

ATTACHMENT A-1

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 1  
Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 203

---

Revise the Technical Specification as follows:

Remove Pages

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1

Insert Pages

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1

REFUELING OPERATIONS3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONSLIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9 The containment building penetrations shall be in the following status:

- a. The equipment door closed and held in place by a minimum of four bolts,
- b. A minimum of one door in each a block is closed, and
- c. Each penetration providing direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere shall be either:
  1. Closed by an isolation valve, blind flange, ~~or~~ manual valve, or approved functional equivalent, or
  2. Exhausting at less than or equal to 7500 cfm through OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves with isolation times as specified in Table 3.6-1 to OPERABLE HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers of the Supplemental Leak Collection and Release System (SLCRS).

APPLICABILITY: During CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel within the containment.

ACTION:

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.4.1 Each of the above required containment penetrations shall be determined to be in its above required condition within 150 hours prior to the start of and at least once per 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment.

4.9.4.2 The containment purge and exhaust system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Verifying the flow rate through the SLCRS at least once per 24 hours when the system is in operation.
- b. Testing the Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves per the applicable portions of Specification 4.6.3.1.2, and
- c. Testing the SLCRS per Specification 4.7.2.1.

(Proposed Wording)

3/4.9 REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

The limitations on minimum boron concentration (2000 ppm) ensure that: 1) the reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and 2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. The Limitation of  $K_{eff}$  of no greater than 0.95 which includes a conservative allowance for uncertainties, is sufficient to prevent reactor criticality during refueling operations.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the source range neutron flux monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core.

3/4.9.3 DECAY TIME

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of the short lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS

The requirements on containment penetration closure and operability of the containment purge and exhaust system HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers ensure that a release of radioactive material within containment will be restricted from leakage to the environment or filtered through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers prior to discharge to the atmosphere within 10 CFR 100 limits. The OPERABILITY and closure restrictions are sufficient to restrict radioactive material release from a fuel element rupture based upon the lack of containment pressurization potential while in the REFUELING MODE. Operations of the containment purge and exhaust system HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and the resulting iodine removal capacity are consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis.

↑  
ADD INSERT "A"

## Attachment to Containment Building Penetrations

### Insert "A"

All containment penetrations, except for the containment purge and exhaust penetrations, that provide direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere must be isolated on at least one side. Penetration closure may be achieved by an isolation valve, blind flange, manual valve, or functional equivalent. Functional equivalent isolation ensures releases from the containment are prevented for credible accident scenarios. The isolation techniques must be approved by an engineering evaluation and may include use of a material that can provide a temporary, pressure tight seal capable of maintaining the integrity of the penetration to restrict the release of radioactive material from a fuel element rupture.

ATTACHMENT A-2

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2  
Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 69

---

Revise the Technical Specification as follows:

Remove Pages

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1

Insert Pages

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1



REFUELING OPERATIONSCONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONSLIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.4 The containment building penetrations shall be in the following status:

- a. The equipment door closed and held in place by a minimum of four bolts,
- b. A minimum of one door in each airlock is closed, and
- c. Each penetration providing direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere shall be either:
  1. Closed by an isolation valve, blind flange, ~~or~~ manual valve, or
  2. Exhausting at less than or equal to 7500 cfm through OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves with isolation times as specified in Table 3.6-1 to OPERABLE HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers of the Supplemental Leak Collection and Release System (SLCRS).

APPLICABILITY: During CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel within the containment.

ACTION:

approved functional equivalent, or

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.4.1 Each of the above required containment penetrations shall be determined to be in its above required condition with 150 hours prior to the start of and at least once per 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment.

4.9.4.2 The containment purge and exhaust system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Verifying the flow rate to the SLCRS at least once per 24 hours when the system is in operation.
- b. Testing the Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves per the applicable portions of Specification 4.6.3.1.2, and
- c. Testing the SLCRS per Specification 4.7.8.1 with the exception of item 4.7.8.1.c.2.

