

Georgia Power Company  
Post Office Box 439  
Baxley, Georgia 31513  
Telephone 912 367-7781  
912 537-9444



Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant

February 15, 1985  
DCS-85-20


PLANT E. I. HATCH  
Emergency Implementing Procedures

Docket Nos. 50-321/50-366

Document Control Desk  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Appendix E, Section V of 10 CFR 50, please find enclosed two (2) copies of Transmittal and Title Pages of deleted procedures of Plant E. I. Hatch Emergency Procedures. Three (3) copies of these transmittals and Title Pages are also being forwarded to the Region II office in Atlanta, Georgia.

  
E. C. Sorrell  
Document Control Supervisor

ECS/klm

xc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Inspection and Enforcement  
Region II  
Suite 2900  
101 Marietta Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

file

8502260319 850215  
PDR ADOCK 05000321  
F PDR

A045  
1/1

## PROCEDURE

-----Lab-----  
RESPONSIBLE SECTION

NON-SAFETY RELATED ( )

REV.	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED DEPT. MANAGER	APPROVED GENERAL MANAGER	DATE
6	Page 1	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/19/85
6	"DELETED - REPLACED BY 60AC-HPX01-0 THRU	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/19/85
"	60AC-HPX04-0 ,	—	—	—
"	60AC-HPX06-0 ,	—	—	—
"	60AC-HPX07-0 AND	—	—	—
"	60AC-HPX09-0"	—	—	—

Delete

1 NEED BY DATE : <sup>11/10/85</sup>  
~~11-5-84~~

PROCEDURE REVISION REQUEST

2 PROCEDURE NO. : HNP - 0-RPP-08001 3 SHEET 1 of 5

4 REVISION NUMBER OF CURRENT PROCEDURE : 6

5 PROCEDURE TITLE : Radiation Protection Responsibilities

6 REQUESTED BY

:

[Signature]  
SIGNATURE

10.24.84  
DATE

7 LIST ANY QA TRACER NUMBERS BEING ADDRESSED :

:

[Signature]

8 PRG REVIEW

:

[Signature]  
SIGNATURE

10.29.84  
DATE

9 PRESENT STATUS : ( ☒ ) SAFETY RELATED ; ( ) NON-SAFETY RELATED

10 THE ABOVE STATUS IS BEING CHANGED: ( ) YES, TO \_\_\_\_\_ ; ( ☒ ) NO

11 TRAINING REQUIREMENTS (FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ONLY) : \_\_\_\_\_

12 DEPARTMENT MANAGER ENDORSEMENT

[Signature]  
SIGNATURE

10.29.84  
DATE

13 IF QA TRACER(S) APPLICABLE, INDICATE REVIEW BY QA REP. : \_\_\_\_\_

MARKED-UP COPY OF CURRENT PROCEDURE MUST BE ATTACHED TO THIS FORM!!

14 REVIEW BY DOCUMENT CONTROL CLERK:

[Signature]  
SIGNATURE

12.6.84  
DATE

17 PRB DISPOSITION : ( ) N/A ; ( ☒ ) RECOMMEND FOR APPROVAL ; ( ) REJECT

18 PRB NUMBER : 84-271 ; DATE OF PRB MEETING : 12.28.84

19 PRB SECRETARY'S SIGNATURE :

[Signature]



PROCEDURE REVISION REQUEST

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

② PROCEDURE NO. : ENP -0-RPP-08001

③ SHEET 2 of 5

④ REVISION NUMBER OF CURRENT PROCEDURE : 6

⑮ REASON FOR REQUEST : It is requested that this  
procedure be deleted. Information contained  
within this procedure has been incorporated  
into the responsibility sections of the  
following procedures: 60AC-HPX01-0, 60AC-HPX02-0,  
60AC-HPX03-0, 60AC-HPX04-0, 60AC-HPX06-0, 60AC-HPX07-0,  
and 60AC-HPX09-0.

PROCEDURE REVISION REQUEST

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

② PROCEDURE NO. : HNP - 0-RPP-08001

③ SHEET 3 of 5

④ REVISION NUMBER OF CURRENT PROCEDURE : 6

⑬ DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES : This procedure has been modified to implement the requirements of the upgrade program as defined in IOAC-MGR00-0, Procedure Upgrade Program Implementation Plan.

# SAFETY EVALUATION

- ① PROCEDURE NO. : HNP - 0-RPP-08001      ② SHEET 4 of 5  
③ REVISION NUMBER OF CURRENT PROCEDURE : 6 (N/A IF FOR NEW PROCEDURE)  
④ PROCEDURE TITLE : Radiation Protection Responsibilities

- ⑤ TYPE OF DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS EVALUATION APPLIES : ( ) NEW PROCEDURE  
Procedure Deletion      (✓) PROCEDURE REVISION

- ⑥ DOES THIS DOCUMENT CHANGE THE MODE OF OPERATION OR INTENT AS DESCRIBED IN THE FSAR?      ( ) YES      ;      (✓) NO

- ⑦ DOCUMENT : ( ) INVOLVES AN UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION  
( ) REQUIRES A TECH. SPECS. CHANGE BEFORE THE DOCUMENT CAN BE USED;  
IF SO, RECORD THE ASSOCIATED DOCR NUMBER : \_\_\_\_\_  
(✓) NEITHER

- ⑧ DOES THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT REPRESENT AN UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION?      ( ) YES      ;      (✓) NO

- ⑨ GIVE THE BASIS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT REPRESENTS AN UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION :

This procedure has been modified to implement the requirements of the upgrade program as defined in MAC-MGROO-0, Procedure Upgrade Program Implementation Plan. The process for modification has received a safety evaluation to assure requirements from the FSARs and Technical Specifications have not been degraded or deleted.



**SAFETY EVALUATION**  
(CONTINUED)

① PROCEDURE NO. : HNP - 0-RPP-08001

② SHEET 5 of 5

③ REVISION NUMBER OF CURRENT PROCEDURE : 6 (N/A IF FOR NEW PROCEDURE)

⑩ THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT (~~DOES~~ DOES NOT) CONSTITUTE AN UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION AS EXPLAINED BELOW:

1. THE PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF AN ACCIDENT OR MALFUNCTION OF EQUIPMENT IMPORTANT TO SAFETY (~~ARE~~ ARE NOT) INCREASED ABOVE THOSE ANALYZED IN THE FSAR DUE TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT BECAUSE:

Changes to this procedure are format and organization of procedural information to fulfill upgrade program requirements. Changes have received technical review by Plant personnel.

2. THE POSSIBILITY OF AN ACCIDENT OR MALFUNCTION OF A DIFFERENT TYPE THAN ANALYZED IN THE FSAR (~~DOES~~ DOES NOT) RESULT FROM THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT BECAUSE:

changes made do not affect the operation of equipment analyzed in the FSAR

3. THE MARGIN OF SAFETY AS DEFINED IN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS (~~IS~~ IS NOT) REDUCED DUE TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS DOCUMENT BECAUSE:

procedural changes do not alter or degrade any Technical Specification requirement

⑪ SAFETY EVALUATION PERFORMED BY :

⑫ SAFETY EVALUATION REVIEWED BY :

⑬ DEPARTMENT MANAGER APPROVAL :

[Signature] 10/24/84  
[Signature] 10/29/84  
RW Zawadoski 10/29/84

## PROCEDURE

PROCEDURE TITLE

HMF 3005

PROCEDURE NUMBER

Lab

RESPONSIBLE SECTION

NON-SAFETY RELATED ( )

LNP-9 R16.