

SEP 19 1991

Distribution:

NRC F/C	PDR
NMSS/SGTB r/f	Regions(5)
CMacDonald	RChappell
ERZiegler	MMessier
MHGriggs	CJWithee

SGTB:LY  
71-9153

Teledyne Energy Systems  
ATTN: Mr. John F. Vogt  
110 W. Timonium Road  
Timonium, MD 21093

58622-281

Dear Mr. Vogt:

As requested by your application dated July 22, 1991, enclosed is Certificate of Compliance No. 9153, Revision No. 4, for the Model No. Sentinel 1S package. This certificate supersedes, in its entirety, Certificate of Compliance No. 9153, Revision No. 3, dated September 10, 1986.

Changes made to the enclosed certificate are indicated by vertical lines in the margin.

Those on the attached list have been registered as users of this package under the general license provisions of 10 CFR §71.12 or 49 CFR §173.471.

This approval constitutes authority to use this package for shipment of radioactive material and for the package to be shipped in accordance with the provisions of 49 CFR §173.471.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by

Charles E. MacDonald, Chief  
Transportation Branch  
Division of Safeguards  
and Transportation, NMSS

9212300323 921204  
PDR ADOCK 07100397  
C PDR

Enclosures:

1. Certificate of Compliance  
No. 9153, Rev. 4
2. Approval Record

cc w/encl:

Mr. James K. O'Steen  
Department of Transportation

Registered Users

OFC: SGTB	:SGTB	:SGTB	:SGTB	:SGTB
NAME:LYang/lj	:MHGriggs	:ERZiegler	:RChappell	:CMacDonald
DATE: 9/16/91	:9/18/91	:9/18/91	:9/18/91	:9/19/91

November 18, 1992

*OQC comments*

MEMORANDUM FOR: GLENDA JACKSON  
FROM: GERRI FEHST *GF*  
SUBJECT: 1992 ANNUAL FEES FOR TELEDYNE ENERGY SYSTEMS

As we talked about on the phone today, I suggest the following two additions to the letter to Teledyne about their 1992 annual fees:

*if necessary  
exemption request  
add one time*  
1. If Teledyne failed to make a timely request for an exemption or a partial exemption for the 1992 annual fees and this failure is dispositive of the issue, then I suggest that we add a sentence or two saying that directly. This would be in addition to the response you have already prepared addressing and disposing of each of the arguments they make for an exemption.

*see  
cons  
the  
letter*  
2. I also would reference the October 20, 1992 letter to Teledyne from Charles McDonald (see attached) advising Teledyne about certain requirements that must be met regarding the transfer of certificates of compliance and indicate that if they meet these qualifications, then future fees would reflect these changes.

*12/1/92 FAXed G. Fehst, OQC, copy of letter which includes new last paragraph per item #2 above. She called me back indicating OQC agreed with the language.*

*Gerri Fehst*