

CP&L

Carolina Power & Light Company

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT
Post Office Box 790
Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

APR 20 1984

Robinson File No: 13510E

Serial: RSEP/84-288

Mr. James P. O'Reilly
Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT
DOCKET NO. 50-261
LICENSE NO. DPR-23
NRC IE INSPECTION REPORT IER-83-26

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

This supplemental response provides clarification of Carolina Power and Light Company's (CP&L's) long term corrective actions as described in our response of February 29, 1984. In that original response, we indicated the unique features of the old H. B. Robinson steam generators and identified several corrective actions which were based on continued use of the old steam generators. However, as was also indicated in the original response, the old steam generator tube bundles are currently being replaced with new bundles which will eliminate the major cause of the violation.

With respect to long term corrective actions in utilizing the new steam generator tube bundles, CP&L is committed to carrying forward the lessons learned with the old steam generators. These lessons have already been reflected in numerous corrective actions including new and upgraded secondary water systems (condensate polishers, makeup water treatment, and condensate storage tank bladder), AVT chemistry control, removal of copper from the secondary system, increased steam generator blow-down capability, and a better understanding of the Eddy Current inspection process.

In the area of Eddy Current inspections, CP&L is committed to utilizing the experience gained in the last several years for future steam generator inspections. These inspections will be carried out in accordance with the H. B. Robinson Technical Specifications, Corporate Quality Assurance requirements, and will


8405300128 840509
PDR ADDCK 05000261
Q PDR

Letter to Mr. James P. O'Reilly
Serial: RSEP/84-288
Page 2

utilize standard industry practices. In addition, as a result of lessons learned, specific management attention will continue to be directed towards shift manning schedules for the data evaluation personnel and the scope of any data reverification which would be based on the specific Eddy Current results. CP&L believes that the above actions are adequate to prevent a recurrence of the violation.

If you have any questions concerning this response, please contact me or my staff.

Very truly yours,



R. E. Morgan
General Manager

H. B. Robinson SEG Plant

CLW/sr

cc: R. C. DeYoung (1)