

I-MOSBA-249

George Frederick AT Site Manager
Supervisor S.A.E.R.

4/27/90
'95 OCT 20 P4:38

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE

- ⑤ ~ 1 1/2 years ago - AT noted FAVA installed in ARS in rodinate processing system. Had been installed with no design change. Wrote finding took out of service. Began design change process. ~ 8 months ago, placed back in service following necessary design actions (drawings, safety analysis). Allen Mosbaugh (over engineering syst) suspected something - questioned 50.59 safety evaluation. PRB has access to anything they want to see, but may not see everything that may be available. On FAVA, PRB presented of "bottom line" up a lot of detail. Mosbaugh visited Frederick personally to discuss 8 or 9 times. Had (Frederick) questions on ASSE codes and on hydrostatic test. Also whether FAVA was bounded by ARS safety analysis. Recall in all there may have been 3 or more votes on whether to use Majority change in favor. Frederick's specific concerns addressed in conference call with Skip Kitchens (in his office) with Cliff Miller. Mosbaugh continued to question. Talked up bass (Mark Ajlani in Bham) and told of continuing Mosbaugh concern; seeking direction. Mosbaugh told Ajlani he was only keeping Frederick up to speed. Ultimately Bockhold put hold tag on; called for more review.

9601220221 950915
PDR ADDCK 05000424
PDR

Ethical question - got 2 ton truck in the deal that brought the system also, that some kind of personal computer came with the deal. Health Physics benefitted from deal if true. Truck still has "FAVA" written on the side. Ron DeDraand "passed up" to the truck in the P&B. Computer is basically hearsay. Understand Ron DeDraand had history with FAVA (personal) from Hatch.

Currently auditing engineering and interface of "Corporate". Not programatically concerned because so many other design changes have been handled properly. No concern of engineering.

Radwaste as a part of HP/Chemistry - have seen, more so than other departments, a less willingness to be candid on things they should be. Has been improvement for a couple of years. Generally, problems don't get the "light" in the area of chemistry/radwaste as in others. Example - exceeded goal in exposure; ~~management~~ management not told that a large part of this was due to failure to clean things up as well as they should have. System wasn't running in a number of cases where it should have been. McCoy considered to be very upset. HP are not the problem.

Feel there are people who would still circumvent rules to get what they want.

Problem, P.O. didn't have the "right words" on it to alert Procurement to make sure the proper process was followed. "Right words" would be to identify as "Procurement Level 4". Specified as "Procurement Level 6" (i.e. shoe strings, floor wax).

Have not identified a particular sore spot through trending of LCR's, AFR's or violations.

Have never had an "answer" forced on him. Pursue answer until you get it.

=

- ② ③ Understood question on dew point. Sampling/analysis done which addressed issue. Not aware of issue or previously not measuring dew points.

LCR on diesel starts. Statement on consecutive starts has been taken out. Brookhold Contractor used number of regions. Wrong LCR had another # (18). Finally had to do another analysis in Oct.

- ⑥ Got Part 21 notification on pressure switches. Said "if in and running OK"; if "in warehouse - send back". Plant was responsive. Sent back switches, including the temperature switches. Only mistake, as that I had some pressure switches in questionable batch were not initially identified.
- ⑦ The issue of PRB decisions differing from NRC, typical for SM to write a memo to the PRB. (Will send some examples)
- ⑧ No concern with undue pressure in PRB meetings to "go along" contrary to personal feelings/judgement.

AKS