3/4.9 REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

The limitations on minimum boron concentration (2000 ppm) ensure that: 1) the reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and 2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. The limitation on  $K_{eff}$  of no greater than 0.95 which includes a conservative allowance for uncertainties, is sufficient to prevent reactor criticality during refueling operations.

Isolating all reactor water makeup paths from unborated water sources precludes the possibility of an uncontrolled boron dilution of the filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System. This limitation is consistent with the initial conditions assumed in the accident analyses for MODE 6.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the source range neutron flux monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core.

3/4.9.3 DECAY TIME

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of the short lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS

The requirements on containment penetration closure limit leakage of radioactive material within containment to the environment to ensure compliance with 10 CFR 100 limits. The requirements on operation of the SLCRS ensure that trace amounts of radioactive material within containment will be filtered through HEPA filters charcoal absorbers prior to discharge to the atmosphere. These requirements are sufficient to restrict radioactive material release from a fuel element rupture based upon the lack of containment pressurization potential while in the REFUELING MODE.

3/4.9.5 COMMUNICATIONS

The requirements for communications capability ensures that refueling station personnel can be promptly informed of significant changes in the facility status or core reactivity conditions during CORE ALTERATIONS.

← ADD INSERT "B"

(Proposed Wording)

## Attachment to Containment Building Penetrations

### Insert "B"

All containment penetrations, except for the containment purge and exhaust penetrations, that provide direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere must be isolated on at least one side. Penetration closure may be achieved by an isolation valve, blind flange, manual valve, or functional equivalent. Functional equivalent isolation ensures releases from the containment are prevented for credible accident scenarios. The isolation techniques must be approved by an engineering evaluation and may include use of a material that can provide a temporary, pressure tight seal capable of maintaining the integrity of the penetration to restrict the release of radioactive material from a fuel element rupture.



## ATTACHMENT B

### Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 203 and 69 REVISION OF SPECIFICATION 3.9.4 TITLED "CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS"

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT REQUEST

The proposed change would revise the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.9.4, "Containment Building Penetrations," and associated Bases. The specific revision would add the words "or approved functional equivalent" to LCO 3.9.4.c.1. The Bases section for LCO 3.9.4 would be revised to add a discussion on the use of equivalent isolation methods.

#### B. BACKGROUND

During core alterations or movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment, a release of fission product radioactivity within the containment will be restricted from escaping to the environment, should a fuel handling accident occur, when the LCO 3.9.4 requirements are met. In Mode 6, the potential for containment pressurization as a result of an accident is not likely; therefore, requirements to isolate the containment from the outside atmosphere may be different than the requirements for Modes 1 through 4. LCO 3.9.4 requirements are referred to as "containment closure" rather than "containment integrity" as specified in LCO 3.6.1.1 for operational Modes 1 through 4. Containment closure means that all potential escape paths are closed or capable of being closed. Since the potential for containment pressurization as a result of an accident is not likely, the 10 CFR Appendix J leakage criteria and tests are not required.

During a refueling outage, various activities must be completed in the containment building. Steam generator eddy current testing is one of these activities, and is required to be completed during each refueling outage by the plant's technical specifications. To accomplish eddy current testing, temporary cables must be run from an area outside of containment to the steam generators located inside the containment building. Due to the length of time required to complete eddy current testing, this activity must proceed during the periods when containment closure is required. Therefore, a temporary containment penetration is installed using an existing spare electrical penetration. The spare electrical penetration has bolted on removable blind flange(s) with o-ring seals. Once the blind flange(s) are removed, an opening exists which allows passage of temporary cables into containment. With the cables run through the spare electrical penetration, a temporary seal is installed around the cables so that a direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere does not exist. Prior to entering operational Mode 4, the spare electrical penetration is returned to its original condition and leak testing is performed to ensure the requirements of 10 CFR Appendix J are met.

