

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)  
Turkey Point Unit 3DOCKET NUMBER (2)  
0 5 0 0 0 2 5 0PAGE (3)  
1 OF 0 1TITLE (4)  
Technical Specification - Surveillance Requirements

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)															
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)													
0	9	0	2	8	4	8	4	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	4	8	4	N/A	0	5	0	0	0
0	9	0	2	8	4	8	4	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	4	8	4	N/A	0	5	0	0	0

OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11)									
POWER LEVEL (10)	1 0 0	20.402(b)	20.405(c)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)						
		20.405(a)(1)(i)	50.36(c)(1)	50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)						
		20.405(a)(1)(ii)	50.36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)						
		20.405(a)(1)(iii)	X 50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)							
		20.405(a)(1)(iv)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)							
		20.405(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)							

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  
NAME  
Randall D. Hart, Licensing EngineerTELEPHONE NUMBER  
AREA CODE  
3 0 5 2 4 5 - 2 9 1 0

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)									
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)  
☐ YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ☒ NOEXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)  
MONTH DAY YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces; i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On September 4, 1984, while Unit 3 was at 100% power, it was discovered that the biweekly test for Tave and Delta-T was not performed as required by Technical Specification (TS) 4.1, Table 4.1-1, Item 4. The root cause was an oversight on the part of the plant personnel in that the surveillance test was not completed within the allowed TS time interval (14 days +25%). The previous biweekly test had been performed on August 16, 1984. The next test should have been conducted on August 30, 1984, with a three day grace period, making the test overdue after September 2, 1984. Immediate corrective actions taken were the following:

- 1) The surveillance test was completed upon discovery and the results indicated that all channels tested were found to be within the tolerance allowed by TS.
- 2) The method of scheduling certain TS surveillances will be changed to require their completion on a specific day of the week. Item 4 in Table 4.1-1 of TS 4.1 will be included in the revised schedule.
- 3) The Quality Control (QC) program to track TS surveillances has been enhanced. The enhancement is that if QC is not notified that a required TS surveillance test has been completed by the end of the time period specified in TS, QC will notify the individual responsible, in writing, stating that this test must be completed before the end of the grace period.
- 4) Supervisory discussions were held with the personnel involved on the need to perform TS related surveillances in the required time interval and understanding the significance of their actions.

The health and safety of the public were not affected. Similar occurrences: LER 251-82-009 and LER 251-79-012.

B410160110 B41004  
PDR ADOCK 05000250  
S PDR

IE 2211



October 4, 1984  
PNS-LI-84-351

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Re: Reportable Event 84-24  
Turkey Point Unit 3  
Date of Event: September 2, 1984  
Technical Specification-Surveillance Requirement

The attached Licensee Event Report is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR to provide notification of the subject event.

Very truly yours,

*J. W. Williams, Jr.*  
for J. W. Williams, Jr.  
Group Vice President  
Nuclear Energy

JWW/PLP/js

Attachment

cc: J. P. O'Reilly, Region II, USNRC  
Harold F. Reis, Esquire  
File 933.1

IE 22  
11