

I-EP-32
5/9/84

DOCKET NUMBER
PROD & UTIL FAC. 50-413/414 OL

T. ESTON MARCHANT
MAJOR GENERAL
THE ADJUTANT GENERAL



'84 MAY 24 AM 11:43

OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
November 16, 1983

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-413/414 Official Exh. No. 22, EP-32
In the matter of Duke, Catawba 192

Staff ☒
Applicant ☒
Intervenor ☒
Config. Officer ☒
Contractor ☒
Other ☒
Reporter M. M. M. M.

Mr. Glenn C. Woodard, Jr., Chief
Natural and Technological Hazards Division RIV
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Region IV
1375 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Dear Mr. Woodard:

A full participation emergency preparedness exercise will be conducted at the Catawba Nuclear Station near Rock Hill, South Carolina on February 15-16, 1984.

The exercise will consist of a simulated accident at Catawba which will escalate to a general emergency and involve planned response and recovery actions by North and South Carolina. During the simulated emergency, an off-site radiological release will occur and require responses by off-site personnel.

In accordance with NUREG 0654, the objectives of this exercise for North and South Carolina are as follows:

North and South Carolina

1. To test and assess the initiation and implementation of South Carolina's and North Carolina's plans and organizations with respect to a radiological emergency at the Catawba Nuclear Station.
2. To test the ability of South Carolina and North Carolina to assess the impact of a radiological emergency on the public and to carry out the required alert and notification plans of response forces and the public.

8406220363 840509
PDR ADOCK 05000413
G PDR

Emergency Preparedness Division
Rutledge Building 1429 Senate Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 758-2826

3. To test the Emergency Operations Center with respect to:
 - a. Adequacy of facilities to support operations under emergency conditions.
 - b. Interface of the organization components.
 - c. Adequacy of resource materials to assist in decision-making and in implementation decisions.
 - d. Adequacy of communications systems to maintain contact with other components of the emergency response system.
4. To test the ability of the off-site radiological monitoring program to accurately determine the public danger and institute appropriate protective actions. The following items will also be tested:
 - a. Manpower and resource activation and development.
 - b. Adequacy of radiological monitoring equipment.
 - c. Adequacy of the communications system.
 - d. Decontamination procedures.
5. To test the ability of the states to establish and direct a News Media Center for the dissemination of public information.
6. To test the ability of the state to direct and compel evacuation.
7. To test the ability of the state to institute recovery/re-entry procedures, including the protective actions needed for the Ingestion Pathway EPZ.
8. To test the state law enforcement capabilities to secure evacuated areas, direct traffic, and reduce/mitigate crime under extreme circumstances.
9. Effect rumor control.
10. To test decision-making related to emergency action levels.


York, Mecklenburg and Gaston Counties

To test and evaluate the operations of the York, Mecklenburg and Gaston Counties Emergency Operations Center. The specific components tested will include:


1. Adequacy of facilities to support operations under emergency conditions.

- 11111 1111111111 - 1111 1
2. Interface of the various organizational components.
 3. Adequacy of resource materials to assist in decision-making and carrying out protective action recommendations.
 4. Adequacy of communications systems to maintain contact with county responders and other Emergency Operations Centers.
 5. Ability of the counties to Alert and Notify the public.
 6. County radiological monitoring capabilities in support to Radiological Health Personnel and at Shelters.
 7. Emergency Welfare Service capabilities available to assist evacuees.
 8. Radiological emergency medical care available to evacuees and to utility personnel as negotiated in Letters of Agreement.
 9. County law enforcement capabilities to secure evacuated areas, direct traffic, and reduce/mitigate crime under extreme circumstances.
 10. Effect rumor control.
 11. Test county fire and medical support on-site and off-site.
 12. Test sheltering capabilities of affected and host counties in North Carolina.
 13. Test sheltering capabilities of host counties in South Carolina.

Jointly Submitted:



JOSHUA P. MOORE
Director, South Carolina
Emergency Preparedness Division



JESSE THOMAS PUGH
Director, North Carolina
Division of Emergency Management

JPM:Oc