



Consumers
Power
Company

James W Cook
Vice President - Projects, Engineering
and Construction

General Offices: 1945 West Parnall Road, Jackson, MI 49201 • (517) 788-0453

May 25, 1984

84-03 #2

Mr J G Keppler, Regional Administrator
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region III
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
DOCKET NOS 50-329 AND 50-330
CONCRETE EXPANSION ANCHORS INSTALLED IN METAL DECKING
FILE: 0.4.9.90 SERIAL: 30296

Reference: J W Cook letter to J G Keppler, same subject:

1) Serial 28058, dated March 29, 1984

On February 28, 1984, Consumers Power Company notified your staff of a potential 10CFR50.55(e) condition involving the installation of concrete expansion anchors in metal decking.

This letter is an interim 10CFR50.55(e) report. The attachment to this letter describes the concern and summarizes the investigation and corrective action taking place.

Another report, either interim or final, will be sent on or before July 27, 1984.

James W. Cook

JWC/AHB/lr

Attachment 1: MCAR-82, Interim Report 1, dated May 8, 1984

CC: Document Control Desk, NRC
Washington, DC

BBurgess, NRC Resident Inspector
Midland Nuclear Plant

DSHood, NRC Office of NRR
Bethesda, MD

INPO Records Center

OC0584-0038A-MP01

8406050034 840525
PDR ADOCK 05000329
S PDR

JUN 1 1984

JE01

OM/OL SERVICE LIST

Mr Frank J Kelley
Attorney General of the
State of Michigan
Ms Carole Steinberg
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
720 Law Building
Lansing, MI 48913

Mr Myron M Cherry, Esq
Suite 3700
Three First National Plaza
Chicago, IL 60602

Mr Wendell H Marshall
RFD 10
Midland, MI 48640

Mr Charles Bechhoefer, Esq
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
East-West Towers, Room E-413
Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr Frederick P Cowan
6152 N Verde Trail
Apt B-125
Boca Raton, FL 33433

Mr Fred C Williams
Isham, Lincoln & Beale
1120 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 840
Washington, DC 20036

Mr James E Brunner, Esq
Consumers Power Company
212 West Michigan Avenue
Jackson, MI 49201

Atomic Safety & Licensing
Appeal Board
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mr C R Stephens (3)
Chief, Docketing & Services
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary
Washington, DC 20555

Ms Mary Sinclair
5711 Summerset Street
Midland, MI 48640

Mr William D Paton, Esq
Counsel for the NRC Staff
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Ms Barbara Stamaris
5795 North River Road
Rt 3
Freeland, MI 48623

Dr Jerry Harbour
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
East-West Towers, Room E-454
Bethesda, MD 20014

Mr M I Miller, Esq
Isham, Lincoln & Beale
Three First National Plaza
52nd Floor
Chicago, IL 60602

Mr D F Judd
Babcock & Wilcox
PO Box 1260
Lynchburg, VA 24505

Mr Steve Gadler, Esq
2120 Carter Avenue
St Paul, MN 55108

Mr P Robert Brown
Clark, Klein & Beaumont
1600 First Federal Bldg
Woodward Ave
Detroit, MI 48226

Mr John DeMeester, Esq
Dow Chemical Building
Michigan Division
Midland MI 48640

Ms Lynne Bernabei
Government Accountability Project
1901 Q Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009

3/14/84

150190

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

150318

SUBJECT: NCAR 82
Expansion Anchors Installed in Metal Decking

INTERIM REPORT 1

DATE: May 8, 1984

PROJECT: Consumers Power Company
Midland Plant Units 1 and 2
Bechtel Job 7220

Introduction

This report addresses a potential safety concern regarding use of expansion anchors installed in metal decking (reference Quality Action Report H-010 and Safety Concern Reportability Evaluation 95).

Background

In some cases where grouting under a base plate was required (i.e., in the valley portion of metal decking), torquing of expansion anchor bolts may have been performed after the grout had reached its design strength. This raised the concern that where bolt torque is applied after the grout has hardened, the bond between the grout and anchor may prevent proper setting of the anchor's wedges.

During the investigative phase of the condition described above, an additional potential area of concern was identified regarding the shear capacity of expansion anchors installed through metal decking. Design allowables used for these installations were based on manufacturer's recommended design allowables that did not address installations into metal decking. As a result, certain installation configurations may possibly experience a reduction in shear capacity because of the way the load is transferred through the grout or rib of the metal decking.