#### C. JUSTIFICATION

The addition of the words "or approved functional equivalent" will clarify that a method other than the explicit use of isolation valves, blind flanges or manual valves are acceptable to ensure that containment closure is achieved for Mode 6 refueling activities. An equivalent isolation of a penetration, which provides direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere, will ensure that any release of fission product radioactivity within the containment will be restricted from escaping to the environment. A properly installed temporary penetration seal will provide a containment closure during refueling functionally equivalent to an isolation valve, blind flange or manual valve. An engineering evaluation will be performed on each type of temporary seal used to meet the proposed LCO wording, to ensure that the seal is indeed equivalent for postulated accident scenarios during core alterations or movement of irradiated fuel in containment.

The proposed revisions are also consistent with wording contained in NUREG 1431 titled, "Standard Technical Specification For Westinghouse Plants."

Therefore, the addition of the words "or approved functional equivalent" will provide for the use of temporary penetration seals for refueling activities only, which will be evaluated to ensure that they will provide an acceptable method of containment closure. The ability of the containment building to ensure that any release of radioactive fission products will be restricted from escaping to the environment, will remain unchanged by this proposed amendment.

#### D. SAFETY ANALYSIS

The proposed revision will not adversely affect the safety of the plant. The proposed addition of the ability to use an equivalent type seal applies only during cold shutdown/refueling conditions and not while the plant is critical. The use of an equivalent containment penetration seal during refueling operations will not create an unsafe condition or adversely affect any system, subsystem or component that is required to perform a safety function while in this condition. The utilization of an engineered functionally equivalent containment penetration seal will provide the assurance of containment closure during refueling activities. The ability of the containment building to restrict the release of any fission product radioactivity to the environment, should a fuel handling accident occur, remains unchanged.

Therefore, this change is considered safe based on the continued ability of the containment building to restrict the release of any fission product radioactivity during a fuel handling accident. Containment closure will be provided by an equivalent containment penetration seal. An engineering evaluation will be

performed to ensure that each type temporary seal, used in a containment penetration, will provide a barrier which is functionally equivalent to a blind flange, isolation valve, or manual valve for the conditions that may exist during a fuel handling accident.

E. NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS EVALUATION

The no significant hazard considerations involved with the proposed amendment have been evaluated, focusing on the three standards set forth in 10 CFR 50.92(c) as quoted below:

The Commission may make a final determination, pursuant to the procedures in paragraph 50.91, that a proposed amendment to an operating license for a facility licensed under paragraph 50.21(b) or paragraph 50.22 or for a testing facility involves no significant hazards consideration, if operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

- (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
- (3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The following evaluation is provided for the no significant hazards consideration standards.

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The probability of occurrence of a previously evaluated accident is not increased because failure to maintain containment closure is not an initiating condition for a fuel handling accident. The use of an equivalent containment penetration seal does not introduce any new potential accident initiating condition during refueling operation.

The consequences of an accident previously evaluated is not increased because an equivalent containment penetration seal will provide the assurance of containment closure during refueling activities. The ability of the containment building to restrict the release of any fission product radioactivity to the environment remains unchanged.

Therefore, this change will not increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The failure of an equivalent containment penetration seal during refueling will not result in a malfunction of any other plant equipment. The sole purpose of establishing containment closure for refueling is to restrict the release of any fission product radioactivity in the event of a fuel handling accident.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

An equivalent containment penetration seal will provide the same assurance of containment closure during refueling as a blind flange, isolation valve, or manual valve for credible accident scenarios. The ability of the containment building to restrict the release of any fission product radioactivity to the environment, should a fuel handling accident occur, remains unchanged.

Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

F. NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

Based on the considerations expressed above, it is concluded that the activities associated with this license amendment request satisfies the no significant hazards consideration standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) and, accordingly, a no significant hazards consideration finding is justified.



ATTACHMENT C-1

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 1  
Proposed Technical Specification Change No 203

---

Typed Pages:

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1  
B 3/4 9-2  
B 3/4 9-3  
B 3/4 9-4



REFUELING OPERATIONS3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONSLIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.4 The containment building penetrations shall be in the following status:

- a. The equipment door closed and held in place by a minimum of four bolts.
- b. A minimum of one door in each airlock is closed, and
- c. Each penetration providing direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere shall be either:
  1. Closed by an isolation valve, blind flange, manual valve, or approved functional equivalent, or
  2. Exhausting at less than or equal to 7500 cfm through OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves with isolation times as specified in Table 3.6-1 to OPERABLE HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers of the Supplemental Leak Collection and Release System (SLCRS).

