

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED
USNRC

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

'85 MAR 20 P4:27

In the Matter of)

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY)

(Three Mile Island Nuclear)
Station, Unit No. 1)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Docket No. 50-289 SP
(Restart)

ANSWER OF NUMEROUS FORMER MET ED EMPLOYEES
IN OPPOSITION TO TMIA MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

On March 13, 1985, Three Mile Island Alert ("TMIA") filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Commission's Memorandum and Order served on February 26, 1985, CLI-85-2. TMIA asks the Commission to issue an order requiring the "continuation" of informal discovery concerning the alleged falsification of leak rate tests at TMI-2. TMIA Motion at 11-13. On behalf of numerous former employees of Metropolitan Edison Company who may be involved in hearings concerning the alleged leak rate falsification, we oppose TMIA's motion for continued informal discovery. Simply put, there is no legal basis for the relief requested for TMIA, and therefore the motion must be denied.

1. TMIA's motion necessarily assumes that there is a proceeding pending before the Commission in which discovery may be conducted. That assumption is wrong. In CLI-85-2 at 34, the Commission reversed the decision of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board in ALAB-738, 18 N.R.C. 177 (1983), to

reopen the record for hearings on TMI-2 leak rates. Accordingly, the jurisdiction of the existing Atomic Safety and Licensing Board over that issue has been terminated. To be sure, the Commission has stated its intention to convene a new proceeding to consider TMI-2 leak rate falsification, but the order instituting a separate hearing has not yet been issued, and no Licensing Board has been appointed. There is, therefore, no proceeding now pending in which discovery may be ordered.

2. Because there is no proceeding pending, TMIA obviously is not currently a party entitled to conduct discovery. TMIA states that it "will participate in any hearings the Commission establishes". TMIA Motion at 12. Aside from the fact that the new proceeding has not yet been initiated, it is not a foregone conclusion that TMIA will be a party to it. Before TMIA may become a party and seek discovery, it will be required to comply with 10 C.F.R. § 2.714. Intervention by TMIA in the new proceeding may well be opposed. Until a Licensing Board is appointed and TMIA is admitted as a party, TMIA has no right to discovery, and there is no basis for any order directing discovery at this time.

3. Although a notice of hearing to institute a new proceeding has not been issued, the Commission has made clear that the employees who may have been involved in leak rate falsification "should receive notice of this hearing and be allowed to participate." CLI-85-2 at 35. Those employees who

become parties to the new hearing should be afforded the opportunity to participate in a pre-hearing conference, to be heard on the nature and extent of discovery, and to participate in any discovery thereafter ordered by the new Licensing Board. To order discovery to go ahead prior to the commencement of a new proceeding, as requested by TMIA, would deny due process to those individuals who will be most directly affected. Clearly, discovery concerning leak rate testing at TMI-2 must await a further order of the Commission, the appointment of a Licensing Board, and an opportunity for the affected individuals to appear before the Licensing Board and participate in the development of appropriate discovery procedures.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, TMIA's request for an order directing the "continuation" of informal discovery concerning leak rate falsification at TMI-2 should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

LeBOEUF, LAMB, LEIBY & MacRAE

Of counsel:

MICHAEL F. McBRIDE
MOLLY S. BOAST

SMITH B. GEPHART
JANE G. PENNY
Killian & Gephart
Harrisburg, PA 17108

By Harry H. Voigt
Partner

1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N. W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 457-7500

Attorneys for Numerous Former
Metropolitan Edison Employees

March 20, 1985

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKETED
USNRC

I hereby certify that I have this 20th day of March
1985 served the foregoing "Answer of Numerous Former Met Ed
Employees in Opposition to TMIA Motion for Reconsideration" by
first-class mail, postage prepaid upon the following parties:

Administrative Judge
Gary J. Edles
Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge
Christine N. Kohl
Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Joseph R. Gray, Esq.
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

George F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. J.R. Thorpe
Director of Licensing
GPU Nuclear Corporation
100 Interpace Parkway
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Mr. Henry D. Hukill
Vice President and Director, TMI-1
GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 480
Middleton, PA 17057

Marvin I. Lewis
6504 Bradford Terrace
Philadelphia, PA 19149

Docketing and Service Section
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Lynne Bernabei, Esq.
General Counsel
Government Accountability Project
1555 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

Ellyn R. Weiss, Esq.
Harmon, Weiss & Jordan
2001 S Street, N.W.
Suite 430
Washington, D.C. 20009

Thomas D. Rees, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
333 Market Street, 17th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Thomas Y. Au, Esq.
Office of Chief Counsel
Department of Environmental Resources
505 Executive House
P.O. Box 2357
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Joanne Doroshow
The Christic Institute
1324 North Capitol Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20002

Administrative Judge
Ivan W. Smith
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge
Sheldon J. Wolfe
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Administrative Judge
Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr.
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Jack R. Goldberg, Esq.
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555


Harry H. Voigt