


GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

CLAMP INDUCED STRESS ON HOPE CREEK  
MAIN STEAM PIPING  
LINES C AND D


JULY 27, 1984

DESIGN MEMO #170-109


PREPARED BY:

  
M. A. Zaloznyak, Engineer  
Plant Piping Analysis I

REVIEWED BY:

  
C. A. Farrell, Engineer  
Plant Piping Analysis I

APPROVED BY:

  
J. L. Thompson, Manager  
Plant Piping Analysis I

DRF #B21-00397-1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	BACKGROUND
2.0	PURPOSE
3.0	DISCUSSION
3.1	Primary Membrane
3.2	Primary Membrane Plus Bending
3.3	Stresses Due to Bolt Preload
3.4	Clamp Design Criteria
3.5	Bolt Loosening Protection
3.6	Stress Due to Constraint of Expansion from Internal Pressure
3.7	Stress Due to Constraint of Differential Thermal Expansion
3.8	Fatigue Usage
3.9	Clamps on Elbows
Appendix A	Main Steam Line C Results
A-1	Node Diagram with Clamp Locations
A-2	Clamp Assembly Properties
A-3	Load Combinations
A-4	Clamp Induced Stress, Preload Stress and Fatigue
A-5	Highest Clamp Induced Stress Intensities
Appendix B	Main Steam Line D Results
B-1	Node Diagram with Clamp Locations
B-2	Clamp Assembly Properties
B-3	Load Combinations
B-4	Clamp Induced Stress, Preload Stress and Fatigue
B-5	Highest Clamp Induced Stress Intensities
Appendix C	NRC Information Notice 83-80

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

ASME III requires that the effects of attachment in producing thermal stresses, stress concentration and restraints on pressure retaining members shall be taken into account in checking for compliance with stress criteria. (NB-3645)

Attachments to piping are generally categorized as integral attachments and non-integral attachments. Lugs and stanchions welded to the pipe wall are examples of integral attachments. Clamps used for attaching hangers and snubbers to the pipe by bolting are non-integral attachments.

The design reports prepared by General Electric specifically address local stresses at integral attachments (lugs) if the loads on the lug are significant. Rules for evaluating local stress at lugs have been defined by ASME Code cases N122 and N318. GE computer programs evaluate local stress at lugs in a manner consistent with these Code cases.

In November of 1983, the Nuclear Regulatory Committee issued IE Information Notice 83-80: Use of Specialized "Stiff" Pipe Clamps, (Appendix C). The information notice identified three concerns with stiff pipe clamps: excessive bolt preload induced stresses in the pipe, small clamp contact bearing areas that could induce local overstress and the effect of clamp on elbow stress indices. Although no response was required from the notice, the issue was raised in question 210.53 of the Hope Creek final safety analysis report. The response to the question committed to evaluate the effect of stiff clamps on the piping.

## 2.0 PURPOSE

This analysis evaluates the stresses induced by E-System clamps attached to the main steam piping in General Electric's scope of supply.

## 3.0 DISCUSSION

The Code does not have rules for the evaluation of non-integral attachments; however, methods consistent with the intent of the Code have been developed to address the concerns of Information Notice 83-80 and the Code.

### 3.1 Primary Membrane Stresses

The existence of a pipe clamp will not affect the calculation for minimum wall, in fact, membrane stresses in the circumferential direction due to pressure will be less in the vicinity of the clamp than in the areas away from the clamp. The primary membrane stress is less than that of straight pipe due to clamp reinforcement of effective thickness.

### 3.2 Primary Membrane Plus Primary Bending Stresses

Equation 9 is aimed at preventing collapse of the piping system due to loads that produce primary stresses. Collapse is prevented by keeping the stresses due to pressure, dead weight, and inertia effects of dynamic loads to less than prescribed values. The existence of clamps on piping systems do not adversely affect the moment carrying capability nor do they reduce the ability of the piping system to resist collapse under combined loadings that produce primary stresses.

The only concern is the loading transmitted from the snubber through the clamp pad to the pipe. This bearing load will result in local stress in the pipe wall. These stresses are conservatively calculated using the Indice method and added to the membrane and overall bending stresses computed by equation 9 of the Code.

### 3.3 Stresses Due to Preload

When the clamp is initially installed on the piping system and the bolts are tightened, the preload will produce stress in the pipe wall. The stress produced by preload is applied one time and produces a stress of only one quarter cycle. Stresses of this type need not be included in the stress evaluations required by NB-3600. Although bolt preloads are not addressed under the Code, bolt preloads could result in damage to a pipe if a clamp was poorly designed. Calculations have been made to ensure that bolt preloads could not result in local plastic deformation of the piping.

