



Log # TXX-96056
File # 10200
Ref. # 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)

C. Lance Terry
Group Vice President

February 21, 1996

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn.: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES)-UNIT 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-446
MISSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION SURVEILLANCE
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 446/96-002-00

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 96-002-00 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 2, "Missed Technical Specification Surveillance for Turbine Overspeed."

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. L. Terry".

C. L. Terry

DWS/dws
Enclosures

cc: Mr. L. J. Callan, Region IV
Mr. W. D. Johnson, Region IV
Resident Inspectors, CPSES

9602260381 960221
PDR ADOCK 05000446
S PDR

260047

JE221

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104
EXPIRES 4/30/98

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THE MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (7-6 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

Facility Name (1)

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2

Docket Number (2)

05000446

Page (3)

01 OF 04

Title (d)

MISSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION SURVEILLANCE FOR TURBINE OVERSPEED

Event Date ((5))			LER Number (6)				Report Date (7)			Other Facilities Involved (8)																					
Month	Day	Year	Year		Sequential Number		Revision Number	Month	Day	Year	Facility Name	Docket Numbers																			
											CPSES UNIT 2	0	5	0	0	0	4	4	6												
0	1	2	6	9	6	9	6	-	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	2	2	1	9	6	N/A	0	5	0	0	0					

Operating Mode (9)		1		This report is submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 6. (Check one or more) (11)									
Power Level (10)	100	20.2201 (b)		20.2203 (a) (2) (v)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	50.73 (a) (2) (i)		50.73 (a) (2) (viii)					
		20.2203 (a) (1)		20.2203 (a) (3) (i)		50.73 (a) (2) (ii)		50.73 (a) (2) (x)					
		20.2203 (a) (2) (i)		20.2203 (a) (3) (ii)		50.73 (a) (2) (iii)		73.71					
		20.2203 (a) (2) (ii)		20.2203 (a) (4)		50.73 (a) (2) (iv)		OTHER					
		20.2203 (a) (2) (iii)		50.36 (c) (1)		50.73 (a) (2) (v)		Specify in Abstract below					
		20.2203 (a) (2) (iv)		50.36 (c) (2)		50.73 (a) (2) (vii)		or in NRC Form 366A					

Name	Telephone Number (Include Area Code)
DAVE KROSS - OPERATIONS SUPPORT MANAGER	(817)897-8603

Complete One Line For Each Component Failure Described In This Report (13)										
Cause	System	Component	Manufacturer	Reportable To NPRDS		Cause	System	Component	Manufacturer	Reportable To NPRDS
				N						

Supplemental Report Expected (14)				EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	Month	Day	Year
YES (If vas. completed EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)	X	NO					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On January 26, 1996, at approximately 7:03 a.m., during a periodic review, it was noted that the 14-day surveillance for Main Turbine overspeed protection testing had been missed. The test was scheduled for January 20, 1996 and was in violation as of January 23, 1996. The test was completed by approximately 8:27 a.m., on January 26, 1996. Subsequent to the event the required surveillance was performed and no equipment degradation was discovered.

The cause of the missed surveillance was determined to be an oversight in rescheduling the required surveillance by cognizant personnel. The event was reviewed as a lesson learned and management expectations were reemphasized by Operations management.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Facility Name (1)	Docket	LER Number (6)	Page (3)																						
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>6</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </table>	Year									9	6	-	0	0	2	-	0	0	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Revision Number</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td>02</td> <td>OF 04</td> </tr> </table>	Revision Number		02	OF 04
Year																									
9	6	-	0	0	2	-	0	0																	
Revision Number																									
02	OF 04																								

Text (if more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT

A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT

On January 26, 1996, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES) Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, and operating at 100 percent power.

C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

Not applicable - No structures, systems, or components were inoperable.

D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

On January 18, 1996 Load Dispatchers requested that the Unit 2 downpower for surveillance testing and completion of preventive maintenance items scheduled for January 20, 1996, be rescheduled for January 27, 1996. This request resulted in the rescheduling of the surveillance tests for the Main Turbine Stop/Control Valves (EIIS:(JJ)(V)). The Main Turbine (EIIS:(TRB)(TA)) Overspeed test which did not require a downpower should not have been rescheduled based on the required surveillance due date.

Based on the Load Dispatcher's request, work control scheduling personnel (utility, non-licensed) moved the scheduled surveillance tests to the new date. However, the Main Turbine Overspeed test was also moved inadvertently beyond the January 23, 1996 violation date.

On January 26, 1996, at approximately 7:03 a.m. operations personnel (utility, non-licensed) during a periodic review noted that the 14-day surveillance for turbine overspeed had been missed. The test was scheduled for January 20, 1996 and was in violation as of January 23,

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Facility Name (1)	Docket	LER Number (6)						Page (3)		
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	Year		Sequential Number		Revision Number				
		9	6	.	0	0	2	.	0	0
									03	OF 04

Text (if more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 365A) (17)

1996. The test was completed by approximately 8:27 a.m. January 26, 1996.

E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE OR PROCEDURAL ERROR

Operations personnel discovered the missed surveillance during review of surveillance reports/schedules on January 26, 1996.

II. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

Not Applicable - No safety systems responded.

B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

Not Applicable - No safety system trains were inoperable during this event.

C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

This Turbine overspeed protection specification is provided to ensure that the turbine overspeed protection instrumentation and the turbine speed control valves are OPERABLE and will protect the turbine from excessive overspeed. Protection from a turbine excessive overspeed is required since excessive overspeed of the turbine could generate potentially damaging missiles which could impact and damage safety-related components, equipment or structures. Subsequent to the event the required surveillance was performed and no equipment degradation was discovered.

Based on the above, it was deemed that even though the surveillance was not performed at its required interval, subsequent results of the surveillance did not identify equipment degradation. The failure to perform the surveillance in its required interval did not impact the health or safety of the public.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Facility Name (1)	Docket	LER Number (6)						Page (3)		
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	Year		Sequential Number		Revision Number				
		9	6	0	0	2	0	0	04	OF 04

Text (if more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

III. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

The Surveillance Coordinator did not verify that the TS surveillance had been accomplished for the Main Turbine Overspeed test.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The test was completed by approximately 8:27 a.m. January 26, 1996.

B. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

The cause of the missed surveillance was determined to be an oversight in rescheduling the required surveillance by cognizant personnel. The event was reviewed as a lesson learned and management expectations were reemphasized by Operations management.

V. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Including both units, there have occurred nine other missed surveillances during the calendar years 1994 and 1995. TU Electric has reviewed these previous events and has concluded that they are sufficiently different from this event. TU Electric believes that the corrective actions for the previous events have been generally effective.