APPLICABILITY: During CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel within the containment.

ACTION:

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment. The provisions of Specification 3.0.2 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.4.1 Each of the above required containment penetrations shall be determined to be in its above required condition within 150 hours prior to the start of and at least once per 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment.

4.9.4.2 The containment purge and exhaust system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Verifying the flow rate through the SLCRS at least once per 24 hours when the system is in operation.
- b. Testing the Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves per the applicable portions of Specification 4.6.3.1.2, and
- c. Testing the SLCRS per Specification 4.7.8.1.

3/4.9 REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

The limitations on minimum boron concentration (2000 ppm) ensure that: 1) the reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and 2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. The Limitation of  $K_{eff}$  of no greater than 0.95 which includes a conservative allowance for uncertainties, is sufficient to prevent reactor criticality during refueling operations.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the source range neutron flux monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core.

3/4.9.3 DECAY TIME

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of the short lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumption used in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS

The requirements on containment penetration closure and operability of the containment purge and exhaust system HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers ensure that a release of radioactive material within containment will be restricted from leakage to the environment or filtered through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers prior to discharge to the atmosphere within 10 CFR 100 limits. The OPERABILITY and closure restrictions are sufficient to restrict radioactive material release from a fuel element rupture based upon the lack of containment pressurization potential while in the REFUELING MODE. Operations of the containment purge and exhaust system HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers and the resulting iodine removal capacity are consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis.

All containment penetrations, except for the containment purge and exhaust penetrations, that provide direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere must be isolated on at least one side. Penetration closure may be achieved by an isolation valve, blind flange, manual valve, or functional equivalent. Functional equivalent isolation ensures releases from the containment are prevented for credible accident scenarios. The isolation techniques must be approved by an engineering evaluation and may include use of

DPR-66  
REFUELING OPERATIONS

BASES

---

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS (Continued)

a material that can provide a temporary, pressure tight seal capable of maintaining the integrity of the penetration to restrict the release of radioactive material from a fuel element rupture.

3/4.9.5 COMMUNICATIONS

The requirements for communications capability ensures that refueling station personnel can be promptly informed of significant changes in the facility status or core reactivity conditions during CORE ALTERATIONS.

3/4.9.6 MANIPULATOR CRANE OPERABILITY

The OPERABILITY requirements for the manipulator cranes ensure that: 1) manipulator cranes will be used for movement of control rods and fuel assemblies; 2) each crane has sufficient load capacity to lift a control rod or fuel assembly; and 3) the core internals and pressure vessel are protected from excessive lifting force in the event they are inadvertently engaged during lifting operations.

3/4.9.7 CRANE TRAVEL - SPENT FUEL STORAGE BUILDING

The restriction on movement of loads in excess of the normal weight of a fuel assembly over other fuel assemblies ensures that no more than the contents of one fuel assembly will be ruptured in the event of a fuel handling accident. This assumption is consistent with the activity release assumed in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.8 RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL AND COOLANT CIRCULATION

The requirement that at least one residual heat removal (RHR) loop be in operation ensures that 1) sufficient cooling capacity is available to remove decay heat and maintain the water in the reactor pressure vessel below 140°F as required during the REFUELING MODE, and 2) sufficient coolant circulation is maintained throughout the reactor core to minimize the effect of a boron dilution incident and prevent boron stratification.

The requirement to have two RHR loops OPERABLE when there is less than 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange ensures that a single failure of the operating RHR loop will not result in a complete loss of residual heat removal capability. With the reactor vessel head removed and 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange, a large heat sink is available for core cooling. Thus, in the event of a failure of the operating RHR

DPR-66  
REFUELING OPERATIONS

BASES

---

3/4.9.8 RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL AND COOLANT CIRCULATION (Continued)

loop, adequate time is provided to initiate emergency procedures to cool the core.

