

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

AMERICAN BRIDGE DIV. WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION - UNIT NO 3

PROCEDURE FOR :

REPORTING DISCREPANCIES - Both Safety and Non-Safety
Related Areas

PROC. NO. 1b

DATE: 7-26-77

ISSUE SUMMARY

ISSUE DATE	PREPARED	APPROVED	REMARKS
Rev. 0 7-27-77	<i>P.D. Smith</i> P. D. Smith Q.A.O.	<i>R.D. Tipton</i> R. D. Tipton Const. Supt.	For Approval
Rev. A 3-7-8	<i>P.D. Smith</i> P. D. Smith QAO	<i>R.D. Tipton</i> R. D. Tipton Const. Supt.	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED</p> <p>QUALITY ASSURANCE General Revision ENGINEERING</p> <p>This Document is:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reviewed Without Comments</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reviewed With Comments as Noted, Incorporate Comments, and Resubmit; Proceed With Order.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Rejected; Revise and Resubmit</p> <p>Revised: 6.6; PQAP 16-1</p> <p>NOTE:</p> <p>Review of this document, with or without comments, is for general conformance with the applicable specifications only and in no way relieves the manufacturer or con- tractor from full responsibility for delivery of all materials, equip- ment, services and documentation in strict accordance with the pur- chase Order.</p> <p>By: <i>Ray Richardson</i></p> <p>Date: <i>6-5-78</i></p> </div>
Rev. B 5-23-78	<i>P.D. Smith</i> P.D. Smith QAO	<i>R.D. Tipton</i> R.D. Tipton Const. Supt.	
	<i>P.D. Smith</i> P.D. Smith Level III		

PROCEDURE FOR REPORTING DISCREPANCIES- BOTH SAFETY AND NON-SAFETY RELATED

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 The purpose of this procedure is to assure that all discrepancies are properly identified and documented.

2.0 SCOPE

- 2.1 This procedure will cover discrepancies in both Safety and Non-Safety Related categories that are not classified as Nonconformances as delineated in Procedure No. 12.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 ASP III-11
- 3.2 Ebasco Report No. W3QA-3702

4.0 Definitions

- 4.1 Item- Any piece of structural steel or material to which it attaches at Waterford #3 Steam Electric Station.
- 4.2 Discrepancy- A deviation from specified requirements (including procedures) that can be readily corrected in accordance with standard procedures or specifications based on good engineering practice. Discrepancies do not require an elaborate engineering evaluation or disposition for corrective action.
- 4.3 Documented- Any written or pictorial information describing, defining, specifying, reporting or certifying activities, requirements, procedures or results.
- 4.4 Repair- The process of restoring a nonconforming characteristic to a condition such that the capability of an item to function reliably and safely is unimpaired, even though that item still may not conform to the original requirement.
- 4.5 Rework- The process by which a deficient item is made to conform to a prior specified requirement by completion by rematching, reassembling or other corrective means.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

4.0 DEFINITIONS (Continued)

4.6 DN- Discrepancy Notice- American Bridge Form FQAP 16-2

5.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 5.1 The American Bridge Site QA Officer or his designee is responsible for identifying and documenting discrepancies of the approved DN form. He is responsible for submitting the DN's to Ebasco, and upon receipt of the disposition, is responsible for assuring the proper corrective action is taken, and verifying the same by completion of sections 4 and 5 on the form.
- 5.2 The American Bridge Superintendent or his designee is responsible for the recommended dispositions given on the DN. He is also responsible for implementing the corrective action once it is received from Ebasco.
- 5.3 The American Bridge Foremen are responsible for reporting discrepancies to the QA personnel.

6.0 PROCEDURE

- 6.1 Upon the discovery of a discrepancy, the American Bridge Foreman will notify American Bridge QA personnel. No repair or rework will be performed on the affected item until a disposition from the Engineer has been received.
- 6.2 A yellow HOLD tag will be placed on the item and left there until the DN is closed out. The HOLD tag will have the following information on it:

American Bridge and Peden Steel Contract Nos.
Piece No.
Description of Deficiency
DN Report No.
Date Issued
Person Issuing

- 6.3 QA personnel will fill out the DN, sign it and submit it to the Site QA Officer. He will review the DN and submit it to the Superintendent or his designee for a recommended disposition. After the disposition has been recommended

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

6.0 PROCEDURE (Continued)

and signed it will be returned to the QA Officer.

6.4 The properly filled out and signed DN will be submitted to Ebasco with a letter of transmittal by the Site QA Officer.

6.5 Upon receipt of the disposition from Ebasco, the Site QA Officer will dispense the information to the people involved with the discrepancy.

6.6 A Discrepancy Log, FQAP 16-1, will be included in the file. The following information will be contained in the file:

DN No.

Date issued and by whom

Date disposition recommended and by whom

Date transmitted to Ebasco and date returned

Date issued to field for implementation

Date item closed out and by whom.

Description of Discrepancy

6.7 Corrective action will be completed within 20 working days of the receipt of the disposition. If this date can not be met a time extension will be requested.

6.8 Upon completion of corrective action authorized, the DN will be closed out by the Site QA Officer and filed.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

FOR

DISCREPANCY REPORT LOG

FOIA b 1

[illegible]

F.Q.A.P. 16-2

D. N. Number. _____

Date of Report _____

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
1980 - 1165 MW INSTALLATION UNIT NO. 3
DISCREPANCY NOTICE

Item Description _____

Location _____

System _____

P. O. / Contract No. _____

Dwg./Spec. No. _____

1. Discrepancy Description: _____

Inspector _____

Supervisor _____

2. Recommended Disposition: _____

Provided By _____

Date _____

3. Disposition: _____

Sr. Resident Engineer _____

Date _____

Referred To: _____

4. Corrective Action Taken: _____

Organization: _____

Signature _____

Date _____

5. Reinspection remarks: _____

Accept _____

Reject _____

Inspector _____

Date _____

**AMERICAN BRIDGE DIVISION U.S.S.
QUALITY ASSURANCE**

CONTRACT _____

PIECE # _____

DESCRIPTION OF DEF. _____

REPORT # _____

DATE _____ BY _____

HOLD

**DO NOT REMOVE THIS TAG
WITHOUT QA AUTHORIZATION**