

The government is of the people,
by the people, for the people but how
can this be true if ~~we~~ warnings of
a nuclear disaster are prologized for
such a length of time as that of
the Three Mile Island nuclear plant in
Pennsylvania. Eight other nuclear plants
probably have the same design error
as the Three Mile Island and others
have advised the commission that
those plants "can continue in
operation without undue hazard to
the health and safety of the public."
According to Deputy Commissioner
Carroll Garhart "six items" were
apparently "major contributors to the
event." Some of the items are: "1 Two
pumps that should have been put on
"open" instead had been put on
"closed" two weeks before the mishap
occurred at 4 a.m. Wednesday, March
28. 2 An electric-magnet relief valve
that did not close when it should
have 3 instruments that gave
"erroneous readings" and others that

7912280321

did not register when the temperature inside the reactor containment structure rose "off the scale" & isolation of the Emergency Core Cooling System from its water supply." All of the above are human errors. If ^{we} can avoid these mistakes and other careless mistakes maybe a nuclear plant can be safe but till then such a plant cannot be safe. I am aware we need nuclear plants as our future source of energy but how can we be able to use this energy if we are sick or dead from the radiation. People ~~of~~ have said it is lucky there was a nuclear leak because now it can never happen again. But isn't one accident enough? Can't radiation from one accident harm you? When we are talking about nuclear leaks, radiation, one accident is already too much.

Before we can safely have a nuclear plant with the probability of almost 0 of having an accident we should not have one, it is too dangerous.

Reseanne Kemp