuries and on May 18, 1988 150 millicuries of I-131 were assayed in the dose calibrator. In order to prevent further violations of this type, the dose calibrator will always be checked for linearity with activities over the entire range of activities employed. In addition, the inspectors reported that on December 15, 1988, the linearity of the dose calibrator was tested with 635 microcuries and patient doses in the millicurie range were assayed in the dose calibrator following the test. Subsequent review of our records show that on December 15, 1988 the dose calibrator was tested for linearity with 635 millicuries, thus we had in fact complied with the license condition. We are now in compliance.

The fourth violation concerned a replacement dose calibrator which was not tested for linearity at the time of installation in May 1988, and the calibrator was used until June 8, 1988. In order to prevent further violations of this nature, any replacement dose calibrator received by Nuclear Medicine will be checked for linearity before it is used to assay patient doses. Also, the Nuclear Medicine staff has been re-trained in the replacement dose calibrator linearity testing requirement. We are now in compliance.

In light of the corrective actions already taken by The Children's Hospital regarding violations identified during the inspection of June 19 and 20, 1989, I can assure you that we are in full compliance.

Sincerely,


Susan Z. Pantaleoni
Director for Research Administration

cc: S.T. Treves, M.D., Chairman, Radiation Safety Committee
Ronald Amoling, Radiation Safety Officer

9/15/90

PAGE 1

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION 1
OPEN ITEMS TRACKING SYSTEM

DOCKET NUMBER: 30-09021

REPORT NUMBER	STATUS	DATE OPEN	DATE CLOSED	REVIEWER NAME	CLOSING OPTION	REFER
1 87-001	CLOSED	08/06/87	06/20/89	DARDEN, TERESA	VIOL	89-001
ITEM: FAILURE TO WEAR LAB COATS AS REQUIRED						
2 87-001	CLOSED	08/06/87	06/20/89	DARDEN, TERESA	VIOL	89-001
ITEM: FAILURE TO CALIBRATE SURVEY METER AS REQUIRED.						
3 87-001	CLOSED	08/06/87	06/20/89	DARDEN, TERESA	VIOL	89-001
ITEM: FAILURE TO PERFORM BIOASSAYS WITHIN THIRTY DAYS OF HANDLING IODINE AS REQUIRED.						
4 87-001	CLOSED	08/06/87	06/20/89	DARDEN, TERESA	VIOL	89-001
ITEM: AUTHORIZED USER EXCEEDED POSSESSION LIMITS FOR PERMIT.						
5 89-001	CLOSED	06/20/89	09/17/90	MILLER&KIRKWOOD	VIOL	90-001
ITEM: 20.201 FOR 20.103 PHYSICIAN ADMIN 150 MCI OF I131 IN LIQUID FORM. NO BIOASSAY						
6 89-001	CLOSED	06/20/89	09/17/90	MILLER&KIRKWOOD	VIOL	90-001
ITEM: 20.205 FAILURE TO FOLLOW SAFE OPENING PROCEDURES SURVEYS AT 3 FT & SURFACE NOT PERFORMED						
7 89-001	CLOSED	06/20/89	09/17/90	MILLER&KIRKWOOD	VIOL	90-001
ITEM: LINEARITY TEST NOT PERFORMED OVER FULL RANGE OF ACTIVITIES						
8 89-001	CLOSED	06/20/89	09/17/90	MILLER&KIRKWOOD	VIOL	90-001
ITEM: LINEARITY TEST NOT PERFORMED ON "LOANER" DOSE CALIBRATOR AT TIME OF INSTALLATION						
9 89-168	CLOSED	11/12/89	09/17/90	ULLRICH	LER	90-001
ITEM: THERAPEUTIC MISADMINISTRATION						
10 90-001	OPEN	09/17/90	/ /	ULLRICH	VIOL	
ITEM: RECORDS OF WEEKLY SURVEYS IN HOT LAB NOT AVAILABLE 11/89; 3/15, 4/22, 4/27, 6/22, 6/29, AND 7/15/90.						

D/163