

Mr. and Ms. Sims

- 2 -

January 5, 1993

make any public comment on the no significant hazards determination without requesting a hearing and filing a petition for leave to intervene.

You expressed some additional concerns in your letters. In regard to your concern about Trojan replacement power costs, you should be aware that the NRC has no authority or jurisdiction in this area. I would refer you to the State of Oregon's Public Utility Commission, who has the requisite authority and jurisdiction, if you would like to see your issue addressed. Moreover, the State of Oregon's Energy Facility Siting Council has asked the NRC to participate in an upcoming State hearing, which is tentatively scheduled in January 1993. NRC staff members will attend this public meeting. However, since this is a State, rather than a Federal hearing, the Council determines the method and degree of public participation.

The staff welcomes informed participation in the licensing process.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Theodore R. Quay, Director

Project Directorate V

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File 50-344 (w/incoming)

NRC & Local PDRs (w/incoming)

PDV Action File

J. Roe

M. Virgilio

PDV Reading File

T. Quay

OCA

L. Kokajko

D. Foster

OGC (15B18)

OPA (2G5)

K. Perkins, RV

T. Murley/F. Miraglia

J. Partlow

NRR Mailroom (YT0920294)

T. Gibbons

B. Clayton

C. Hawes

OFC	PDV/LA	PDV/PM	PDV/D
NAME	DFoster <i>DFoster</i>	LKokajko	TQuay <i>TQuay</i>
DATE	1/5/92	1/5/92	1/5/92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: GT8342.SIM

9301070024 930105
PDR ADDCK 05000344
P PDR



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

January 5, 1993

Mr. Dan Sims
Ms. Lynn Sims
3959 N.E. 42nd Street
Portland, Oregon 97213

Dear Mr. and Ms. Sims:

I am responding to your letters of November 30, December 9, and December 10, 1992, to the Commissioners of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). In your letter you requested "a public hearing" prior to the restart of Trojan and expressed concerns about the status of the steam generators at the Trojan Nuclear Plant.

As you may know, the staff held a meeting at the Trojan site in Rainier, Oregon, on December 1, 1992, to discuss the cause and repair of the steam generator primary-to-secondary tube leak that occurred on November 9, 1992. This meeting was held to provide an opportunity for Portland General Electric (PGE) to inform the NRC staff on the issues raised in the Confirmatory Action Letter, dated November 13, 1992. The meeting was attended by NRC headquarters personnel, NRC Region V personnel, PGE, and members of the media and general public. The meeting also included a discussion of the proposed emergency technical specification change that was prepared by the licensee.

The licensee recently withdrew its request for the emergency technical specification change and is conducting augmented steam generator tube inspections. It is our understanding that the licensee is evaluating the steam generator tube inspection data and they are considering a range of possible actions that depend on the result of the data evaluation. The NRC staff is following the inspection process and any subsequent request for technical specification amendment closely.

I would advise you to consult Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), for an understanding of your rights and responsibilities regarding a hearing request; specifically refer to 10 CFR 50.91, and 10 CFR 2.714. In general, you may file a petition for leave to intervene and request a hearing during the notice period on any licensing action proposed by the licensee, or you

060002

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

January 5, 1993

make any public comment on the no significant hazards determination without requesting a hearing and filing a petition for leave to intervene.

You expressed some additional concerns in your letters. In regard to your concern about Trojan replacement power costs, you should be aware that the NRC has no authority or jurisdiction in this area. I would refer you to the State of Oregon's Public Utility Commission, who has the requisite authority and jurisdiction, if you would like to see your issue addressed. Moreover, the State of Oregon's Energy Facility Siting Council has asked the NRC to participate in an upcoming State hearing, which is tentatively scheduled in January 1993. NRC staff members will attend this public meeting. However, since this is a State, rather than a Federal hearing, the Council determines the method and degree of public participation.

The staff welcomes informed participation in the licensing process.

Sincerely,

Theodore R. Quay

Theodore R. Quay, Director
Project Directorate V
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM:
LUNN SIMMS
PORTLAND, OR.

ORIGINAL DUE DT: 01/04/93

TICKET NO: 0920294
DOC DT: 12/09/92
NRR RCVD DATE: 12/21/92

TO:

MURLEY

FOR SIGNATURE OF: ** YEL **

~~MURLEY~~ *Project Director **

DESC:

La Borne W.

ROUTING:

TROJAN NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:

DFW

ROE

MURLEY
MIRAGLIA
PARTLOW
MAIL ROOM 12 6 18

Please review the due date immediately:

If the due date does not allow adequate time to respond to this ticket, you may request a revised due date. The request must have prior approval from the appropriate Associate Director or NRR Deputy Director and must include a valid justification. Contact NRR mailroom with the new due date (Celeste Smyre, ext-21229).

Please do not carry concurrence packages to Directors office without first going through the NRR mailroom.

** Based on discussion of author (Lawrence Kokayko) and his Assistant Director.*