

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION

R. D. 1, Box 208

DELTA, PA 17314

(717) 456-7014



KEN POWERS
PLANT MANAGER

November 2, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-278

Document Control Desk
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: Licensee Event Report
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station - Unit 3

This LER concerns a Technical Specification violation when daily checks were not performed due to missing pages in a Surveillance Test.

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Reference: | Docket No. 50-278 |
| Report Number: | 3-92-007 |
| Revision Number: | 00 |
| Event Date: | 10/04/92 |
| Discovery Date: | 10/11/92 |
| Report Date: | 11/02/92 |
| Facility: | Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station RD1, Box 208, Delta, PA 17314 |

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Sincerely,

cc: J. J. Lyash, US NRC Senior Resident Inspector
T. T. Martin, US NRC, Region I

IF 22
11

9211050216 921102
PDR ADDCK 05000278
S PDR

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-------------|----------------|--|-------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NRC FORM 306 (6-89) | | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 <small>ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-300), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20545, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.</small> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station - Unit 3 | | | | DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 2 7 8 1 OF 0 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TITLE (4) Technical Specification Violation When Daily Checks were not Performed due to Missing Pages in a Surveillance Test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EVENT DATE (5) MONTH DAY YEAR 1 0 0 4 9 2 9 2 | | LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER 0 0 7 0 0 1 1 0 2 9 2 | | REPORT DATE (7) MONTH DAY YEAR 1 0 2 9 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) FACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER(S) 0 5 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OPERATING MODE (9) N | | THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5. (Check one or more of the following) (11) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0 0 | | <table border="0" style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td>20.402(b)</td> <td>20.405(c)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(i)</td> <td>73.71(b)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.405(a)(1)(i)</td> <td>50.36(a)(1)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(iv)</td> <td>73.71(c)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.405(a)(1)(ii)</td> <td>50.36(a)(2)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(v)</td> <td rowspan="4">OTHER (Specify in Abstract Below and in Text, NRC Form 306A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.405(a)(1)(iii)</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(vi)(A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.405(a)(1)(iv)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(vi)(B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20.405(a)(1)(v)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(iv)</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(ix)</td> </tr> </table> | | | | 20.402(b) | 20.405(c) | 50.73(a)(2)(i) | 73.71(b) | 20.405(a)(1)(i) | 50.36(a)(1) | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | 73.71(c) | 20.405(a)(1)(ii) | 50.36(a)(2) | 50.73(a)(2)(v) | OTHER (Specify in Abstract Below and in Text, NRC Form 306A) | 20.405(a)(1)(iii) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii) | 50.73(a)(2)(vi)(A) | 20.405(a)(1)(iv) | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | 50.73(a)(2)(vi)(B) | 20.405(a)(1)(v) | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | 50.73(a)(2)(ix) |
| 20.402(b) | 20.405(c) | 50.73(a)(2)(i) | 73.71(b) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.405(a)(1)(i) | 50.36(a)(1) | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | 73.71(c) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.405(a)(1)(ii) | 50.36(a)(2) | 50.73(a)(2)(v) | OTHER (Specify in Abstract Below and in Text, NRC Form 306A) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.405(a)(1)(iii) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii) | 50.73(a)(2)(vi)(A) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.405(a)(1)(iv) | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | 50.73(a)(2)(vi)(B) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20.405(a)(1)(v) | 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | 50.73(a)(2)(ix) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) NAME: Albert A. Pulvio, Regulatory Supervisor TELEPHONE NUMBER: 7 1 7 4 5 6 - 7 0 1 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAUSE | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUFACTURER | REPORTABLE TO NRC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) | | | | | EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15) MONTH DAY YEAR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>On 10/11/92 at 1600 hours, it was discovered that eight daily instrument surveillance checks for Drywell pressure instruments were not performed as specified in the Technical Specifications (Tech Specs) since 10/4/92. This condition resulted in a violation of Tech Specs. The cause of the event has been determined to be that three pages were inadvertently excluded during the copying process prior to Surveillance Test (ST) issuance. The Shift Clerk who reviewed the ST for completeness and proper revision failed to properly ensure that all pages were appropriately included prior to issuance. After discovery of the event on 10/11/92, the instrument checks which were missed for six days were immediately performed and verified to be acceptable. The event has been discussed with the involved individuals. The pertinent information from the event will be provided to the appropriate personnel who issue controlled station documents. No actual safety consequences occurred as a result of this event. No previous similar LERs have been identified.</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 600 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-330), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3160-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

| | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------|
| FACILITY NAME (1) Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 3 | DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 2 7 8 9 2 | LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER — 0 0 7 — 0 0 | PAGE (3) 0 2 OF 0 3 |
|--|--|---|------------------------|

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's.) (17)

Requirements of the Report

This report is submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) as a result of a Technical Specification (Tech Spec) violation due to several missed Surveillance Requirements.

Unit Conditions at Time of Event

Unit 3 was in the "RUN" mode at approximately 100% of thermal reactor (EIS:EA) power. There were no systems, structures, or components that were inoperable that contributed to the event.

Description of the Event

On 10/11/92 at 1600 hours, during the transfer of Sunday's Surveillance Log data from the completed Surveillance Test (ST-0-100-01Y-3) "Daily Surveillance Log" to the next week's Surveillance Log data sheet, it was discovered that eight daily instrument surveillance checks for Drywell pressure instruments (PIS-12A to 12D, PIS-100A to 100D) were not performed as specified in the Tech Spec since 10/4/92. This condition resulted in a violation of Tech Spec tables 4.1.1 and 4.2.B.2 because the appropriate daily Surveillance Requirements were not performed for six days. The daily instrument checks for Tech Spec instruments are recorded each day on three different tests (day, evening, or night shift). The Tech Spec instrument checks of concern involved Drywell pressure which are normally performed on the evening shift. After discovery of the event on 10/11/92, the instrument checks which were missed for six days were immediately performed and verified to be acceptable.

Cause of the Event

The cause of the event has been determined to be that three pages were inadvertently excluded during the copying process prior to ST-0-100-01Y-3 issuance. The Shift Clerk (non-licensed:utility) who reviewed ST-0-100-01Y-3 for completeness and proper revision failed to properly ensure that all pages were appropriately included prior to issuance.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 600 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20545, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|----------|-------------------|-----------------|------|-----|----|----------|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (3) | PAGE (3) | | | | | | |
| Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 3 | | <table border="1"><tr><td>YEAR</td><td>SEQUENTIAL NUMBER</td><td>REVISION NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>1992</td><td>007</td><td>00</td></tr></table> | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | 1992 | 007 | 00 | 03 OF 03 |
| YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION NUMBER | | | | | | | |
| 1992 | 007 | 00 | | | | | | | |
| 0500027892 | 007 | 03 OF 03 | | | | | | | |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

A contributing factor to this event was that this was the first time that ST-0-100-01Y-3 was performed in a new format. The ST was just reformatted as part of the ST Rewrite Project. The missing pages were not identified during the daily performance and reviews of the Surveillance Log data sheets because it was believed that these Surveillance Requirements were revised to be performed on the day or night shift tests.

Analysis of the Event

No actual safety consequences occurred as a result of this event.

The instrument check is intended to detect when an instrument does not track consistent with the other instruments. The consequences are considered minimal due to the fact that all instruments were verified to be operable on 10/11/92 and all parameters monitored had remained within the specified bands. The Drywell pressure instrumentation has an alarm function when Drywell pressure is high and during a failed downscale or loss of instrument power condition. Drywell pressure was monitored daily and recorded using other instrumentation during the event and Drywell pressure remained within the specified bands.

Corrections Actions

After discovery of the event on 10/11/92, the instrument checks which were missed for six days were immediately performed and verified to be acceptable.

The event has been discussed with the involved individuals. The pertinent information from the event will be provided to the appropriate personnel who issue controlled station documents.

Previous Similar Events

No previous similar LERs have been identified which involved missing ST pages or the use of ST which are the incorrect revision.