

ETASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED
WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION - UNIT NO 3

PROCEDURE FOR:

PROCESSING OF NONCONFORMANCES

PROCEDURE NUMBER:

ASP-III-7

ISSUE SUMMARY

ISSUE/DATE	PREPARED	APPROVED	REMARKS
"J" Draft 12/05/83	<i>H. D. LaFord</i> H. D. LaFord		General Revision
"J" Issue 12/09/83	<i>H. D. LaFord</i> H. D. LaFord	<i>R. Marshall</i> R. Marshall	

OFF-SITE COPY

INFORMATION ONLY

8505130161 841105
PDR FOIA
BERNABE84-205 PDR

NOTATIONS IN THIS COLUMN ICATE WHICH CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE

EDASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION - UNIT NO 3

PROCEDURE FOR:

PROCESSING OF NONCONFORMANCES
AND AUDITS

PROCEDURE NUMBER:

ASP-III-7

ISSUE SUMMARY

ISSUE/DATE	PREPARED	APPROVED	REMARKS
"G" Draft 7-1-82	J. Gutierrez		General Rewrite
"G" Issue 7-2-82	J. Gutierrez	R. J. Milhiser	
"H" Draft 5-5-83	W. Jagger		Revised to reflect change in Quality Assurance ASME III Manual Added Attachments 7.9 thru 7.14
"H" Issue 8-8-83	L. W. Jagger	R. Marshall	
"I" Draft 8-25-83	H. J. Kunis, Jr		Revised 6.1.1.2, 6.1.2.1 Appendix "A" (15) and Addition of Appendix "J"
"I" Issue 9/6/83	H. J. Kunis, Jr	R. Marshall	
"J" Draft 10/6/83	L. W. Jagger		Revised 3.9, 4.4, 6.1.1.1, 6.1.1.2, 6.1.1.4, 6.1.2.1, 6.1.5.2, 6.1.5.3; Deleted 5.2, 7.0 Attachments Renumbered 5.3, 5.3.1, 5.3.2, 5.8.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.8.
THE "J" DRAFT PREPARED BY L. W. JAGGER DATED 10/6/83 HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED BY THE "J" DRAFT PREPARED BY H. D. LAFORD DATED 12/5/83.			

NOTATIONS IN THIS COLUMN INDICATE WHICH CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE

EDASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION - UNIT NO 3

PROCEDURE FOR: CORRECTIVE ACTION

PROCEDURE NUMBER:

ASP-III-7

ISSUE SUMMARY

ISSUE/DATE	PREPARED	APPROVED	REMARKS
"A" DRAFT	M. Walsh		
"A" 9-26-75	<i>M. Walsh</i> M. Walsh	J. O. Booth	
"B" DRAFT	<i>F. R. Howard</i> F. R. Howard		Added Form No. ASP-III-7-3 and ASP-III-7-4 and change of responsibilities.
3-16-76	<i>F. R. Howard</i> F. R. Howard	J. O. Booth	
B 4-7-76	<i>F. R. Howard</i> F. R. Howard	J. O. Booth	
"C" DRAFT	<i>S. A. Klotz</i> S. A. Klotz		Revised Form No. ASP-III-7-3.
7-8-76	<i>S. A. Klotz</i> S. A. Klotz	J. O. Booth	
"C" 7-16-76	<i>S. A. Klotz</i> S. A. Klotz	W. C. Griggs W. C. Griggs	
"D" DRAFT	<i>J. R. Ice</i> J. R. Ice		Made changes to reflect responsibilities brought about by QA/QC reorganization
2/10/78	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson		
"E" DRAFT	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson		Complete rewrite to incorporate ASP-III-6, Nonconformances and ASP-III-9, QA Audits, and QA/QC reorganization.
4/7/78	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson	J. Crnich	
"E" 4-20-78	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson		
"F" Draft -	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson		
8/14/78	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson	R. J. Milhiser for J. Crnich	
"F" 9-20-78	<i>L. A. Stinson</i> L. A. Stinson		

NOTATIONS IN THIS COLUMN INDICATE WHICH CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 It is required to establish procedures/measures to promptly identify and correct conditions adverse to quality, such as failures, malfunctions, deficiencies, deviations, defective material and equipment, and nonconformances with regard to safety related items.