3/4.9.9 CONTAINMENT PURGE AND EXHAUST ISOLATION SYSTEM

THE OPERABILITY of this system ensures that the containment vent and purge penetrations will be automatically isolated upon detection of high radiation levels within the containment. The integrity of the containment penetrations of this system is required to restrict the release of radioactive material from the containment atmosphere to acceptable levels which are less than those listed in 10 CFR 100. Applicability in MODE 5, although not an NRC safety requirement, will provide additional protection against small releases of radioactive material from the containment during maintenance activities.

3/4.9.10 AND 3/4.9.11 WATER LEVEL - REACTOR VESSEL AND STORAGE POOL

The restrictions on minimum water level ensure that sufficient water depth is available to remove 99% of the assumed 10% iodine gas activity released from the rupture of an irradiated fuel assembly. The minimum water depth is consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis.

3/4.9.12 and 3/4.9.13 FUEL BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM

The limitations on the storage pool ventilation system ensure that all radioactive material released from an irradiated fuel assembly will be filtered through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber prior to discharge to the atmosphere. The OPERABILITY of this system and the resulting iodine removal capacity are consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis. The spent fuel pool area ventilation system is non-safety related and only recirculates air through the fuel building. The SLCRS portion of the ventilation system is safety-related and maintains a negative pressure in the fuel building. The SLCRS flow is normally exhausted to the atmosphere without filtering, however, the flow is diverted through the main filter banks by manual actuation or on a high radiation signal.

3/4.9.14 FUEL STORAGE - SPENT FUEL STORAGE POOL

The requirements for fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensure that: (1) the spent fuel pool will remain subcritical during fuel storage; and (2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained in the water volume in the spent fuel pool to provide negative reactivity for postulated accident conditions under the guidelines of ANSI



REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.14 FUEL STORAGE - SPENT FUEL STORAGE POOL (Continued)

16.1-1975. The value of 0.95 or less for keff which includes all uncertainties at the 95/95 probability/confidence level is the acceptance criteria for fuel storage in the spent fuel pool.

The Action Statement applicable to fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensures that: (1) the spent fuel pool is protected from distortion in the fuel storage pattern that could result in a critical array during the movement of fuel; and (2) the boron concentration is maintained at  $\geq 1050$  ppm (this includes a 50 ppm conservative allowance for uncertainties) during all actions involving movement of fuel in the spent fuel pool.

The Surveillance Requirements applicable to fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensure that: (1) the fuel assemblies satisfy the analyzed U-235 enrichment limits or an analysis has been performed and it was determined that Keff is  $\leq 0.95$ ; and (2) the boron concentration meets the 1050 ppm limit.

The enrichment limitations for storage of fuel in a 3 of 4 array in the spent fuel pool is based on a nominal region average enrichment with individual fuel assembly tolerance of + or - 0.05 w/o U-235.

The results of the spent fuel pool criticality analysis (August 1986) for Westinghouse STD/Vantage 5H and OFA/Vantage 5 fuel in three of four storage locations show that there is more than 0.3% margin to the keff limit of 0.95 with all uncertainties included. Based on the sensitivity study completed with this analysis, an increase in the maximum allowed enrichment for fuel stored in the spent fuel storage racks from 4.00 to 4.05 w/o will increase the maximum rack keff by less than 0.002. Therefore, with Westinghouse 17 x 17 STD/Vantage 5H and OFA/Vantage 5 fuel enriched at 4.05 w/o stored in the spent fuel racks in three of four storage locations and with all of the assumptions and conservatisms presented in the criticality analysis, the maximum rack keff will be less than 0.95.

3/4.9.15 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY HABITABILITY SYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of the control room emergency habitability system ensures that the control room will remain habitable for operations personnel during and following all credible accident conditions. The ambient air temperature is controlled to prevent exceeding the allowable equipment qualification temperature for the equipment and instrumentation in the control room. The OPERABILITY of this system in conjunction with control room design provisions is based on limiting the radiation exposure to personnel occupying the control room to 5 rem or less whole body, or its equivalent. This limitation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 19 of Appendix "A", 10 CFR 50.