This report addresses both bonding effects and the possible reduction in shear capacity of expansion anchors installed in metal decking.

Investigative Action

1. Bond

Testing done in accordance with Specification 7220-C-308(Q), required for response to Quality Action Request H-010, did not conclusively indicate that the wedges of the expansion anchors had been properly set during installation if the bond between the anchor and grout develops. The number of anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, which would exhibit this bonding effect, is estimated to be around 500 anchors.

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

150190
MOAR 82

150318

Interim Report 1

Page 2

2. Shear

Testing has been performed to evaluate the shear capacity of expansion anchor bolts installed in metal decking in accordance with Specification 7220-C-309(Q). These tests indicated that for some installation configurations, the anchor exceeded 100% of its ultimate capacity. For other types of installation, the capacity ranged as low as 50% of the anchors' ultimate capacity. Additional shear tests are being planned. The number of anchors installed in metal decking being investigated for a reduction of design shear capacity is around 15,000 anchors.

Analysis of Safety Implication

1. Bond

If, during the installation process, the bond between the grout and the anchor precluded the anchor's wedges from setting properly, a maximum base plate displacement of up to 1/2 inch (depending on anchor size) may occur before the wedges set. This additional displacement has an indeterminate effect on the support component without additional evaluation of each specific installation type where this situation exists. Considering the small number of anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, corrective action will be taken to verify that the wedges are set properly.

2. Shear

Results from initial C-309(Q) testing showed that, for some cases, the shear capacity of expansion anchors installed in metal decking was less than the allowable design load times the current factor of safety of 4. However, no cases have indicated that the allowable design load exceeds the capacity of the anchor. The need for using the factor of safety of 4 for use on expansion anchors installed on commodities other than piping is being evaluated. In addition, the effects of displacement at the allowable load using a revised factor of safety is being evaluated. Based on information to date, the reduction in shear capacity does not indicate a safety concern.

Probable Cause

1. Bond

Regarding the bond between the grout and anchor, the probable cause is that the installation torque may not have been applied

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

MCAR 82
Interim Report 1

150318

Page 3

before the grout had cured, thus allowing a bond to develop between the anchor and the grout. This bonding effect may have prevented the wedges of the anchor from setting properly.

2. Shear

Regarding the reduced shear capacity of expansion anchors, the probable cause is the shear capacity reduction associated with the shear load transfer mechanism.

Corrective Action

1. Bond

For base plates with expansion anchors installed in the valleys of metal decking, the anchors will be properly set. Nonconformance Report A-00154 has been issued to track this activity. A plan and schedule to develop procedures for setting the anchors are to be completed by July 11, 1984.

For future installations, the sequence for installing expansion anchors will be to apply 100% of the installation torque before grouting.

In addition, based on test data obtained from Specification 7220-C-308(Q), base plates installed on slabs and walls using expansion anchors and grout are being evaluated for the effects of the grout bonding to the anchors.

2. Shear

The extent of corrective action required for installations with potentially reduced anchor shear capacity will be based on the results from additional testing being planned and further review of the existing test data from Specification 7220-C-309(Q).

3. Bond and Shear

Based on determination of the root cause, further investigations will be performed, as applicable, to determine if similar or related conditions of these types exist on the Midland project. Results of the investigation will be stated in the next report.

150190

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

MCAR 82
Interim Report 1

150318

Page 4

Reportability

This concern was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission by Consumers Power Company as a potentially reportable condition on February 28, 1984.

Submitted by:

for

E.B. Poser

E.B. Poser

Project Engineering Manager

Approved by:

T.E. Johnson

T.E. Johnson

Civil Chief Engineer

Approved by:

E.H. Smith

E.H. Smith

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

for

M.A. Dietrich

M.A. Dietrich

Project Quality Assurance
Engineer

150190

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

150318

MCAR 82
Interim Report 1

Page 4

Reportability

This concern was reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission by Consumers Power Company as a potentially reportable condition on February 28, 1984.

Submitted by:

for

E.B. Poser

Project Engineering Manager

Approved by:

T.E. Johnson

Civil Chief Engineer

Approved by:

E.H. Smith

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

for

M.A. Dietrich

Project Quality Assurance
Engineer