

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

PROVISIONAL OPERATING  
LICENSE NO. DPR-16

---

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION  
CHANGE REQUEST NO. 69, REVISION 1  
DOCKET NO. 50-219

---

Applicant submits by this Technical Specification Change Request No. 69, Revision 1, to the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Technical Specifications, the Radiological Effluents Technical Specifications.

By: *Peter B. Fiedler*  
Peter B. Fiedler  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this 22 day of October, 1984.

*Diana A. Mallett*  
Notary Public of New Jersey  
DIANA A. MALDET  
A Notary Public of New Jersey  
My Commission Expires June 5, 1986

8410310194 841022  
PDR ADOCK 05000219  
P PDR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF )  
GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION )


DOCKET NO. 50-219

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of Technical Specification Change Request No. 69, Revision 1, for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Technical Specifications filed with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 22, 1984, has this day of October 22, 1984, been served on the Mayor of Lacey Township, Ocean County, New Jersey by deposit in the United States mail, addressed as follows:


Mayor of Lacey Township  
818 West Lacey Road  
Forked River, NJ 08731

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Peter B. Fiedler  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek

DATED: October 22, 1984

PBF:dam



**GPU Nuclear Corporation**  
Post Office Box 388  
Route 9 South  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388  
609 971-4000  
Writer's Direct Dial Number:

October 22, 1984

Mayor of Lacey Township  
818 West Lacey Road  
Forked River, NJ 08731

Dear Mayor:

Enclosed is one copy of Technical Specification Change Request No. 69, Revision 1 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Operating License.

This document was filed with the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 22, 1984.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "P. B. Fiedler", written over the typed name.

P. B. Fiedler  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek

PBF/dam  
0764A  
Enclosure

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION  
PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16  
DOCKET NO. 50-219  
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 69 Revision 1

Applicant hereby requests the Commission to change Appendix A to the above captioned license as follows:

1. Sections to be changed:

Table of Contents, 1.19, 1.30 through 1.36, Table 3.1.1, sections: 3.6, 3.14, 3.15, table 4.1.1, table 4.1.2, sections: 4.6, 4.14, 4.15, 4.16, 6.5.3, 6.6, 6.8, 6.9, 6.10, 6.17, 6.18 and 6.19.

2. Extent of changes:

To incorporate the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendix I.

3. Discussion:

On June 1, 1979, Jersey Central Power and Light Company (JCP&L) submitted Technical Specification Change Request No. 69 to incorporate the requirements of 10 CFR 20, 10 CFR 50.34a, 10 CFR 50.36a, 10 CFR 50, and 40 CFR 190. This submittal was discussed in a meeting with the NRC staff on September 13, 1979 and it was agreed that revisions were required.

By letter dated February 15, 1980, JCP&L submitted Technical Specification Change Request No. 79 which incorporated the 10 CFR 50 Appendix I design objectives for gaseous effluent releases. This submittal was issued as Amendment 49 to the Oyster Creek Technical Specifications and was designed to be a temporary change, to be replaced after the complete Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS) are issued for Oyster Creek and the Augmented Offgas System.

On February 3-4, 1982, the NRC met with GPU Nuclear to discuss the Oyster Creek RETS submittal. This meeting resulted in additional NRC questions which were responded to by GPUN letters dated March 30, 1982 and August 20, 1982.

The attached proposed RETS is a cumulation of the discussions and submittals between the NRC and GPUN over the last few years. This submittal meets the intent of 10 CFR 50 Appendix I, 10 CFR 50.34A and 10 CFR 50.36a.

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION  
PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16  
DOCKET NO. 50-219  
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST NO. 69 Revision 1

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91, an analysis concerning significant hazards considerations is provided below:

1. Sections to be changed:

Table of Contents, 1.19, 1.30 through 1.36, Table 3.1.1, sections: 3.6, 3.14, 3.15, table 4.1.1, table 4.1.2, sections: 4.6, 4.14, 4.15, 4.16, 6.5.3, 6.6, 6.8, 6.9, 6.10, 6.17, 6.18 and 6.19.

2. Extent of changes:

To incorporate the requirements of 10 CFR 50 Appendix I.

3. Discussion:

On June 1, 1979, Jersey Central Power and Light Company (JCP&L) submitted Technical Specification Change Request No. 69 to incorporate the requirements of 10 CFR 20, 10 CFR 50.34a, 10 CFR 50.36a, 10 CFR 50, and 40 CFR 190. This submittal was discussed in a meeting with the NRC staff on September 13, 1979 and it was agreed that revisions were required.

By letter dated February 15, 1980, JCP&L submitted Technical Specification Change Request No. 79 which incorporated the 10 CFR 50 Appendix I design objectives for gaseous effluent releases. This submittal was issued as Amendment 49 to the Oyster Creek Technical Specifications and was designed to be a temporary change, to be replaced after the complete Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS) are issued for Oyster Creek and the Augmented Offgas System.

On February 3-4, 1982, the NRC met with GPU Nuclear to discuss the Oyster Creek RETS submittal. This meeting resulted in additional NRC questions which were responded to by GPUN letters dated March 30, 1982 and August 20, 1982.

The attached proposed RETS is a cumulation of the discussions and submittals between the NRC and GPUN over the last few years. This submittal meets the intent of 10 CFR 50 Appendix I, 10 CFR 50.34A and 10 CFR 50.36a.

We have determined that this change request involves no significant hazards considerations in that operation of the Oyster Creek Plant in accordance with the proposed amendment will not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
2. Create the probability of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or
3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.