ATTACHMENT C-2

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2  
Proposed Technical Specification Change No. 69

---

Typed Pages:

3/4 9-4  
B 3/4 9-1  
B 3/4 9-2  
B 3/4 9-3  
B 3/4 9-4

REFUELING OPERATIONS3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONSLIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.4 The containment building penetrations shall be in the following status:

- a. The equipment door closed and held in place by a minimum of four bolts,
- b. A minimum of one door in each airlock is closed, and
- c. Each penetration providing direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere shall be either:
  1. Closed by an isolation valve, blind flange, manual valve, or approved functional equivalent, or
  2. Exhausting at less than or equal to 7500 cfm through OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves with isolation times as specified in Table 3.6-1 to OPERABLE HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers of the Supplemental Leak Collection and Release System (SLCRS).

APPLICABILITY: During CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel within the containment.

ACTION:

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied, immediately suspend all operations involving CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.4.1 Each of the above required containment penetrations shall be determined to be in its above required condition within 150 hours prior to the start of and at least once per 7 days during CORE ALTERATIONS or movement of irradiated fuel in the containment.

4.9.4.2 The containment purge and exhaust system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Verifying the flow rate through the SLCRS at least once per 24 hours when the system is in operation.
- b. Testing the Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation Valves per the applicable portions of Specification 4.6.3.1.2, and
- c. Testing the SLCRS per Specification 4.7.8.1 with the exception of item 4.7.8.1.c.2.

3/4.9 REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.1 BORON CONCENTRATION

The limitations on minimum boron concentration (2000 ppm) ensure that: 1) the reactor will remain subcritical during CORE ALTERATIONS, and 2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained for reactivity control in the water volume having direct access to the reactor vessel. The limitation on  $K_{eff}$  of no greater than 0.95 which includes a conservative allowance for uncertainties, is sufficient to prevent reactor criticality during refueling operations.

Isolating all reactor water makeup paths from unborated water sources precludes the possibility of an uncontrolled boron dilution of the filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System. This limitation is consistent with the initial conditions assumed in the accident analyses for MODE 6.

3/4.9.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The OPERABILITY of the source range neutron flux monitors ensures that redundant monitoring capability is available to detect changes in the reactivity condition of the core.

3/4.9.3 DECAY TIME

The minimum requirement for reactor subcriticality prior to movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the reactor vessel ensures that sufficient time has elapsed to allow the radioactive decay of the short lived fission products. This decay time is consistent with the assumptions used in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS

The requirements on containment penetration closure limit leakage of radioactive material within containment to the environment to ensure compliance with 10 CFR 100 limits. The requirements on operation of the SLCRS ensure that trace amounts of radioactive material within containment will be filtered through HEPA filters charcoal absorbers prior to discharge to the atmosphere. These requirements are sufficient to restrict radioactive material release from a fuel element rupture based upon the lack of containment pressurization potential while in the REFUELING MODE.

All containment penetrations, except for the containment purge and exhaust penetrations, that provide direct access from containment atmosphere to outside atmosphere must be isolated on at least one side. Penetration closure may be achieved by an isolation

REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES

---

3/4.9.4 CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS (Continued)

valve, blind flange, manual valve, or functional equivalent. Functional equivalent isolation ensures releases from the containment are prevented for credible accident scenarios. The isolation techniques must be approved by an engineering evaluation and may include use of a material that can provide a temporary, pressure tight seal capable of maintaining the integrity of the penetration to restrict the release of radioactive material from a fuel element rupture.

3/4.9.5 COMMUNICATIONS

The requirements for communications capability ensures that refueling station personnel can be promptly informed of significant changes in the facility status or core reactivity conditions during CORE ALTERATIONS.

3/4.9.6 MANIPULATOR CRANE OPERABILITY

The OPERABILITY requirements for the manipulator cranes ensure that: 1) manipulator cranes will be used for movement of control rods and fuel assemblies; 2) each crane has sufficient load capacity to lift a control rod or fuel assembly; and 3) the core internals and pressure vessel are protected from excessive lifting force in the event they are inadvertently engaged during lifting operations.

