

June 12, 1985

Mr. J. F. Klapproth
Principal Licensing Engineer
Nuclear Technologies and Fuel Division
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, California 95125

DISTRIBUTION:

~~Central File~~ RDiggs
SSPB Reading WBrooks, CPB
DCS
PDR
PNoonan
DMoran
CThomas

Dear Mr. Klapproth:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT NEDE-22290,
SUPPLEMENT 1, "SAFETY EVALUATION OF THE GENERAL ELECTRIC HYBRID I
CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLY FOR THE BWR4/5 C LATTICE"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by General Electric Company (GE) by letter dated February 20, 1985. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that GE publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, GE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Original signed by
Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

DL/SSPB
PNoonan:ac
06/11/85

DL/SSPB
CThomas
06/11/85

DL/SSPB
HBarkow
06/12/85

DL/SSPB
CThomas
06/12/85

RD-8-2
GE



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 12, 1985

Mr. J. F. Klapproth
Principal Licensing Engineer
Nuclear Technologies and Fuel Division
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, California 95125

Dear Mr. Klapproth:

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE FOR REFERENCING OF LICENSING TOPICAL REPORT NEDE-22290,
SUPPLEMENT 1, "SAFETY EVALUATION OF THE GENERAL ELECTRIC HYBRID I
CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLY FOR THE BWR4/5 C LATTICE"

We have completed our review of the subject topical report submitted by General Electric Company (GE) by letter dated February 20, 1985. We find the report to be acceptable for referencing in license applications to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in the report and the associated NRC evaluation, which is enclosed. The evaluation defines the basis for acceptance of the report.

We do not intend to repeat our review of the matters described in the report and found acceptable when the report appears as a reference in license applications, except to assure that the material presented is applicable to the specific plant involved. Our acceptance applies only to the matters described in the report.

In accordance with procedures established in NUREG-0390, it is requested that GE publish accepted versions of this report, proprietary and non-proprietary, within three months of receipt of this letter. The accepted versions shall incorporate this letter and the enclosed evaluation between the title page and the abstract. The accepted versions shall include an -A (designating accepted) following the report identification symbol.

Should our criteria or regulations change such that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, GE and/or the applicants referencing the topical report will be expected to revise and resubmit their respective documentation, or submit justification for the continued effective applicability of the topical report without revision of their respective documentation.

Sincerely,

Cecil O. Thomas

Cecil O. Thomas, Chief
Standardization and Special
Projects Branch
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated