

Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Post Office Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201
Telephone 205 868-5086



J. D. Woodard
Vice President
Farley Project

Southern Nuclear Operating Company

the southern electric system

April 22, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-348
50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555


Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant
1991 Annual Environmental Operating Report (Nonradiological)

Gentlemen:

Enclosed in accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 & 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, is the report summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan during the calendar year 1991.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,


J. D. Woodard

(14)
S. D. Ebner

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebner
Mr. S. T. Hoffman
Mr. G. F. Maxwell

9204290043
PDR ADDCK 0000348
R PDR

IF 25
11

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY
JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2
NRC DOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. NPF-2 AND NPF-8

ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1991
(NONRADIOLOGICAL)

JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2
ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 1991 (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Environmental Protection Plan (Units 1 and 2), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, this report is submitted summarizing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan for the calendar year 1991.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following is submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Environmental Protection Plan:

A. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (EPP) NONCOMPLIANCES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

There were no EPP noncompliances during 1991.

B. CHANGES IN STATION DESIGN OR OPERATION, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 3.1 WHICH INVOLVED A POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNREVIEWED ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUE

There were no changes in station design or operation, tests, or experiments which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental issue.

C. NONROUTINE REPORTS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPP SUBSECTION 5.4.2

There were no nonroutine reports submitted during 1991.