3/4.9.7 CRANE TRAVEL - SPENT FUEL STORAGE BUILDING

The restriction on movement of loads in excess of the normal weight of a fuel assembly over other fuel assemblies ensures that no more than the contents of one fuel assembly plus an additional 50 rods in the struck fuel assembly will be ruptured in the event of a fuel handling accident. This assumption is consistent with the activity release assumed in the accident analyses.

3/4.9.8 RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL AND COOLANT CIRCULATION

The requirement that at least one residual heat removal (RHR) loop be in operation ensures that 1) sufficient cooling capacity is available to remove decay heat and maintain the water in the reactor pressure vessel below 140°F as required during the REFUELING MODE, and 2) sufficient coolant circulation is maintained throughout the reactor core to minimize the effect of a boron dilution incident and prevent boron stratification.



BASES

3/4.9.8 RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL AND COOLANT CIRCULATION (Continued)

The requirement to have two RHR loops OPERABLE when there is less than 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange ensures that a single failure of the operating RHR loop will not result in a complete loss of residual heat removal capability. With the reactor vessel head removed and 23 feet of water above the reactor pressure vessel flange, a large heat sink is available for core cooling. Thus, in the event of a failure of the operating RHR loop, adequate time is provided to initiate emergency procedures to cool the core.

3/4.9.9 CONTAINMENT PURGE AND EXHAUST ISOLATION SYSTEM

THE OPERABILITY of this system ensures that the containment vent and purge penetrations will be automatically isolated upon detection of high radiation levels within the containment. The integrity of the containment penetrations of this system is required to meet 10 CFR 100 requirements in the event of a fuel handling accident inside containment. Applicability in MODE 5, although not an NRC safety requirement, will provide additional protection against small releases of radioactive material from the containment during maintenance activities.

3/4.9.10 AND 3/4.9.11 WATER LEVEL - REACTOR VESSEL AND STORAGE POOL

The restrictions on minimum water level ensure that sufficient water depth is available to remove 99% of the assumed 10% iodine gas activity released from the rupture of an irradiated fuel assembly. The minimum water depth is consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis.

3/4.9.12 and 3/4.9.13 FUEL BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM

The limitations on the storage pool ventilation system ensure that all radioactive material released from an irradiated fuel assembly will be filtered through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber prior to discharge to the atmosphere. The OPERABILITY of this system and the resulting iodine removal capacity are consistent with the assumptions of the accident analysis. The spent fuel pool area ventilation system is non-safety related and only recirculates air through the fuel building. The fuel building portion of the SLCRS is safety related and continuously filters the fuel building exhaust air. This maintains a negative pressure in the fuel building.



REFUELING OPERATIONSBASES3/4.9.14 FUEL STORAGE - SPENT FUEL STORAGE POOL

The requirements for fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensure that: (1) the spent fuel pool will remain subcritical during fuel storage; and (2) a uniform boron concentration is maintained in the water volume in the spent fuel pool to provide negative reactivity for postulated accident conditions under the guidelines of ANSI 16.1-1975. The value of 0.95 or less for  $K_{eff}$  which includes all uncertainties at the 95/95 probability/confidence level is the acceptance criteria for fuel storage in the spent fuel pool.

Verification that peak fuel rod burnup is less than 60 GWD/MTU is provided in the reload evaluation report associated with each fuel cycle.

The Action Statement applicable to fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensures that: (1) the spent fuel pool is protected from distortion in the fuel storage pattern that could result in a critical array during the movement of fuel; and (2) the boron concentration is maintained at  $\geq 1050$  ppm (this includes a 50 ppm conservative allowance for uncertainties) during all actions involving movement of fuel in the spent fuel pool.

The Surveillance Requirements applicable to fuel storage in the spent fuel pool ensure that: (1) the fuel assemblies satisfy the analyzed U-235 enrichment limits or an analysis has been performed and it was determined that  $K_{eff}$  is  $\leq 0.95$ ; and (2) the boron concentration meets the 1050 ppm limit.