



**Wisconsin Electric** POWER COMPANY  
231 W. MICHIGAN, P.O. BOX 2046, MILWAUKEE, WI 53201

March 5, 1985

Mr. H. R. Denton, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Mr. J. R. Miller, Chief  
Operating Reactors, Branch 3

Gentlemen:

DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301  
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION  
GENERIC LETTER 82-28  
INADEQUATE CORE COOLING INSTRUMENTATION SYSTEM  
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Your letter dated January 29, 1985 requested that Wisconsin Electric submit additional information concerning our March 21, 1983 response to Generic Letter 82-28, "Inadequate Core Cooling Instrumentation System". Your information needs were listed in Enclosure 1 to the January 29 letter. Our reply to each item in Enclosure 1 will be submitted by March 29, 1985.

Your January 29 letter also requested that we commit to an implementation letter report, the proposed content of which was listed in Enclosure 2 to your letter. We believe that a separate implementation letter report will not be needed for Point Beach Nuclear Plant and offer the following response to each item of the implementation letter report request in Enclosure 2:

1. Items (1), (2), (3)

This information will be provided as part of our response to Enclosure 1.

2. Item (4)

A Technical Specification modification has already been submitted for inadequate core cooling instrumentation with our letter dated February 29, 1984.

8503120476 850305  
PDR ADOCK 05000266  
F PDR

*Acc 2  
10*

March 5, 1985

3. Item (5)


Wisconsin Electric has provided information to the staff during the four years of design and implementation of each instrumentation system of the overall inadequate core cooling instrumentation system. This has been consistent with NUREG-0737, Item II.F.2, and the accepted generic implementation of these systems for Westinghouse-designed PWR's. We believe that the reviews you have previously conducted and are currently conducting of our plant-specific design addresses all item II.F.2 requirements for post-implementation and pre-use review for conformity. The completion of our installation will be documented in startup procedures and the startup test results will be available for inspection. Thus, the review and approval process is ongoing and an additional separate approval following installation would not appear to be necessary.

4. Item (6)

We have previously committed to use Westinghouse Emergency Response Guidelines as the technical basis for our plant-specific emergency operating procedures (EOP's). Verification of the Point Beach EOP's will confirm this compliance. Records of the verification process will be available for NRC inspection.

Should you have any questions, please call us.

Very truly yours,



Vice President-Nuclear Power

C. W. Fay

Copy to NRC Resident Inspector