

Dist.

Docket File

LB#1 Rdg
DEisenhut
BJYoungblood
KKiper
MRushbrook
RLTedesco
RVollmer
TMurley
RMattson
RHartfield, MPA
WJohnston
OELD
OIE (3)

bcc:
TERA
NRC/PDR
L/PDR
NSIC
TIC
ACRS (16)

Docket Nos.: STN 50-546
and STN 50-547

Mr. S. W. Shields
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Division
Public Service Company of Indiana
Post Office Box 190
New Washington, Indiana 47162

Dear Mr. Shields:

Subject: Staff Evaluation of Item I.C.1 for Westinghouse Facilities

We have completed our interim review of the Westinghouse Owners Group submittal for Action Plan item I.C.1, Guidance for the Evaluation and Development of Procedures for Transients and Accidents. A copy of Mr. Eisenhut's May 28, 1981 letter to Mr. Jurgensen, Chairman, Westinghouse Owners Group is enclosed for your information. As indicated in the enclosure, further work will be necessary in order to produce a document which satisfies the staff positions in a timely matter.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
B. J. Youngblood

B. J. Youngblood, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: See next page

* See previous yellow

DL:LB#1 DL:LB#1

*KKiper/ys BJYoungblood

6/ /81 6/ /81

8106220279



Mr. S. W. Shields
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Division
P. O. Box 190
New Washington, Indiana 47162

CCS:
Charles W. Campbell, Esq.
Vice President and General Counsel
Public Service of Indiana
1000 E. Main Street
Plainfield, Indiana 46168

Mr. William Kortier
Atomic Power Distribution
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Mr. P. L. Wattleit
Sargent & Lundy Engineers
55 East Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Harry H. Voigt, Esq.
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

George A. Leininger, Jr., Esq.
City Attorney, City of Madison
P. O. Box 326
Madison, Indiana 47250

Mr. Robert Gray
Rural Route #1
Hanover, Indiana 47243

J. Bruce Miller, Esq.
Jefferson County Attorney
1129 Kentucky Home Life Building
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Ted R. Todd, Esq.
Attorney for the Board of
Commissioners of the County
of Jefferson, Indiana
427 East Main Street
Madison, Indiana 47250

Thomas M. Dattilo, Esq.
Metford & Dattilo
311 East Main Street
Madison, Indiana 47250

Joseph B. Helm, Esq.
Brown, Todd & Heyburn
Sixteenth Floor
Citizens Plaza
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Michael J. Walro, Esq.
Attorney for the Planning Board and
the Board of Zoning Appeals of
Jefferson County
427 East Main Street
Madison, Indiana 47250

Mr. Robert C. Slover
Save the Valley, Inc.
P. O. Box 813
Madison, Indiana 47250

Walker C. Cunningham, Jr., Esq.
1129 Kentucky Home Life Building
Office of the Jefferson County Attorney
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

David K. Martin, Esq.
Assistant Attorney Generals
Room 34, State Capitol
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Donald J. Ridings, Esq.
Attorney for Jefferson County
610 Old Louisville Trust Building
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Bill V. Seiller, Esq.
Ewen, Mackenzie and Peden
2100 Commonwealth Building
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Ms. Marie Horine, President
Save Marble Hill
Rural Route #2
Lexington, Indiana 47138

Mrs. David G. Frey
Solar Energy Committee, SAS
2625 S. Smith Road
Bloomington, Indiana 47401

Mr. Ralph C. Pickard
Indiana State Board of Health
1330 West Michigan Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46205

Mr. Donald L. Cox
Assistant Director of Law
City of Louisville
200 City Hall
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

John A. Eyed, President
Sassafras Audobon Society
10 O'Clock Ridge Road
Nashville, Indiana 47488

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office
3738 Marble Hill Road
Nabb, Indiana 47147

Mr. E. P. Martin
General Manager
Wabash Valley Power Association
P. O. Box 24700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46224



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAY 28 1981

Robert W. Jurgensen, Chairman
Westinghouse Owners Group
American Electric Power Service
Corporation
2 Broadway
New York, New York 10004

Dear Mr. Jurgensen:

In your letter dated March 18, 1981 (06-54), you summarized a meeting held on February 20, 1981 between representatives of the NRC staff, Westinghouse Owners, and Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG) activities in response to NUREG-0737, Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements, Item I.C.1, Guidance for the Evaluation and Development of Procedures for Transients and Accidents. Following the meeting summary, you requested that the staff acknowledge the acceptability of the program described in the meeting.

As indicated in a meeting with Tom Anderson, of Westinghouse, on April 8, 1981, we have concerns about the acceptability of the WOG program. The last submittal of generic WOG guidelines, including the Inadequate Core Cooling Guidelines, required the operator to diagnose a specific event using the diagnostic procedure included in the guidelines. Subsequent failures were, essentially, addressed by entry into one of the inadequate core cooling guidelines. As indicated in the February meeting and discussed in your letter, the guidelines do not provide smooth transitions from the event procedures to direct the operator if subsequent multiple or consequential failures occur. This leaves the operator with no guidance until entry conditions for the Inadequate Core Cooling Guidelines are reached. Furthermore, the guidelines do not address subsequent reevaluation of plant conditions to ensure that the expected plant response is occurring.

Our second concern is your proposed schedule for completing the program recognizing that development of emergency operating procedures is a dynamic process with no absolute end point. However, we are concerned that continual, major rewriting of emergency operating procedures is a burden on plant operating staffs and confusing to the operators who must relearn the procedures. In the February meeting, WOG representatives indicated that they expect to have the initial development phase of the guidelines completed in July 1981, and would not expect major changes to the guidelines to result from the phases to be completed in January 1982 and July 1982. You also state in your letter that the initial phase will address over 98 percent of the total risk. However, we were also told in the meeting that the guidelines to be submitted in July would probably not differ greatly from those already submitted. Considering our concerns with the existing guidelines, as addressed above, we do not see how the July submittal can be responsive to NUREG-0737, Item I.C.1 without significant change. We believe that additional work is necessary.

Dupe of 8106180374.


MAY 28 1981

The staff has not completed its review of WCAP 9691 or the probability estimates presented in the February meeting, and the Owners Group has not addressed the broad range of initiating events, including natural phenomena such as earthquakes, in the analysis presented to date. Therefore, we cannot assess the overall adequacy of the proposed program. Unless our concerns, as stated herein, are satisfied, the ability of licensees to meet the schedule for revising their procedures may be compromised.

As indicated in the April 8, 1981 meeting, we have serious doubts that the full range of initiating events and subsequent failures can be addressed within the event specific framework adopted by the Westinghouse Owners Group. If your additional work to date provides more insight into resolution of these concerns, we would be available to meet with you at your convenience.

By copy of this letter, each licensee and applicant of a Westinghouse-type plant, is being advised of our evaluation of your submittal.

Sincerely,


Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director
Division of Licensing

cc: E. Murphy
W Licensees
W Applicants