



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Region IV 1375 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309

March 23, 1984

'84 MAY 24 A11:45

Mr. Joshua P. Moore, Director
Emergency Preparedness Division
1429 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201

DOCKETED
USNRC
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH
I-EP-37 5/9/84
DOCKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC 50-413/414 OL

Dear Mr. Moore:


Enclosed for your review are the Regional Assistance Committee's (RAC's) informal evaluation comments on the Off-Site Radiological Emergency Response Plans (January 1984 revision) for the Catawba Nuclear Station. These comments are submitted to your office for appropriate action.

In view of the Catawba Hearings, which we understand will be held next month, it is urged that these changes be submitted to this office by April 13, 1984. On Wednesday, April 4, 1984, a member of our staff plans to meet with one of your staff to go over recommended plan changes and, possibly, other items related to the Catawba Hearings.

The evaluation comments are the result of the RAC meeting held on March 21, 1984, and represent concurring opinions of all RAC representatives (i.e., FDA, EPA, DOT, DOC, NRC and FEMA).

Should you have questions or need clarification on any of the items, please contact John Heard at 404/881-7079.

Sincerely,


Glenn C. Woodard, Jr., Chief
Natural and Technological
Hazards Division
Chairman, RAC IV

Enclosure

8406220371 840509
PDR ADDCK 05000413
PDR

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
Docket No.	50-413/414
In the matter of	Duke, Catawba - 1983
Staff	IDENTIFIED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Applicant	RECEIVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Intervenor	RECEIVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Conf. Off.	RECEIVED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Contractor	DATE 5/9/84
Other	WITNESS
Reporter	M. Meltzer

CATAWBA PLAN (JANUARY 1984 REVISION) REVIEW
by RAC, March 21, 1984

(SOUTH CAROLINA)

NUREG ITEM	RAC COMMENT	S.C. RESPONSE
A.3.	Private sector organizations with responsibilities assigned in plan should have letters of agreement in the plan. These l.o.a.'s should meet NUREG-0654 criteria.	
G.4.c.	Rumor control arrangements are not discussed in plan. A narrative discussion is needed giving specific responsibilities for rumor control and describing coordination arrangements.	
H.11.	Emergency kits by general category (protection equipment, communications, etc.) are not listed in an appendix as prescribed in NUREG-0654.	
J.10.a.	Preselected radiological sampling and monitoring points for the plume exposure pathway are not on the operations map submitted.	
J.10.d.	There is no listing of special facilities (with number of occupants) for the mobility-impaired or institutionalized and no listing of resources to assist in the evacuation of this segment of the population. Plan also does not define "special facilities" and does not indicate that the County has identified the mobility-impaired.	
J.10.k.	Plan needs clarification of procedures by which the Transportation Coordinator would deal with special evacuation problems. Potential impediments to evacuation routes are not addressed. While the S.C. Comprehensive Disaster Preparedness Plan describes the authority and operations of the Forestry Commission to remove debris in the event of a Governor's Declared Disaster, the coordinating links with the local Transportation Coordinator are not described.	
K.3.a.	Plan should provide for the issuance of low-range dosimeters (e.g., CDV 138's) and permanent record devices (e.g., TLD's or film badges) to emergency workers.	