### 3.4 Clamp Design Criteria

The stiff type clamps were designed to provide a high strength attachment for the pipe which would not slip and would fit on the smallest practical length of pipe. Clamp design of the strap type are too wide to fit in many locations and require lugs to hold them in position. The stiffness of a compact high strength clamp is inherently greater than that of a strap type. General Electric specifications require that all clamps be significantly stiffer than the snubber attached to it. The stiffness requirement does not govern the design of stiff type clamps.

### 3.5 Protection from Loosening

In order for the clamp to hold its position during vibratory loads, it must grip the pipe with enough force to prevent sliding. The two mechanisms for clamp loosening are loss of tension in the bolt due to nut backing off and bolt stress relaxation. To prevent backing off of the nuts, all bolts have double nuts. The bolt material selected for the clamp is an A490 type commonly used for flange bolts. This material was selected because at the temperatures of concern, it is resistant to relaxation.

### 3.6 Stress Due to Constraint of Expansion from Internal Pressure

Clamp induced stresses caused by the constraint of pipe expansion due to internal pressure have been added to other operating secondary and peak stresses by calculating special  $C_1$  and  $K_1$  indices for the clamp.

### 3.7 Stress Due to Constraint of Differential Thermal Expansion :

Clamp induced stresses due to differential temperatures and material expansion coefficients have been accounted for by computing special  $C_3$  and  $K_3$  indices for the clamp. The stresses have been added to other operating secondary and peak stresses.

### 3.8 Fatigue Usage

The fatigue usage at each clamp location has been conservatively computed taking into consideration clamp induced stresses from pressure, temperature and snubber loadings. The clamp induced stresses were added to the stresses computed for each load set using equation 10 and 11 of NB-3650. Cumulative fatigue usage was computed by the rules of the Code.

### 3.9 Clamps on Elbows

Some clamps are located on or near the ends of elbows because of lack of space. Clamp loadings on elbows due to snubbers, internal pressure and differential expansion are similar to or less than those on straight pipe. The major difference between a clamp on straight pipe and on an elbow is the coupling between the pipe bending and the clamp loads due to elbow ovalization. The clamp tends to resist ovalization by stiffening the pipe wall. This local stiffening results in three effects: a slight stiffening of the elbow in bending, a slight reduction in overall elbow bending stress and a local stress concentration at the clamp pad. The first two effects are small and can be neglected in a stress analysis. The local stress concentration at the clamp pad is caused by the pad preventing the local region of elbow under it from assuming the ovalization curvature. This local resistance to curvature causes the stress concentration by crimping the pipe wall. Bending indices  $C_2$  and  $K_2$  for elbows with clamps have been calculated to account for this secondary stress concentration effect.



HOPE CREEK  
MAIN STEAM C

STIFF CLAMP LOCATIONS  
ARE CIRCLED




FIGURE A-1 NODE DIAGRAM FOR MAIN STEAM LINE C

### Clamp Assembly Properties

[illegible]

1 - E-Systems Snubber and Accessories Installation Drawing 152943, Rev. B

Table A-2

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK NS C

MAIN STEAM C

THE LOADING COMBINATION USED FOR THE ANALYSIS == 1== ARE AS FOLLOW

DESIGN 1	PD + WT1	+ CSEI		
LEVEL D 1	PP + WT1	+ SORT((CSEI )==2	+ ( TSV )==2	)
LEVEL D 2	PP + WT1	+ SORT((CSEI )==2	+ ( RV1 )==2	)
LEVEL C 1	PP + WT1	+ RV1		
LEVEL D 1	PP + WT1	+ SORT((SSEI )==2	+ ( TSV )==2	)
LEVEL D 2	PP + WT1	+ SORT((SSEI )==2	+ ( RV1 )==2	)
LEVEL D 3	PP + WT1	+ SORT((API )==2	+ ( SSEI )==2	)

\*\*\*NOTE\*\*\* ALL UNITS ARE IN POUNDS, INCHES EXCEPT NOTED

\*\*\*NOTE\*\*\* IF NO USER INPUT PRESSURE FOR EACH LOAD COMBINATION, PEAK PRESSURE WILL BE USED FOR LEVEL B,C AND D

TABLE A-3  
LOAD COMBINATIONS



GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK MS C

MAIN STEAM C

NEAR NODE 014.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.156 I= 6986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.80 C1= 1.49 C2= 1.00 C3= 1.85 C3'= 0.80  
B2 = 1.00 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNG 014. SSC2 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMB. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7019.	1046.	9520.	26550.	0.358
LEVL B	1	7505.	1215.	12609.	31860.	0.396
LEVL B	2	7805.	1081.	10656.	31860.	0.334
LEVL C	1	7505.	395.	9372.	39825.	0.235
LEVL D	1	7505.	1215.	12649.	53100.	0.242
LEVL D	2	7505.	1081.	11078.	53100.	0.209
LEVL D	3	7505.	1969.	16400.	53100.	0.347

B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10)	1	5	55322.	53100.	
C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12)	1	13	2836.	53100.	0.053
D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13; 10 13)			30622.	53100.	0.577
E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS			7277.	27052.	0.269.
F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR			0.129	1.0	0.129

Table A-4.1

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK NS C

MAIN STEAM C

NEAR NODE 017.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.158 I= 6986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.49 C2= 1.00 C3= 1.85 C3'= 0.50

B2 = 1.00 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNG 017. SSG1 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMP. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	TORSION STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7018.		2029.	15836.	26550.	0.596
LEVEL B	1	7505.		2052.	16913.	31860.	0.531
LEVEL B	2	7505.		2075.	16763.	31860.	0.526
LEVEL C	1	7505.		596.	10445.	39625.	0.262
LEVEL D	1	7505.		2052.	16913.	53100.	0.319
LEVEL D	2	7505.		2075.	16763.	53100.	0.316
LEVEL D	3	7505.		2337.	18445.	53100.	0.347

## B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 B

65414.

## C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12

3493.

0.066

## D. PRIMA PLUS SECD EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13

31803.

0.596

## E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

7277.

0.269

## F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.160

1.0

0.160

Table A-4.2

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK NS C

MAIN STEAM C

NEAR NODE 022.

GD= 28,000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.188 I= 8986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.80 C1= 1.35 C2= 1.00 C3= 1.62 C3'= 0.80

B2 = 1.00 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNG 022. SSC7 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMP. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7016.	1811.	10512.	28550.	0.366
LEVEL B	1	7503.	1866.	12523.	31860.	0.393
LEVEL B	2	7508.	1877.	12634.	31860.	0.397
LEVEL C	1	7508.	738.	11025.	39825.	0.277
LEVEL D	1	7508.	1866.	12946.	53100.	0.244
LEVEL D	2	7508.	1877.	13044.	53100.	0.246
LEVEL D	3	7508.	2197.	18419.	53100.	0.347

## B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 3

53247. 53100.

## C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12

3530. 53100.

## D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13

29530. 53100.

## E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

5890. 27052.

## F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.108 1.0 0.108

Table A-4.3

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK HS C

MAIN STEAM C

NEAR NODE 031.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.156 I= 6986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.51 C2= 1.50 C3= 1.85 C3'= 0.50  
B2 = 1.01 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNB 031. SSC4 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMB. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7010.	2028.	18747.	26550.	0.706
LEVL B	1	7505.	2123.	19735.	31860.	0.619
LEVL B	2	7505.	2170.	20285.	31860.	0.637
LEVL C	1	7505.	1107.	12907.	39825.	0.324
LEVL D	1	7505.	2123.	19735.	53100.	0.372
LEVL D	2	7505.	2170.	20285.	53100.	0.382
LEVL D	3	7505.	2372.	20993.	53100.	0.395
B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 5				71444.	53100.	
C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12				5839.	53100.	0.110
D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TN EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13				32851.	53100.	0.619
E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS				7277.	27052.	0.269
F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR				0.327	1.0	0.327

Table A-4.4

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK MS C

BRANCH CONNECTIONS

NEAR NODE 037.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.156 I= 8986.7 Z= 837.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.37 C2= 1.50 C3= 1.62 C3'= 0.50

B2 = 1.01 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SHS 037. SSC8 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMP. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7016.	1102.	13550.	26550.	0.510
LEVEL B	1	7505.	1567.	17296.	31860.	0.543
LEVEL B	2	7505.	1286.	14955.	31860.	0.469
LEVEL C	1	7505.	893.	11110.	39625.	0.279
LEVEL D	1	7505.	1567.	17377.	53100.	0.327
LEVEL D	2	7505.	1286.	15060.	53100.	0.284
LEVEL D	3	7505.	1436.	16680.	53100.	0.314

B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 5

66583. 53100.

C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12

12965. 53100. 0.244

D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXG TH EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13

29621. 53100. 0.558

E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

5890. 27052. 0.218

F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.195 1.0 0.195

Table A-4.5



Highest Clamp Induced Stress Intensities  
Hope Creek Main Steam Line C

Item Evaluated (1)	Highest Calculated Usage/Factor (psi)	Allowable Limits	Ratio Actual Allowed	Governing Load (2) Comb. No.	Identification of Location of Highest Stress Points
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 1.5Sm Design Condition	18747	26550	0.706	1	SSC4, Header
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 1.8Sm & 1.5Sy Service Level B	20285	31860	0.637	2	SSC4, Header
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 2.25Sm & 1.8Sy Service Level C	12907	39825	0.324	1	SSC4, Header
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 3.0Sm Service Level D	20993	53100	0.395	3	SSC4, Header
Primary plus Secondary Eq. 10 < 3.0Sm	71444	53100	1.345 <sup>(3)</sup>	-	SSC4, Header
Secondary Stresses Eq. 12 < 3.0Sm	12965	53100	0.244	-	SSC8, Header
Primary plus Secondary Stress without Thermal Expansion Eq. 13 < 3.0Sm	32851	53100	0.619	-	SSC4, Header
Cumulative Usage Factor U < 1.0	0.327	1.0	0.327	-	SSC4, Header

(1) All equations used are from ASME B&PV Code, Sec. III - NB-3650.

(2) See Table A-3

Table A-5

(3) Eqn. 10 triggers fatigue usage calculation using low cycle fatigue method. Since fatigue usage is within allowable, the higher ratio is acceptable.



[illegible]

1 - E-Systems Snubber and Accessories Installation Dwg. 152943, Rev. B

Table B-2

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK HS D

MAIN STEAM D

THE LOADING COMBINATION USED FOR THE ANALYSIS IS AS FOLLOWS

DESIGN 1	PD + WT1	+ OBEI	
LEVEL B 1	PP + WT1	+ SQR((OBEI )**2	+ ( TSV )**2 )
LEVEL B 2	PP + WT1	+ SQR((OBEI )**2	+ ( RV1 )**2 )
LEVEL C 1	PP + WT1	+ RV1	
LEVEL D 1	PP + WT1	+ SQR((SSEI )**2	+ ( TSV )**2 )
LEVEL D 2	PP + WT1	+ SQR((SSEI )**2	+ ( RV1 )**2 )
LEVEL D 3	PP + WT1	+ SQR((API )**2	+ ( SSEI )**2 )

\*\*\*NOTE\*\*\* ALL UNITS ARE IN POUNDS, INCHES EXCEPT NOTED

\*\*\*NOTE\*\*\* IF NO USER INPUT PRESSURE FOR EACH LOAD COMBINATION, PEAK PRESSURE WILL BE USED FOR LEVEL B,C AND D

TABLE B-3  
LOAD COMBINATIONS

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK NB D

MAIN STEAM D

NEAR NODE 017.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 Y= 1.158 I= 9986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.49 C2= 1.00 C3= 1.85 C3'= 0.50

B2 = 1.00 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNG 017. 3302 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMP. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7018.	582.	9523.	26550.	0.359
LEVEL B	1	7508.	605.	10440.	31860.	0.328
LEVEL B	2	7508.	743.	10474.	31860.	0.329
LEVEL C	1	7508.	548.	9117.	39825.	0.229
LEVEL D	1	7508.	605.	10440.	53100.	0.197
LEVEL D	2	7508.	743.	10474.	53100.	0.197
LEVEL D	3	7508.	1116.	13393.	53100.	0.252

## B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 5

58643. 53100.

## C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12

5454. 53100. 0.103

## D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13

30126. 53100. 0.567

## E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

7277. 27052. 0.269

## F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.151 1.0 0.151

Table B-4.1



OD= 26.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.138 I= 6666.7 Z= 537.4  
 B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.49 C2= 1.00 C3= 1.85 C3'= 0.50  
 B2 = 1.00 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG AND 019. 3301 ARE INCLUDED

A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMP. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7016.	595.	9578.	28550.	0.361
LEVEL B	1	7505.	542.	10211.	31860.	0.320
LEVEL B	2	7505.	502.	10494.	31860.	0.329
LEVEL C	1	7505.	581.	9043.	39625.	0.227
LEVEL D	1	7505.	542.	10356.	53100.	0.195
LEVEL D	2	7505.	502.	10631.	53100.	0.200
LEVEL D	3	7505.	1142.	13139.	53100.	0.247

B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 5

C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 1 12

D. PRIMA PLUS SECD EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13)10 13

E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

57594.	53100.	0.108
5709.	53100.	0.568
30169.	53100.	0.269
7277.	27052.	
0.148	1.0	0.148

Table B-4.2

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK MS D

MAIN STEAM D

NEAR NOOE 027.

