

SAFETY INSPECTION

DNB COPY

1. LICENSEE Whirlpool Corp. U.S. Hwy. 41 N. Evansville, IN. 47727		2. REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, IL 60137	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 99990003	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) General	5. DATE OF INSPECTION December 7, 1984	

Licensee:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews, with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The findings as a result of this inspection are as follows:

- ☐ 1. Within the scope of this inspection, no violations were observed.
- ☐ 2. The inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the violations identified during the last inspection. We have no further questions on those actions at this time.
- ☐ 3. During this inspection certain of your activities, as checked below, were in violation of NRC requirements.
THIS IS A NOTICE OF VIOLATION which is required to be posted in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
- ☐ A. _____ was not properly posted to indicate the presence of a _____, 10 CFR 20.203(b), (c), (d), (e) or 34.42.
- ☐ B. Containers located in _____ were not properly labeled to indicate the presence of radioactive material. 10 CFR 20.203(f)(1), or (f)(2).
- ☐ C. _____ of sealed sources were not performed at the proper frequencies. 10 CFR _____ License Condition Number _____.
- ☐ D. Records of _____ were not properly maintained. 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.
- ☐ E. Documents were not properly posted or otherwise made available. 10 CFR 19.11.
- ☐ F. Reports or notifications of _____ were not made in accordance with 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.

- ☒ H. Results of Leak Tests performed have not been analyzed in accordance with 10 CFR 31.5 (c) (3) (ii). Gauge has never been used, test are not performed because gauge has not been wired to use and gauge will be removed and returned to OMAF - arrangements to be made. This is NOT a violation because gauge is NOT regulated. Inspection was never conducted - just a phone conversation. Record of phone conversation in error.

I hereby state that within 30 days the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified in the items checked above. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201. No further response will be submitted unless required by the NRC.

8506110058 841217
IE GA999 EMVWHIRL
99990003 PDR

Clarence Titzer

SIGNATURE - LICENSEE

14-3-85

DATE

SIGNATURE - NRC INSPECTOR

IE07
12/14/85

DATE

SAFETY INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Whirlpool Corp. U.S. Hwy. 41 N. Evansville, IN. 47727		2. REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III 799 ROOSEVELT ROAD GLEN ELLYN, IL 60137
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 99990003	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) General	5. DATE OF INSPECTION December 7, 1984

Licensee:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews, with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The findings as a result of this inspection are as follows:

- ☐ 1. Within the scope of this inspection, no violations were observed.
- ☐ 2. The inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the violations identified during the last inspection. We have no further questions on those actions at this time.
- ☐ 3. During this inspection certain of your activities, as checked below, were in violation of NRC requirements.
THIS IS A NOTICE OF VIOLATION which is required to be posted in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
- ☐ A. _____ was not properly posted to indicate the presence of a _____ 10 CFR 20.203(b), (c), (d), (e) or 34.42.
- ☐ B. Containers located in _____ were not properly labeled to indicate the presence of radioactive material. 10 CFR 20.203(f)(1), or (f)(2).
- ☐ C. _____ of sealed sources were not performed at the proper frequencies. 10 CFR _____ License Condition Number _____.
- ☐ D. Records of _____ were not properly maintained. 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.
- ☐ E. Documents were not properly posted or otherwise made available. 10 CFR 19.11.
- ☐ F. Reports or notifications of _____ were not made in accordance with 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.
- ☒ H. Results of Leak Tests performed have not been analyzed in accordance with
10 CFR 31.5 (c) (3) (ii).
- ☐ I. _____
- ☐ J. _____
- ☐ K. _____

I hereby state that within 30 days the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified in the items checked above. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201. No further response will be submitted unless required by the NRC.

SIGNATURE - LICENSEE

DATE

SIGNATURE - NRC INSPECTOR

DATE

ORIGINAL TO LICENSEE

GENERAL LICENSE GAUGE DATA COLLECTION FORM

1. Name and Address of General Licensee:

Whirlpool Corporation
U.S. Hwy. 41 N.
Evansville, IN. 47727

2. Principal Business of Licensee:

Refrigerator Manufacturer

3. Purpose for which Gauge(s) are used (e.g., Measurement of Level, Density, Flow, Thickness, etc.):

To gauge density of the porcelain of refrigerator liners.

4. Gauge Specifics:

- a. Manufacturer - OHMART (One Gauge Only)
- b. Model No. 1 ED-4-EDS-0130 (Never used)
- c. Isotope/Activity - 150mCi, Cs-137
- d. Date Received - 10/73
- e. Date Replaced - N/A

5. Name of Specific Licensee that installed Gauge:

Ohmart

6. Does the Gauge have a Durable, Legible and Visible Label:

Yes, as per Carol Madigan

7. Was an Initial Radiation Survey performed at time of installation and do they have a Record (List Results) NOTE: Records only required for 2 year period:

Licensee does not know

8. Leak Tests:

a. Have the Tests been performed (List Results):

Leak tests are performed approximately every two months, however, the general licensee has been analyzing the wipes with a GM Tube. This is contrary to 10 CFR 31.5(c)(3)(ii).

b. Name of Firm/Person conducting Test:

Paul Roy, Whirlpool Corporation

c. Are Records available of Tests (List Date of Last Test):

NOTE: Records only Maintained for 2 years

As per Carol Madigan, the only record available is the original leak test performed by Ohmart Corporation on 10/26/73.

9. Operational Test of Gauge:

a. Have any Tests been performed of the on/off mechanism:

No, the gauge has never been used.

b. Name of Firm/Person who performed Test:

N/A

c. Are Records maintained of Tests (List Dates):

N/A

10. Has the Gauge been moved from it's Original Location? If so, by Whom:

No

11. Does the Licensee have personnel who are responsible for assuring compliance with the General License Conditions (10 CFR 31, 31.5(c) (1 through 10):

Yes, Paul Roy - Whirlpool

12. Has the Licensee made any transfers of gauges:

ED-4 No


13. Name and Address of Gauge Manufacturer:

Ohmart Corporation, Cincinnati, OH

14. Onsite Inspection of Gauge(s) (list Observations/Measurements):

As per Carol Madigan, (812-426-4557) the gauge was installed by Ohmart in 10/73, however, the gauge has never been used. The gauge is leak tested by Paul Roy and analyzed with a GM tube about every two months. The licensee will call Ohmart to make arrangement to return the gauge.

15. Signature and Date of NRC Reviewer


Name

12/14/84
Date