



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 20, 1979

Encket No. 50-10

Mr. D. Louis Peoples  
Director of Nuclear Licensing  
Commonwealth Edison Company  
Post Office Box 767  
Chicago, Illinois 60690

Dear Mr. Peoples:

RE: COMPLETION OF SEP TOPIC XVII - OPERATIONAL QA PROGRAM  
DRESDEN 1

Your letter dated October 23, 1978, indicated that you have examined our draft evaluation of the subject topic dated August 17, 1978. You suggested editorial or corrective changes to the assessment to make it more accurately reflect your facility design. We have incorporated your suggested modifications in the enclosed assessment. With these modifications our review of SEP Topic XVII is complete and will be a basic input to the integrated assessment of your facility.

The subject assessment compares your Operational QA Program with the criteria currently used by the staff in licensing new facilities. This assessment may need to be re-examined if you modify your Program or if the criteria are changed before we complete our integrated assessment.

Sincerely,

Dennis L. Ziemann, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure:  
Completed SEP  
Topic XVII

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

90000053

7912170

157

November 20, 1979

cc w/enclosure:

Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
Counselors at Law  
One First National Plaza, 42nd Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Mr. B. B. Stephenson  
Plant Superintendent  
Dresden Nuclear Power Station  
Rural Route #1  
Morris, Illinois 60450

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Jimmy L. Barker  
P. O. Box 706  
Morris, Illinois 60450

Susan N. Sekuler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Environmental Control Division  
118 W. Randolph Street  
Suite 2315  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Morris Public Library  
604 Liberty Street  
Morris, Illinois 60451

K M C, Inc.  
ATTN: Richard Schaffstall  
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.  
Suite 1050  
Washington, D. C. 20006

90000054

POOR ORIGINAL

TOPIC XVII - Operational QA Program

SEP Plants Affected - All

UBEs Affected - All

Discussion

Since 1973 new guidance for operational quality assurance programs have been issued in the form of Regulatory Guides and WASH documents describing methods to comply with criteria of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B. The objective of this guidance is to assure that operation, maintenance, modifications and test activities do not degrade the capability of safety-related equipment to perform their intended function.

This topic has been completed for all SEP plants. Attached is a listing of the dates and specific reports containing the basis for their acceptance. Ten of the facilities were reviewed by the Quality Assurance Branch; the last (LACBMR) was reviewed by the Plant Systems Branch of DOR.

90000055

POOR ORIGINAL

## ATTACHMENT

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>SEP PLANT</u>	<u>DOCUMENT**</u>
50-155	Big Rock Point	Topical Report Evaluation, 4/21/76
50-213	Connecticut Yankee	Letter, Switzer to Purple, 2/22/75
50-10	Dresden 1	Topical Report Evaluation, 8/31/75*
50-237	Dresden 2	Topical Report Evaluation, 8/31/75*
50-244	Ginna	Safety Evaluation Report, 9/30/74
50-409	LaCrosse	Memorandum, Eisenhower to Stello, 2/2/76
50-245	Millstone 1	Amendment 35 to SAR, 7/16/76
50-219	Oyster Creek	Safety Evaluation Report, 11/22/76
50-255	Palisades	Topical Report Evaluation, 4/21/76
50-206	San Onofre	Safety Evaluation Report, 4/8/75
50-29	Yankee Rowe	Topical Report Evaluation, 4/4/77

\*Revision 5

\*\*Revision 6 submitted 11/17/78

90000056

POOR ORIGINAL