OD= 28.000 ID= 23.684 T= 1.108 L= 6986.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.52 C2= 1.50 C3= 1.00 C3'= 0.50

B2 = 1.01 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SNG 027. SSGS ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	CONC. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7016.	777.	9447.	26550.	0.356
LEVEL B	1	7505.	1104.	11289.	31860.	0.354
LEVEL B	2	7505.	1032.	12313.	31860.	0.386
LEVEL C	1	7505.	917.	11618.	39525.	0.297
LEVEL D	1	7505.	1124.	11344.	53100.	0.214
LEVEL D	2	7505.	1056.	12362.	53100.	0.233
LEVEL D	3	7505.	1311.	13377.	53100.	0.252

## B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAY 10) 1 8

62434. 53100.

## C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 15 35

6915. 53100.

## D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TH EXP (EQUAY 13) 10 13

31126. 53100.

## E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

7277. 27052.

## F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.175. 1.0

Table B-4.3

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
BOILING WATER REACTOR SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

SPEC NO. 23A

REV. NO. 0

HOPE CREEK MS D

MAIN STEAM D

NEAR NODE 029.

OD= 26.000 ID= 23.884 T= 1.188 I= 8966.7 Z= 537.4

B1 = 0.50 C1= 1.52 C2= 1.50 C3= 1.05 C3'= 0.50  
B2 = 1.01 K1= 1.00 K2= 1.00 K3= 1.00

STRESS DUE TO LUG SHB 029. 8804 ARE INCLUDED

## A. PRIMARY STRESSES (EQUATION 9)

SERVICE LEVEL	COMB. NO.	PRESSURE STRESS	BENDING AND TORSION STRESS	TOTAL STRESS	ALLOWABLE STRESS	STRESS RATIO
DESIGN	1	7016.	778.	8647.	26550.	0.326
LEVL B	1	7505.	1086.	9677.	31860.	0.304
LEVL B	2	7505.	994.	11579.	31860.	0.363
LEVL C	1	7505.	870.	11334.	39825.	0.285
LEVL D	1	7505.	1106.	9787.	53100.	0.184
LEVL D	2	7505.	1020.	11637.	53100.	0.219
LEVL D	3	7505.	1290.	11914.	53100.	0.224

B. PRIMARY PLUS SECONDARY (EQUAT 10) 1 5

60612. 53100.

C. SECONDARY STRESS RANGE (EQUATION 12) 13 38

7264. 53100. 0.137

D. PRIMA PLUS SECO EXC TH EXP (EQUAT 13) 10 13

31127. 53100. 0.586

E. CLAMP PRE-LOAD STRESS

7277. 27052. 0.269

F. CUMULATIVE USAGE FACTOR

0.165 1.0 0.165

Table B-4.4

Highest Clamp Induced Stress Intensities  
Hope Creek Main Steam Line D

Item Evaluated (1)	Highest Calculated Usage/Factor (psi)	Allowable Limits	Ratio Actual Allowed	Governing Load (2) Comb. No.	Identification of Location of Highest Stress Points
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 1.5Sm Design Condition	9578	26550	0.361	1	SSD1, Riser
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 1.8Sm & 1.5Sy Service Level B	12313	31860	0.386	2	SSD3, Header
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 2.25Sm & 1.8Sy Service Level C	11818	39825	0.297	1	SSD3, Header
Primary Stress Eq. 9 < 3.0Sm Service Level D	13393	53100	0.252	3	SSD2, Riser
Primary plus Secondary Eq. 10 < 3.0Sm	62434	53100	(3) 1.176	-	SSD3, Header
Secondary Stresses Eq. 12 < 3.0Sm	7284	53100	0.137	-	SSD4, Header
Primary plus Secondary Stress without Thermal Expansion Eq. 13 < 3.0Sm	31127	53100	0.586	-	SSD4, Header
Cumulative Usage Factor U < 1.0	0.175	1.0	0.175	-	SSD3, Header

(1) All equations used are from ASME B&PV Code, Sec. III - NB-3650.

(2) See Table B-3

Table B-5

(3) Eqn. 10 triggers fatigue usage calculation using low cycle fatigue method. Since fatigue usage is within allowable, the higher ratio is acceptable.