



Duquesne Light

Nuclear Construction Division
Robinson Plaza, Building 2, Suite 210
Pittsburgh, PA 15205

2NRC-4-193
(412) 787-5141

(412) 923-1960
Telecopy (412) 787-2629

November 20, 1984

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

ATTENTION: Ms. Marilyn Ley, Project Manager
Division of Licensing

SUBJECT: Beaver Valley Power Station - Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-412
Summary of September 26, 1984, Meeting Regarding Generic Letter
84-08 Issues

Gentlemen:

On October 24, 1984, a letter was transmitted to Duquesne Light Company (DLC) which summarized the discussions which took place in a meeting with NRC staff on September 26, 1984. This meeting was held to discuss two of the Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2 (BVPS-2) Generic Letter 84-08 issues ("Probable Maximum Precipitation" and "Cable Spreading Room Fire Protection"). DLC is providing the following information in addition to that provided in the Licensing Division summary in an effort to more completely record the events of that meeting.

- ° A September 19, 1984, letter from G. W. Knighton to E. J. Woolever requested the meeting and provided the agenda to discuss the two Generic Letter 84-08 issues.
- ° The NRC Chairperson for the meeting stated that the meeting was an "informal appeal meeting."
- ° DLC questioned the purpose of the meeting since the agenda seemed to indicate a Generic Letter 84-08 meeting was to take place. DLC pointed out that Generic Letter 84-08 requires various preparatory steps by NRR prior to having a meeting. In addition, DLC stated that they did not wish to sacrifice those preparatory steps if NRR intended to take credit for this "informal appeal" as a meeting under Generic Letter 84-08.
- ° The Chairperson expressed a lack of detailed familiarity with Generic Letter 84-08 and some surprise about the purpose stated in the agenda. He could not comment on whether the meeting was taking place under the guidance of Generic Letter 84-08 or whether NRR intended to accomplish the necessary preparatory steps outlined by the generic letter.


8411280188 841120
PDR ADOCK 05000412
F PDR

3002
11

- ° DLC questioned a statement attributed to the Deputy Director of NRR in a recent "Inside NRC" article which indicated that DLC had participated in meetings for eight backfits during the summer. DLC stated that it was unaware of any such meetings.
- ° The Chairperson expressed a lack of knowledge about the article. He suggested that a "practice" appeal meeting be held because both parties had obvious differing opinions regarding purpose of the meeting and neither party was prepared for what the other believed the purpose to be. He proceeded to explain the rules of an informal appeal meeting.
- ° The technical issues were discussed much as described in the NRR meeting summary with few significant deviations. With regard to the PMP issue, DLC questioned the significance of the "safety issue" if NRR is not applying it to operating sites, especially those where operating units share a site with a construction unit and only the construction unit is asked to analyze. NRR responded in part by questioning whether DLC desired to have the requirement imposed on its operating unit as well.
- ° The meeting closed much as described in the NRR meeting summary.

DLC requests that this description, which provides further details of the meeting, be placed in the record along with the NRR meeting summary for future reference. Additional comments by NRR are welcome.

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY

By 
E. J. Woolever
Vice President

GLB/wjs

cc: Mr. G. W. Knighton, Chief
Mr. B. K. Singh, Project Manager
Mr. G. Walton, NRC Resident Inspector
Mr. D. G. Eisenhut, Director
Mr. T. Novak, Assistant Director