




KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

GLENN L. KOESTER  
VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

June 28, 1984

Mr. E.H. Johnson, Acting Chief  
Reactor Project Branch 2  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region IV  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, Texas 76011



KMLNRC 84-100

Re: Docket No. STN 50-482

Ref: 1) Letter KMLNRC 83-030 dated 3/18/83 from  
GLKoester, KG&E, to WCSeidle, NRC  
2) Letter KMLNRC 83-092 dated 7/15/83 from  
GLKoester, KG&E, to WCSeidle, NRC  
3) Letter SLNRC 84-049 dated 3/23/84 from  
SJSeiken, SNUPPS, to JTCollins, NRC

Subj: Withdrawal of Potential 10CFR50.55(e) -  
Ray Miller

Dear Mr. Johnson:

On February 18, 1983, Kansas Gas and Electric Company (KG&E) reported a potential 10CFR50.55(e) matter concerning material supplied to the Wolf Creek Generating Station by the Ray Miller Corporation. Additional information was subsequently provided in the References.

The specific problems associated with material supplied by the Ray Miller Corporation were identified in I&E Information Notice 83-01 and Bulletin 83-07. As a result of these notifications a review was conducted of SNUPPS procurement records from January 1, 1974 through December 31, 1979. The results of this investigation were described in Reference 3). Concurrent with the generic (Wolf Creek and Callaway) SNUPPS effort, a review of site specific procurements for Wolf Creek Generating Station was also conducted by KG&E. This investigation addressed the same time period as the SNUPPS review. During the review of site specific procurement documentation, KG&E determined that some fittings had been ordered from Ray Miller Corporation. However, all of the fittings identified were ordered on non-safety related purchase orders for non-safety related applications. The documentation review did not identify any site specific procurement of safety-related materials from Ray Miller. As documented in Reference 1), the review did identify five non-safety related flanges that were received with an approved safety related heat number. Two of the flanges had been installed and the other three were found in the non-

8407090023 830628  
PDR ADOCK 05000482  
S PDR

IE-27

Mr. E.H. Johnson  
KMLNRC 84-100


-2-

June 28, 1984

safety related material storage area. Although there was no indication that the two flanges were used in a safety-related application, KG&E had independent destructive testing performed on the three flanges since the heat number is one method of identifying safety-related fittings. The results attached indicate that the flanges met or exceeded safety-related material requirements.

As a result of the aforementioned activities, KG&E has concluded that this matter is not reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.55(e). Please contact me or Mr. Otto Maynard of my staff if you have any questions concerning this subject.

Yours very truly,



Glenn L. Koester  
Vice President - Nuclear

GLK:bb  
Attach

xc: PO'Connor, w/a  
HBundy, w/a  
RCDeYoung, w/a

MATERIAL EXAMINATION AND TEST RESULTS

Description of Material Examined and Tested:

2-inch schedule 40, Class 150, Socket-Welded Flange

Key Procurement Specifications:

Grade F304 Meeting ASTM-A-182

Ray Miller Branch Office:

Skokie, Illinois

Quantity of Material in Stock:

5 (Five)

Quantity of Material Examined and Tested:

3 (Three)

Tests and Examinations Performed:

Dimensional Tests, Physical Properties, and Chemical

Test Results:

Test results indicate that all three flanges tested meet or exceed the requirements for 2-inch, schedule 40, Class 150, socket-welded flanges and the requirements for Grade F304