

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2										DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 9 1 7				PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 1 3		
TITLE (4) Drywell Sampling																
EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)				REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)						
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES				DOCKET NUMBER(S)			
0 4	0 4	8 5	8 5	0 2	5	0 0	0 4	2 9	8 5					0 5 0 0 0		
OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5: (Check one or more of the following) (11)														
1		20.402(b)				20.406(c)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)				73.71(b)		
POWER LEVEL (10)		20.406(a)(1)(ii)				50.36(e)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)				73.71(c)		
0 4 1		20.406(a)(1)(iii)				50.36(e)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(vi)				OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 356A)		
		20.406(a)(1)(iv)				50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)(A)						
		20.406(a)(1)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)(B)						
		20.406(a)(1)(vi)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50.73(a)(2)(ix)						
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)																
NAME R. L. Koenigs, Compliance Engineer										TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE 510 19 31 7 71-1 2 510 1 1						
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) Ext. 2279																
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPDOS						
A	BLK	- - - -	- - - -	N												
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)												EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YES (if yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)												X NO				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

During Plant startup on 4/3/85 and 4/4/85, Operations failed to notify the chemistry laboratory when transferring drywell purge operation from the Standby Gas Treatment (SGT) system to the reactor building ventilation system and the chemistry laboratory did not take samples every 12 hours as required by Plant Technical Specifications (T.S.).

8505070325 850429  
PDR ADOCK 05000397  
S PDR

IE22  
1/1

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0500039785	—	025	—	000	2	OF 03

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 365A's) (17)

Plant Conditions

- a) Power Level - 41%
- b) Plant Mode - 1

Event

During Plant shutdown on 4/1/85 the drywell atmosphere was sampled for release and the drywell purged via the Standby Gas Treatment System per T.S. 3.11.2.8 with samples taken and analyzed each shift (8 hours). At 0345 hours on 4/3/85 the drywell purge was transferred from SGT to reactor building ventilation system. The operating crew did not notify chemistry technicians of the change and the chemistry technicians stopped taking once per shift samples when they found the SGT system shutdown. Due to this lack of notification, the 12 hour sample requirement during containment drywell venting and purging (T.S. 4.11.2.8.3) was not met.

Immediate Corrective Action

Not applicable - the problem was determined after the drywell purge was discontinued.

Further Corrective Action

The Health Physics/Chemistry Department surveillance procedure has been changed so that the chemistry technician will verify containment vent and purge status at the start of each shift. In addition, a procedure deviation has been implemented to the Operations Department surveillance procedure which requires that a notification be made to the Health Physics/Chemistry Department that sampling is required prior to, and once per 12 hours during containment vent and purge operations through other than the SGT system. These procedural changes should minimize the likelihood of future personnel errors in this area.

Safety Significance

During the time the drywell was being purged through the Standby Gas System the samples taken were within limits. During the purge time on the reactor building ventilation system, the following monitors were in service:

- 1) Reactor Building exhaust plenum monitors (REA-RIS-609A,B,C and D)
- 2) Reactor Building exhaust duct to elevated release monitor (RRA-RIS-3), and
- 3) Elevated release monitor (REA-RIS-19).

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED 07/8 NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0500039785	—	025	—	000	3	OF 03

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 385A's) (17)

These monitors were on-line during the purge operation and would have detected abnormal radiation or particulate levels in the exhaust air. Normal drywell particulate and gaseous sample monitors were in operation as were local area radiation monitors and portable continuous air monitors. No abnormal levels were detected during this purge evolution.

This event is judged to have no safety significance. There was no threat to the safety of the Plant, its personnel or the surroundings as a result of this event.

Similar Events

None

## Washington Public Power Supply System

P.O. Box 968 3000 George Washington Way Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 372-5000

Docket No. 50-397

April 29, 1985


Document Control Desk  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2  
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 85-025

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 85-025 for WNP-2 Plant. This report is submitted in response to the report requirements of 10CFR50.73 and discusses the item of reportability, corrective action taken, and action taken to preclude recurrence.

Very truly yours,



C.M. Powers (M/D 927M)  
WNP-2 Plant Manager

CMP:mm

Enclosure:

Licensee Event Report No. 85-025

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, NRC - Region V  
Mr. A. D. Toth, NRC - Site (901A)  
Ms. Dottie Sherman, ANI  
INPO Records Center - Atlanta, GA

LE22  
1/1