2.0 SCOPE

- 2.1 This procedure establishes measures for the control of safety-related items and services found to be in nonconformance during the construction of the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit No. 3. This shall include measures for the reporting, documentation and resolution of nonconformances and the identification and control of nonconforming items.
- 2.2 This procedure establishes the interface between Ebasco Departments, Contractors and LP&L.
- 2.3 The scope is limited to activities at the Waterford 3 Site.
- 2.4 This procedure establishes requirements for the following:
- 2.5.1 Implementation of corrective action required as it pertains to Deficiency Notices and Nonconformance Reports,
- 2.5.2 Documentation of the status of required corrective action and of inspection to verify that corrective action has been implemented.
- 2.6 Corrective action required for the resolution of incidents as defined by 10CFR 50.55(e) and 10CFR 21 shall be implemented in accordance with Ebasco Company Procedure N-23 and ASP-IV-122.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 10CFR50, Appendix B - Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants.
- 3.2 ANSI N45.2 - Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plants.
- 3.3 Ebasco Nuclear Quality Assurance Program Manual ETR 1001.
- 3.4 Ebasco Quality Assurance Manual ASME Section III.
- 3.5 Control of Receiving, Handling & Storage - WQC-1.
- 3.6 Inspection & Test Status - WQC-150.
- 3.7 Handling of Engineering Discrepancy Notices - ASP-IV-70.

4.0 DEFINITIONS

- 4.1 Inspection - A documented phase of quality control which by means of examination, observation or measurement determines the conformance of items or services to predetermined quality requirements.
- 4.2 Item - Any level of unit assembly, including structure, system, subsystem, component, part or material.
- 4.3 Nonconformance - A condition in characteristic, documentation or procedure which renders the quality of an item or service unacceptable or indeterminate. Examples of nonconformances include: physical defects, test failures, incorrect or inadequate documentation, or deviation from prescribed inspection or test procedures.
- 4.4 Safety-Related Item - As used in this procedure only, Safety-Related is defined as, any item designated by Ebasco Engineering, in accordance with the guidelines established by the Ebasco Licensing Department, to be Safety Class 1, 2, 3, Seismic Class 1 or electrical Class IE and any other items as designated by the Licensing Department.
- 4.5 Safety-Related Service - Any service performed that directly affects the quality of a safety-related item.
- 4.6 Service - Performance of nuclear safety-related activities, such as design, fabrication, inspection, nondestructive examination, installation and test.
- 4.7 Verification - An act of confirming, substantiating and assuring that an activity or condition has been implemented in conformance with the specified requirements.

5.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

- 5.1 The Site Quality Program Manager shall be responsible to:
- 5.1.1 Assure that Nonconformances are reported, in accordance with established procedures.
 - 5.1.2 Assure that corrective action and reinspection are implemented and documented.
 - 5.1.3 Prepare a periodic status report of unresolved Nonconformances and audit findings.
 - 5.1.4 Shall determine if a stop work order concerning further processing or installation of nonconforming items is necessary. If so, QA is to process the stop work order in accordance with QAI-3.

5.2 The Senior Resident Engineer is responsible to provide or assure that other Ebasco Departments or Contractors prepare written disposition instructions for Nonconformance Reports.

5.3 Responsibilities for evaluating Nonconformance Reports are as follow:

ESSE - Design or Engineering items
Senior Resident Engineer - Construction Procedure items
Quality Assurance Engineering - Quality Assurance Procedure items

5.4 The Ebasco Construction Superintendent shall be responsible to implement required corrective action for work performed by Ebasco forces at the construction site.

5.5 The Contractor shall be responsible for implementing assigned corrective action.

5.6 The Ebasco Construction Superintendent shall assure that corrective action is implemented in a timely manner for contractor and Ebasco activities.

5.7 Contractors shall be responsible for reporting nonconformances detected at the site and transmitting these reports to the Ebasco QA Site Supervisor, in accordance with established procedures.

