

FEB 21 1984 RES Files

Subject File No.

Mr. Dan Maus
Building 9204-1
Mail Stop 16
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box Y
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Dear Mr. Naus:

On October 4, 1983, the first draft of the ORNL report, "Evaluation of Containment Leak Rate Testing Criteria," was sent to me for review. This was reasonably close to the original target date for draft submittal of September 1983. The forwarding letter noted that the draft would be sent to the publications office by October 10.

I understand from the monthly progress reports and from a followup call to you, that this draft is still not ready for issue. Although originally there was no urgency regarding the report's publication date, there is now, due to this lengthy delay. At this point, delivery of the final report cannot be delayed beyond mid-March at the latest. I need it to interface with our internal review of the Appendix J submittal to the ACRS.

The protracted publication period reflects negatively on ORNL's ability to deliver a finished product in a timely manner once the technical phase is complete. I hope that this can be corrected and final reports delivered efficiently.

DISTRIBUTION:

RES Alpha
RES Reading
RES Chron
GARndt
JBurns
JRichardson
LShao
GARlotto

Sincerely,

Original Signed by,
E. G. Arndt

Gunter Arndt
Mechanical/Structural Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering Technology
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

cc: A. P. Malinauskas

8403060560 840221
RES SUBJ R2511
CF

8403060560
ZA

FOIA -85-143

44

FILE 329

[illegible]



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 21 1984

Mr. Dan Naus
Building 9204-1
Mail Stop 16
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box Y
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Dear *Dan* Mr. Naus:

On October 4, 1983, the first draft of the ORNL report, "Evaluation of Containment Leak Rate Testing Criteria," was sent to me for review. This was reasonably close to the original target date for draft submittal of September 1983. The forwarding letter noted that the draft would be sent to the publications office by October 10.

I understand from the monthly progress reports and from a followup call to you, that this draft is still not ready for issue. Although originally there was no urgency regarding the report's publication date, there is now, due to this lengthy delay. At this point, delivery of the final report cannot be delayed beyond mid-March at the latest. I need it to interface with our internal review of the Appendix J submittal to the ACRS.

The protracted publication period reflects negatively on ORNL's ability to deliver a finished product in a timely manner once the technical phase is complete. I hope that this can be corrected and final reports delivered efficiently.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Gunter".

Gunter Arndt
Mechanical/Structural Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering Technology
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

cc: A. P. Malinauskas

FOIA-85-143

43

35