

979  
Friday, May 3, 1985

Charles Bechhoefer, Esquire  
Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

DOCKETED  
USNRC

'85 MAY -8 AIO:24

Dr. James C. Lamb, III  
Administrative Judge  
313 Woodhaven Road  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Ernest E. Hill  
Hill Associates  
210 Montego Drive  
Danville, CA 94526  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

Re: South Texas Nuclear Project, Docket Nos. 50-498, 50-499 62

Dear Members of the Board,

At the prehearing conference on May 1, 1985, a question arose regarding two generic findings both labelled 3.1(j) in the Quadrex Report. CCANP had called attention to the fact that two findings were so labelled and that the Board's February 26 Order had carried forward the typographical error.

The Applicants questioned whether CCANP had in fact originally raised this finding in its November 21, 1981 filing, the basis for the listing in the Board's February 26 Order.

CCANP directs the Board's attention to pages 39 and 40 of said pleading. At page 39, the following appears:

D. An "abnormally high error rate was observed in Brown and Root calculations for the nuclear, as opposed to the conventional, aspects of the engineering work."  
(Section 3.1(j) [sic], p. 3-11) (emphasis added)

Obviously the "[sic]" was to direct the Board's attention to the typographical error and the finding itself is in "i".

At page 40, the following appears:

D. There were no documented standards regarding the minimum qualifications required for a design verifier."  
(Section 3.1(j), p. 3-13)

CCANP is at this point identifying the finding which in fact should carry the "j" designation.

Sincerely,

*Lanny Sinkin*

Lanny Sinkin  
3022 Porter St, NW #304  
Washington, D.C. 20008  
(202) 966-2141

8505140403 850503  
PDR ADOCK 05000498  
G PDR

c.c. Service List

DS02