6.0 PROCEDURE

6.1 DISCREPANCY NOTICES

6.1.1 Nonconforming items or services detected at the construction site by Ebasco/Contractor Quality Control personnel or others are processed as a Discrepancy Notice (DN) for hardware or Discrepancy Report (DR) for software, or Engineering Discrepancy Notice (EDN) for Engineering in accordance with established procedures.

NOTE:

Items discovered to be out-of-tolerance or not to specification at routine checkpoints of an inspection process shall not be PROCESSED AS A DN provided:

- a. The condition is corrected prior to acceptance of the work.
- b. The work does not proceed beyond the checkpoint until the correction is made.
- c. The cut-of-tolerance condition does not reflect on work previously accepted.

Damage which would affect the integrity of an item shall be classified as a nonconformance and reported by a DN.

- 6.1.2 DN's issued for contractors work shall be processed in accordance with the contractors approved program.

6.2 NONCONFORMANCE REPORTS (NCR)

- 6.2.1 The Ebasco QA Supervisor shall evaluate the condition reported on the Discrepancy Notice (DN), Discrepancy Report (DR), Engineering Discrepancy Notice (EDN) or otherwise reported, for issue of an NCR if the condition cannot be corrected within the scope of approved Engineering drawings, specifications, or procedures or involves items designated ASME Section III. *for FCR & DEN*

- 6.2.2 In the event an NCR is issued, the number of the Nonconformance Report shall be recorded under Action Taken on the Discrepancy Notice, Discrepancy Report, or Engineering Discrepancy Notice and the notice shall be considered closed. The closed DN shall be returned to the QC Engineer for logging. Closed Engineering Discrepancy Notices shall be returned to the responsible Resident Engineer.

- 6.2.3 The applicable trend code numbers shall be determined and recorded on the top of the NCR by the Quality Assurance Engineer.

- 6.2.4 Information copies of the issued NCR shall be distributed to LP&L Construction QA.

- 6.2.5 NCR numbers shall be assigned by QA Engineering and NCR's tracked thru the use of the Master Tracking System (MTS).

- 6.2.6 The Senior Resident Engineer, or his designee, shall perform the following:

- Assign start-up system number to the NCR when applicable.
- Provide the Recommended Disposition.
- Provide an independent "Evaluation of Disposition" for Construction Procedural items.
- Obtain an "Evaluation of Disposition" from ESSE for Engineering or Design items.
- Update MTS status as appropriate.
- Forward NCR's to QA Engineering prior to initiating Corrective Action.

discuss
DNs, DRs
EDNs
if CA
be
correct
Trending

Note:

In the event the Evaluation does not accept the recommended disposition, the Sr. Resident Engineer shall resolve the concerns and prepare a revised recommended disposition.

6.2.7

The Ebasco QA Engineer shall perform the following functions:

- a. Review the NCR to determine the need for reportability under 10CFR50.55(e).
- b. Provide an "Evaluation of Disposition" for Q.A. Procedural items.
- c. Review and determine the completeness of the approved Disposition relative to inspection and hold points.
- d. Submit to the Ebasco Authorized Nuclear Inspector for concurrence prior to issue for corrective action for ASME III items, class 1, 2 or MC.
- e. Submit to LP&L for concurrence prior to issue for Corrective Action for ASME XI items.
- f. Forward NCR to the Organization responsible to perform the Corrective Action.

6.3 NCR CORRECTIVE ACTION

6.3.1

Organizations/personnel assigned responsibility for implementation of the disposition shall:

- a) Monitor the status of required corrective action to assure timely completion.
- b) Assure that corrective action is accomplished in accordance with the accepted disposition including reinspection.
- c) Assure that for nonconformances relating to Code items, the Authorized Nuclear Inspector, when he so designates, be provided adequate notice (1 day minimum) through Ebasco Quality Assurance of repair, rework, retest and/or reinspection so that he may witness that which he deems necessary.

6.4 NCR REINSPECTION

6.4.1

Reinspection shall be performed by Quality Control personnel in accordance with the requirements of the disposition.

ISSUE: J

WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION - UNIT NO. 3

PAGE: 6 OF 6

- 6.1.2 The Work Package and associated documentation shall contain sufficient detailed information for as-built records and provide traceability to purchase order, material ID, equipment/tools ID, and personnel assigned to accomplish and inspect work.

