

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of
C.P. Midland Plant
Units 1 & 2

Docket Nos. 50-329
50-330
OM & OL

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY & LICENSING BOARD

INTERVENOR 3/28/81 COMMENT ON C.P.CO. PROPOSED AGENDA

I. I disagree that oral arguments on motions should be the first agenda item in a prehearing conference established to determine issues as the motions themselves have already set forth essential arguments. If the Board should choose to entertain such arguments according to regulation 2730(d) I believe they should be heard only if the NRC also wants such arguments now and after other issues have been considered.

II.B I object to being left out of a conference "of counsel" if such is the intent of this agenda item.

Respectfully Submitted

Barbara Stemiris

Copies sent all parties



DSB
50/1

8104080 391

G

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS
POOR QUALITY PAGES