

Date : 8-17-90, Approximately 10:00 A.M.
 Location: 2nd floor Admin Bld., Plant Vogtle,
 Large Conference Room
 Attendees: Bockhold, McCoy and GPC' personnel
 assigned to OSI white paper responses

DOCKETED
 USNRC

Exhibit 76, page 1 of 2

'95 JUL 27 P2:46

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 DOCKETING & SERVICE

McCoy: The other thing is he got into this business about there's some examples and
 (inaudible)
 hear it now or hear it there and he got one example in particular where we went 5 hours
 into a 3.0.3 without taking any action, power reducing. They ask George how long would
 you go without reducing power.

Bockhold: And George told them that depending upon the situation I might go as long as 1 hour
 before I had to shutdown. He didn't like that at all.

McCoy: He said, "Could you do a controlled shutdown?"

Bockhold: I said absolutely, no if, and's, or but's about it.

McCoy: We had lots of discussion on that.

Bockhold: I'd get power right down off the reactor and hell, trip at 20%. Manual trip.

McCoy: So anyway all of that---

Bockhold: I didn't bring up the manual trip because I think that would have ended up in non-
 conservative decision making, manual tripping---

McCoy: They're going to write this up (inaudible)

Bockhold: The uh, What set them off on this was is that one individual said "don't have to do
 anything".

McCoy: "Don't do anything for three hours."

Bockhold: For three hours. That's really got them upset, got their motor going.

McCoy: And they, and they went back and said when we started talking we tried to say we'll
 that's not true and we immediately call the Duty Manager and the Duty manager
 calls the Executive VP and he calls the President of the Company when you get into
 one of those situations if there's a threat of a shutdown, in addition to that, we notify
 the load dispatcher and all that, that's routine practice and everybody knows that and
 he said "that's just a lie" he didn't say it in those words, but he said we both
 sat there and this shift supervisor told us he'd do absolutely nothing for 3 hours.

Swartzweider: They talked to, they talked to many people.

Bockhold: The one the one--

McCoy: This is the one guy the one guy, any way so we, we uh, we drew our battle lines.

Bockhold: Next one uh, ESF reportability. There going to make this into some type of
 observation.

McCoy: (Laughing) We had that--

9508170208 950706
 PDR ADOCK 05000424
 T PDR

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 Docket No. 50-424/425-OLA-3 EXHIBIT NO. Int II-76
 In the matter of Georgia Power Co. et al., Vogtle Units 1 & 2
☐ Staff ☐ Applicant ☒ Intervenor ☐ Other
☒ Identified ☒ Received ☐ Rejected Reporter CR
 Date 07-06-95 Witness Mosbaugh

Bockhold : Yeah, That was the point we came apart.

Exhibit 76, page 2 of 2

McCoy: (Laughing)

Bockhold: We were very polite, but we were going back and forth "how can you make this thing a concern". ESF reportability. Basically we're non-conservative because we didn't follow the corporate position. Okay, which was in error and the Hatch project—

McCoy: How can you have a corporate position on one project and not on the other. We had all kinds of interesting discussion on that then we went on—

Bockhold : But this is aimed at the corporate office being non-conservative. Bottom line on that one.

McCoy: I don't know.

(Group laughter)

Bockhold : You may not agree with it but, that was back behind his mind.

(Group laughter)

McCoy : Anyway.