6.5 NCR CLOSURE

- 6.5.1 Upon completion of corrective action the NCR along with applicable inspection reports and data documenting satisfactory correction shall be transmitted to the Ebasco Quality Assurance for closure.

- 6.5.2 Verification of Disposition will be performed by Ebasco Quality Assurance. Field inspection performed for the purpose of verification by the QA Engineer to close NCR shall be optional and performed at the direction of a Lead Q.A. Engineer.

- 6.5.3 Partial closure of NCR's to support completion of specific Start-Up Systems and allow testing to proceed may be permitted.

- 6.5.4 Copies of closed NCR's shall be distributed as follows:

- a. Work Package Control
- b. Construction Administrative Support Group
- c. Responsible Lead Resident Engineer
- d. LP&L Construction QA
- e. Others as required

7.0 ATTACHMENTS

- 7.1 Nonconformance Report Form With Instructions
- 7.2 Procedure Flow Diagram

NOTATIONS IN THIS COLUMN INDICATE WHICH CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE

11/5/77

EBASCO SERVICES INCORPORATED
QUALITY ASSURANCE
NONCONFORMANCE REPORT

(B) EBASCO # WJ-
(A) CONTRACTOR #

INSTRUCTIONS: (See back of form) (2)* SUS# (3) TREND CODE

CLIENT OR PROJECT (4)		DRAWING NO./SPEC NO. (8)
SUPPLIER, CONSTRUCTION GC OR CONTRACTOR (5)	P.O. NO. (6)	
DESCRIPTION OF COMPONENT, PART OR SYSTEM (7)		

I. DESCRIPTION OF NONCONFORMANCE (7) (Items Involved, Specification, Code or Standard to Which Items Do Not Comply,
(9) Submit Sketch if Applicable)

POTENTIALLY REPORTABLE	YES	NO
10CFR50.55(a)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10CFR21	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reviewed by:	Date:	

(10) SEE ATTACHMENT#

(11) ITEM#

NAME AND SIGNATURE OF PERSON REPORTING NONCONFORMANCE (12)	TITLE/COMPANY (13)	DATE (14)
--	--------------------	-----------

RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION (15) (Submit Sketch if Applicable)

(16) SEE ATTACHMENT #

NAME AND SIGNATURE OF PERSON RECOMMENDING DISPOSITION (17)	TITLE/COMPANY (18)	DATE (19)
--	--------------------	-----------

III. EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION BY EBASCO, REASON FOR DISPOSITION (20)

(21) SEE ATTACHMENT #

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTION (26) ☐ Required ☐ Not Required

(27)

(22)* <input type="checkbox"/> ENGINEERING	(23) <input type="checkbox"/> QUALITY ASSURANCE	(24) <input type="checkbox"/> CONSTRUCTION	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (25)
NAME (SIGNATURE)	NAME (SIGNATURE)	NAME (SIGNATURE)	NAME (SIGNATURE)
(3)	(A)	(A)	(A)
DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE
ACCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> REJECTED <input type="checkbox"/>	ACCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> REJECTED <input type="checkbox"/>	ACCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> REJECTED <input type="checkbox"/>	ACCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> REJECTED <input type="checkbox"/>
ACCEPTED WITH COMMENTS	ACCEPTED WITH COMMENTS	ACCEPTED WITH COMMENTS	ACCEPTED WITH COMMENTS

VI. VERIFICATION OF DISPOSITION (28) ☐ REQUIRED ☐ NOT REQUIRED (29)

WIT BY (29)	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
-------------	-----------	-------	------

PROCESSING OF NONCONFORMANCE REPORTS

(Form 6009-11/5-77)

Circled item numbers on the attached sample Nonconformance Report form (6009-11/5-77) correspond to the item numbers listed below:

