

8-289

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

May 26, 1981

Mr. Brian K. Grimes
Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555



Dear Brian:

This letter confirms comments given to Steve Chestnut on May 26 in response to your letter of request, dated May 18, 1981. These comments are a consolidation from FEMA Region III, the Office of Public Affairs and this Office.

In general, we find the material for response activities around Three-Mile Island acceptable under the NRC/FEMA criteria. The brochures are a little weak on public education, but will adequately serve the purpose of response information. We find the material acceptable only if the State brochure is part of the county brochure for each affected county.

If the information package is to be revised, we suggest the following:

- 1) More educational material on radiation and the reasons for response actions would be useful.
- 2) The definitions of radiation terminology are a bit vague and could be improved.
- 3) The material should deal with transients, even if briefly.
- 4) There is need for identifying authoritative sources of public information during an incident.
- 5) Mention should be made of periodic tests of the alert and notification system to avoid confusion.
- 6) The print is too small for people with poor vision in a real accident situation.

Sincerely yours,

John E. Dickey
Director
Radiological Emergency Preparedness
Division

Enclosures

A045/
S/1

F

8106040252