

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401
400 Chestnut Street Tower II

35 FEBRUARY 20, 1985

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
Attn: Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 - RESPONSE TO VIOLATION
50-438/84-26-01, 50-439/81-29-01 - INSTRUMENTATION CRAFT FOREMAN/DUAL RATED
NOT TRAINED AND QUALIFIED TO APPROPRIATE TRAINING MODULE

This is in response to D. M. Verrelli's letter dated January 23, 1985, report numbers 50-438/84-26, 50-439/84-26 concerning activities at the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant which appeared to have been in violation of NRC regulations. Enclosed is our response to the citations.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please get in touch with R. H. Shell at FTS 858-2688.

To the best of my knowledge, I declare the statements contained herein are complete and true.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY



R. H. Shell
Nuclear Engineer

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure):

Mr. James Taylor, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Records Center
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

8503200185 850301
PDR ADCK 05000438
G PDR

ENCLOSURE

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2
RESPONSE TO SEVERITY LEVEL V VIOLATION
50-438/84-26 AND 50-439/84-26
INSTRUMENTATION CRAFT FOREMAN/DUAL RATED NOT TRAINED AND
QUALIFIED TO APPROPRIATE TRAINING MODULE BEFORE ASSIGNMENT TO ACTIVITY

Description of Deficiency

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, and the accepted quality assurance program (TVA-TR-75-1A, revision 8), Section 17.1.A.5, requires in part that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented procedures of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these procedures. Paragraph 6.1.6 of Bellefonte Nuclear Plant (BLN) quality control procedure (QCP) 10.30, revision 5, "Craft Quality Assurance Training," states in part that craft foremen/dual rates are to be trained and qualified to appropriate construction training modules before being assigned to a new work activity.

Contrary to the above, as of December 11, 1984, activities affecting quality were not being accomplished in accordance with documented procedures in that an instrumentation craft foreman/dual rate was not trained and qualified to appropriate construction training modules before being assigned to a new work activity.

TVA Response

1. Admission or Denial of the Alleged Violation

TVA admits the violation occurred as stated.

2. Reason for Violation

BLN QCP-10.30 requires that craft foremen or dual-rated foremen expected to serve as an hourly foreman for a period of five days or more be trained and qualified in the appropriate construction training modules prior to being assigned to a new work activity. The instrumentation superintendent failed to follow this procedure in that he assigned a dual-rated craft foreman to supervise a crew on second shift without training and qualifying the employee in the required training modules. The dual-rated foreman supervised the crew seven days, violating the five-day time limit outlined in this procedure.

3. Corrective Steps Taken and Results Achieved

The dual-rated foreman is no longer supervising a crew and will not supervise another crew until the proper training is received. The violation has been discussed with the instrumentation superintendent, and he is aware that he violated the QCP. He has been reprimanded for the incident and reminded of his responsibilities to BLN's quality assurance program.