- (1-A) NUMBERING SYSTEM
Nonconformance Reports (NCR's) initiated by Waterford 3 contractors/vendors are assigned a number consistent with the originator's unique NCR numbering system, and the NCR is then forwarded to Ebasco Site Quality Assurance (QA).
- (1-B) NCR's received from Waterford 3 contractors/vendors or written by Ebasco Services, Inc. personnel are assigned an Ebasco NCR number by Ebasco Site QA.
- (2) START-UP SYSTEM NUMBER(S)
The applicable start-up system number(s) will be entered on each NCR by the originator.
- (3) TREND CODE
The Ebasco QA Engineer who reviews the NCR will enter the applicable Ebasco QA Trend Code(s) for purposes of the Ebasco QA Quarterly Trend Analysis.
- (4) CLIENT/PROJECT
Enter "LP&L Waterford SES Unit No. 3".
- (5) SUPPLIER/CONTRACTOR
Enter name of supplier, contractor, or Ebasco Department against whom the NCR is written.
- (6) CONTRACT NUMBER/PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER
Purchase order and/or contract number(s) of (5) above as well as purchase order number of equipment or material which is nonconforming. If an NCR is written against Ebasco or Louisiana Power & Light, a contract number is not applicable, but a purchase order number of the nonconforming equipment or material is still required.
- (7) DESCRIPTION OF COMPONENT, PART, OR SYSTEM
Enter complete unique description/identification number of the component, part or system of the actual nonconforming item. Examples:
"Containment Spray Penetrations No. 34B & 35B (Nozzles)"
"480V Breaker AK 06680"
"SUS 7B 480V MCC Class IE BUS Bar Bolted Connections"

(8) DRAWING NO./SPEC NO.

Enter any or all of the following as applicable to the nonconforming condition and/or to the criteria/requirement not complied with: drawing number, specification number, procedure/traveler/process control sheet number, standard/code/year/addenda, service form number, CIWA number, etc.

(9) DESCRIPTION

The description must state the requirement being violated or not being complied with. (Reference specification, procedure, code, etc., as applicable). The description of the nonconforming condition must specifically delineate the parameters or attributes that render the item, component, part, system, and/or activity nonconforming. Support the description with sketches, photographs, drawings, etc. as applicable. If the nonconformance involves installed material, give location, elevation, etc.

(9-A) REPORTABILITY STAMP

Ebasco QA will affix this stamp in red on each NCR. The QAE who reviews the NCR will evaluate the condition per the requirement of 10CFR 50.55(e) and 10CFR 21. This evaluation will be made prior to the NCR being transmitted for corrective action.

(10) ATTACHMENTS

If description refers to attachments, label each attachment and indicate number of pages in each attachment. Make sure attachments are the latest revision and are legible and reproducible. Attachments may include but are not limited to: Deficiency Reports, Discrepancy Notices, Drawings/Sketches, CIWA's, Start-Up Service Forms, etc.

(11) ITEM NUMBER

The Ebasco QA Engineer who reviews the NCR will enter the number of items affected by this nonconforming condition for purposes of Ebasco QA's Quarterly Trend Analysis. If there are numerous trend codes involved, an item number is required for each trend code. If the item number cannot be determined by reading the description, the Ebasco QA Engineer will contact the originator of the NCR to obtain this number.

(12) SIGNATURES, TITLES AND DATES

Name (printed or typed) and signature of originator of the NCR.

(13) TITLE/COMPANY OF (12) ABOVE

(14) DATE NCR DESCRIPTION IS WRITTEN

(15) RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION

The Sr. Resident Engineer, or designee, will provide a Recommended Disposition (RD). The RD shall consist of a specific resolution to correct the nonconforming condition, including program changes necessary (i.e., revisions to specifications, procedures, retraining of personnel, etc.). A RD must also classify problem resolution as one of the following: "accept", "reject", "repair", "rework", or "scrap".

(16) IF RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION REFERS TO ATTACHMENTS, SEE (10) ABOVE

(17) CONTRACTOR'S AUTHORIZED NUCLEAR INSPECTOR

Name (printed or typed) and signature of individual providing the Recommended Disposition. Should include the signature of the respective contractor's Authorized Nuclear Inspector (ANI) when applicable by procedure and Code mandate.

