



February 21, 1995

**United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555**

Attention: Document Control Desk

Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2 Supplemental
Response to Notice of Violation 50-373/93020-01b
NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/93020; 50-374/93020
NRC Docket Numbers 50-373 and 50-374.

- References:**
1. E.G. Greenman letter to M. Wallace, Dated October 22, 1993, Transmitting NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/93020 and 50-374/93020
 2. D.L. Farrar letter to Document Control, Dated November 19, 1993, Transmitting LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2, Response to Notice of Violation, Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/93020 and 50-374/93020, NRC Docket Numbers 50-373 and 50-374.

The purpose of this letter is to provide supplemental information concerning Commonwealth Edison's response to the subject Notice of Violation (NOV), which had been cited by the NRC in Reference 1. Reference 2 contains Commonwealth Edison's initial response to that NOV.

In the Attachment to Reference 2, LaSalle discussed actions which would be taken to avoid further violations. Specifically, on page 5 of the Attachment we stated: "A review will be performed of current Out of Services to ensure that safety evaluations have been performed in those cases where components with automatic functions are taken out of service for a time period of greater than 30 days. Additionally, when equipment is being taken out of service, a Safety Evaluation will be performed if operation of the equipment is described in the UFSAR and the component, train or system will remain operational. This practice has been in effect for all Out of Services on safety related equipment and will be extended to non-safety related equipment."

This letter identifies a change in performing and documenting Operability Determinations and Safety Evaluations, when they are necessary, for Out of Services. LAP-900-4B E.1 will be revised to read; "An Operability Determination for an Out of Service that is created for a system that will continue to be operated or determined

9602260242 950221
PDR ADOCK 05000373
G PDR

JED 1/0

Operable or Available, will be made and documented in accordance with LAP-220-5, Equipment Operability Determination". A copy of the Problem Identification Form (PIF) from LAP-1500-8A, "Initiating a Problem Identification Form", will be attached to the hard copy of the Out of Service Checklist. The PIF should be initiated when the Out of Service is placed. If an Out of Service, for which an Operability PIF has been written, has been hanging for 21 days, the Operating Engineer for the unit involved will arrange to have the issue reviewed at the next regular Plant Operating Review Committee (PORC) meeting.

Bringing the Out of Service issue into a single administrative process allows the station to utilize trending tools to more appropriately focus on corrective actions. The involvement of the Plant Operating Review Committee heightens management awareness of materiel condition challenges and supports the quality of their oversight function.

Respectfully,



R.E. Querio

Site Vice President
LaSalle County Station

cc: H. J. Miller, Regional Administrator, Region III
M.D. Lynch, Project Manager, NRR
P. G. Brochman, Senior Resident Inspector, LaSalle
D. L. Farrar, Nuclear Regulatory Services Manager, NORS
Central file