

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

NUCLEAR GROUP HEADQUARTERS

955-65 CHESTERBROOK BLVD.

WAYNE, PA 19087-5691

(215) 640-6000

September 11, 1992

NUCLEAR SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Docket Nos. 50-277
50-278

License Nos. DPR-44
DPR-56

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington DC 20555

SUBJECT: Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3
Technical Specifications Change Request

REFERENCE: Letter from G. J. Beck (PECo) to
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission dated
August 5, 1992

Dear Sir:

In the above referenced letter, Philadelphia Electric Company (PECo) submitted, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.90, Technical Specifications Change Request (TSCR) No. 90-14 which requested changes to Appendix A of the Peach Bottom Facility Operating Licenses. The proposed changes incorporate recommendations specified in NRC Generic Letter (GL) 90-09, "Alternative Requirements for Snubber Visual Inspection Intervals and Corrective Actions".

PECo hereby requests that our original submittal be revised such that the submitted "List of Tables", page vii, be deleted and replaced with the attached revised "List of Tables", page vii. This correction resolves differences between the "List of Tables" contained in the PECO controlled copy of the PBAPS, Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications and the NRC's authority file of the PBAPS, Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications.

This issue was discussed between Tom Loomis (PECo) and Dick Clark (NRC) on August 26, 1992.

Also attached is Bases page 236a which incorporates wording from TSCR 91-02, Revision 1, dated July 20, 1992 ("Revisions to Allowable Out of Service Times for the Emergency Service Water System") which we anticipate will be issued prior to TSCR 90-14.

170044
9209180224 920911
PDR ADOCK 05000277
P PDR

Aool
11

We have determined that this administrative revision does not alter our evaluation of any of the 10 CFR 50.59 standards, and therefore, does not affect our conclusion that TSCR 90-14 does not constitute an unreviewed safety question. We have also concluded that the proposed revision does not affect the Information Supporting a Finding of No Significant Hazards Consideration and the Information Supporting an Environmental Impact Assessment.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,



G. J. Beck, Manager
Licensing Section

Enclosures: Proposed TS Page vii, PBAPS, Units 2 and 3
Proposed TS Page 236a, PBAPS, Units 2 and 3

cc: T. T. Martin, Administrator, Region I, USNRC
J. J. Lyash, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, PBAPS
W. P. Dornsfeld, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.8.3.c	Maximum Values for Minimum Detectable Levels of Activity	215d-6
4.11.D-1	Snubber Visual Inspection Interval	234t-u
3.14.C I	Fire Detectors	240m
3.15	Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation	240u
3.15	Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation Surveillance Requirements	240v
6.2.1	Shift Crew Composition-Minimum Requirements	245