(18) TITLE/COMPANY OF (17) ABOVE

(19) DATE RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION IS WRITTEN

(20) EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION

Evaluation of Disposition (ED) will either "accept", "reject", or "accept (the Recommended Disposition) with comments". More than one individual may provide an Evaluation of Disposition. Each separate evaluation will be clearly labeled as to who wrote it. The Engineering Evaluation of Disposition will provide calculations as applicable to support and document compliance to applicable codes and standards or make reference to the appropriate analysis reports.

(21) ATTACHMENTS AND MULTIPLE EVALUATIONS OF DISPOSITION

If the Evaluation of Disposition refers to attachments, see (10) above. If more than one ED is to be provided, or if an evaluation is to be revised, and there is not enough room in this space to write legibly, this additional information will be provided as attachments to the NCR and noted as such on the face of the NCR form. Such attachments will include the name (printed or typed), signature, and title of the writer as well as the date.

(22), (23), (24), (25) CHECKING BOXES

The Ebasco QA Engineer will check, initial, and date the box(es) indicating which Ebasco Department(s) will provide the Evaluation of Disposition.

ESSE EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION

- (22-A) Name (printed or typed) and signature of the Ebasco Site Support Engineering (ESSE) Engineer or Ebasco New York Engineer who writes an Evaluation of Disposition in Item (20). An authorized signature list is to be available in each department for reference.
- (22-B) Include date and check one of the three blocks indicating "accepted", "rejected", or "accepted with comments".

Checking these boxes shows your decisions about the evaluation of disposition, indicating "Accept" means you agree with the "Evaluation of disposition", indicating reject means you do not agree with the evaluations of disposition. If you wish to add or delete something from E.D. do so initial/date and mark "accept with comment".

EBASCO QA EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION

- (23-A) Name (printed or typed) and signature of Ebasco QA Engineer who writes an Evaluation of Disposition in Item (20). In addition, the Ebasco QA Engineer's Evaluation of Disposition may impose specific requirements in order to verify corrective action taken to correct the nonconforming condition. For this purpose, he may use Form 6009-11/2-82-A (attached) entitled "Evaluation of Disposition - Ebasco Quality Assurance". Whether or not the Ebasco QA Engineer writes an evaluation of disposition, he will always sign this block and complete 23-B.
- (23-B) See (22-B) above.

EBASCO CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION

- (24-A) Name (printed or typed) and signature of Ebasco Construction Engineer who writes an Evaluation of Disposition in Item (20).
- (24-B) See (22-B) above.

EVALUATION OF DISPOSITION BY OTHERS

- (25-A) Name (printed or typed) and signature of other Ebasco QA designees (including Ebasco's Authorized Nuclear Inspector when applicable) who write an Evaluation of Disposition in Item (20). If the Ebasco ANI is involved in the NCR review, his should be the last ED provided in order that he may review the total NCR prior to corrective action being taken.
- (25-B) See (22-B) above.

(26) CORRECTIVE ACTION - REQUIRED OR NOT REQUIRED

The Ebasco QA Engineer will check, initial, and date the box indicating whether corrective action is "required" or "not required". If corrective action is "not required", the QA Engineer's check mark, initial, and date will indicate closure of the NCR. If corrective action is "required", the Ebasco QA Engineer will check, initial and date this box. If corrective action is "required", a copy of the NCR and attachments will be transmitted by Ebasco QA to the appropriate party(ies) for corrective action. The original NCR form and attachments will be retained in the Ebasco QA Files.

(27) COMPLETION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT

Upon completion of corrective action, the party correcting the nonconforming condition will indicate the Corrective Action performed and will sign and date in space 27.

(28) VERIFICATION OF DISPOSITION

The Ebasco QA Engineer will check, initial and date the box indicating whether Verification of Disposition is "Required" or "Not Required" prior to issuing the NCR for corrective action. If Verification is "Required", the QA Engineer will verify that corrective action has been completed in accordance with the NCR Recommendation and Evaluation of Disposition. Whether a physical check of hardware needs to be made to verify corrective action is up to the discretion of the Ebasco QA Engineer and/or his supervisor. If Verification is "Not Required", the QA Engineer will check, initial and date the "Not Required" box, thereby closing the NCR.

(29) CLOSURE OF THE NCR BY EBASCO QA

When the Ebasco QA Engineer completes Item (29) on the original NCR form, the NCR has been closed.

